

# Appendix 4 Bibliography

Prepared by  
Samuel Fifer, Dentons  
Chicago, Illinois  
www.dentons.com

- Aagaard, Article, USING NON-ENVIRONMENTAL LAW TO ACCOMPLISH ENVIRONMENTAL OBJECTIVES, 30 J. LAND USE & ENVTL. L. 35 (2014-2015).
- Abdel-Khalik, *To Live in In-“Fame”-Y: Reconceiving Scandalous Marks as Analogous to Famous Marks*, 25 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. LJ 173 (2007).
- Abdel-khalik, *Is a Rose by Any Other Image Still a Rose? Disconnecting Dilution’s Similarity Test From Traditional Trademark Concepts*, 39 U. TOL. L. REV. 591 (2008).
- Abeles, *Trademark’s Unfair Nominative Fair Use Defense: Protecting E-Commentary from Censorship by Corporate Monoliths*, 33 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT L.J. 181 (2011).
- Abolsky, *Operation Blackbeard: Is Government Prioritization Enough to Deter Intellectual Property Criminals?*, 14 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 567 (2004).
- Abramowicz and Duffy, *Intellectual Property for Market Experimentation*, 83 N.Y.U.L. REV. 337 (2008).
- Ackerman, *World-Wide Volkswagen, Meet the World Wide Web: An Examination of Personal Jurisdiction Applied to a New World*, 71 ST. JOHN’S L. REV. 403 (1997).
- Adamo, Gerber and McCrum, *The Curse of “Copying,”* 7 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. 296 (2008).
- Adolph, Hricik and Partridge, *Trade Secrets: An Update on the Impact of State and Federal Efforts to Broaden the Public Right of Access to Court Records*, 23 AIPLA QUARTERLY J. 161 (1995).
- Aferiat, Note, *It’s Google’s World and We’re Just Clicking in It: Why the Growth of Sponsored Link Advertising Necessitates a Shift of Trademark Regulation on the Internet*, 47 NEW ENG. L. REV. 157 (2012).
- Aiken, *The Jurisdiction of Trademark and Copyright Infringement on the Internet*, 48 MERCER L. REV. 1331 (1997).

- Aitken, *Keyword-Linked Advertising, Trademark Infringement, and Google's Contributory Liability*, DUKE L. & TECH. REV. 21 (2005).
- Alan, *Misuse: An Equitable Defense to Intellectual Property Infringement Actions*, 25 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW REVIEW 595 (1993).
- Albert, *Right on the Mark: Defining the Nexus Between Trademarks and Internet Domain Names*, 15 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 277 (1997).
- Albert, Sanders and Mazza, *Intellectual Property Crimes*, 42 AM. CRIM. L. REV. 631 (2005).
- Albin-Riley and Boyko, *Shares of Gray: Decision Marks a Setback in the Efforts to Stem the Importation of Gray Market Goods*, 21 L.A. LAW. 34 (1998).
- Alcantar, *Article, You Can't Sit with Us: Furniture's Future in Fighting Phonies*, 56 IDEA 359 (2016).
- Alces and See, *The Commercial Law of Intellectual Property*. Little, Brown 1994 xxxii, 736 p.
- Alderman, *Innovative Use of the Deceptive Trade Practices Act*, 18 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 45 (1987).
- Alexander, *Dilution: A Blessing or a Curse? What Is It? How Do You Prove It? How Does It Fit In With Traditional Trademark Law?* C962 ALI-ABA 1 (1994).
- Alexander and Coil, *Geographic Rights in Trademarks and Service Marks*, 68 TMR 101 (1978).
- Alexander and Heilbronner, *An Analysis of the Dilution Section of the Restatement (Third) of Unfair Competition*, 47 S.C. L. REV. 629 (1996).
- Allen, *How Secret Is Secret: The Need to Clarify Ohio's Reasonable Efforts to Maintain Secrecy Standard*, 84 U. CIN L. REV. 825 (2016).
- Almeling, *The Infringement-Plus-Equity Model: A Better Way to Award Monetary Relief in Trademark Cases*, 14 J. INTEL. PROP. L. 205 (2007).
- Almeling, Snyder, Sapoznikow, McCollum, and Weader, *A Statistical Analysis of Trade Secret Litigation in Federal Courts*, 45 GONZ. L. REV. 291 (2009).
- Alpert and Segall, *Recent Caselaw and Legislative Developments in the United States*, 57 COPYRIGHT WORLD 43 (1996).
- Alsberg, *I'll Be Your Mirror: Broadening the Concept of Trademark Joint Ownership to Reflect the Developing Collaborative Economy*, 44 SW. L. REV. 59 (2014).
- Altman & Pollack, *Callmann on Unfair Competition, Trademarks and Monopolies* (4th ed. 2004 & Supps. 2004-2011).
- Amend, *The Geographical Scope of Injunctions in Intellectual Property Cases*, 77 TMR 49 (1987).

#### APPENDIX 4

- Amendment of the Trade-Mark Law*, 2 TMR BULL. 3 (1905–06).
- Amin, *The Lack of Protection Afforded Software Under the Current Intellectual Property Laws*, 43 CLEV. ST. L. REV. 19 (1995).
- Anania, *The Plight of Small Business Trademark Holders*, 59 RUTGERS L. REV. 565 (2007).
- Anderson, *Alternatives to Copyright Law Protection of Graphic Characters: The Lanham Act and Antidilution Statutes*, 13 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L.J. 179 (1991).
- Anderson, *Painstaking Semantics: Selecting Website Trade Dress Elements to Survive a Copyright Preemption Challenge*, 7 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. 97 (2007).
- Anson, *A New Approach to Setting Realistic Values and Damages in Patent and Trade Secret Litigation*, 68 PAT. WORLD 33-37 (1995).
- Anson, *Placing Market Values on Trademarks and Brands: Translating Theory into Reality*, 80 TRADEMARK LAW WORLD 27 (1995).
- Anson, Suchy and Peress, *Fundamentals of Intellectual Property Valuation: A Primer for Identifying and Determining Value*, 24 AM. BANKR. INST. J. 34 (2005).
- Anten, *In Defense Of Trademark Dilution Surveys: A Post-Moseley Proposal*, 39 COLUM. J.L. & SOC. PROBS. 1 (2005).
- Anten, *Self-disparaging Trademarks and Social Change: Factoring the Reappropriation of Slurs into Section 2(a) of the Lanham Act*, 106 COLUM. L. REV. 388 (2006).
- Aoki, *Authors, Investors, and Trademark Owners: Private Intellectual Property and the Public Domain (Pt. 1)*, 18 COLUM.-VLA J.L. & ARTS 1-73 (1994).
- Appel and Harvey, *The Declaratory Judgment Response to a Cease and Desist Letter: “First-to-File” or “Procedural Fencing”?*, 96 TMR 639 (2006).
- Archidiacono, *Intellectual Property: Piracy for Sale: Vicarious and Contributory Copyright Infringement: Artista Records v. Flea World*, 356 F.Supp.2d 441, 6 J. HIGH TECH. L. 315 (2005).
- Arfin, *The Benefits of Alternative Dispute Resolution in Intellectual Property Disputes*, 17 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L.J. 893 (1995).
- Argento, *Applying Genericide to the Right of Publicity*, 10 VAND. J. ENT. & TECH. L. 321 (2008).
- Arnold and Durkee, *Trademark and Unfair Competition Considerations in Franchised Business Operations*, 59 TMR 896 (1969).
- Ashton and Momberg, *Strategy in the Use of Intellectual Property (A Guide to Managing Business’ Most Valuable Asset)* (Book Review), 77 TMR 71 (1987).
- Assaf, *The Dilution of Culture and the Law of Trademarks*, 49 IDEA 1 (2008).

- Association Files Brief With Committee on Uniform Trademark Laws*, 33 TMR BULL. 171 (1938).
- Astrachan, *Picking the Best Trademarks for the Most Effective Advertising*, 38 MD. B.J. 12 (2005).
- Astrachan, *Prevailing Party Legal Fee Awards Under the Lanham Act; Supreme Court Adds Flexibility*, 47 MD. B.J. 40 (2014).
- Atkins, *Trading Secrets in the Information Age: Can Trade Secret Law Survive the Internet?*, 1996 U. ILL. L. REV. 1151 (1996).
- Atlee and McMahon, *Search Terms*, 28 L.A. LAW. 38 (2005).
- August, *1-800-MISDIAL: U.S. Trademark Law and Vanity Phone Numbers*, TRADEMARK WORLD 24 (Nov./Dec. 1996).
- August and Buchenhorner, *Strategies for Developing Intellectual Property Portfolios in the Global Environment: Protection of Intellectual Property in Hostile Environments*, 21 CAN.-U.S. L.J. 261 (1995).
- Austin, *Tolerating Confusion About Confusion: Trademark Policies and Fair Use*, 50 ARIZ. L. REV. 157 (2008).
- Baca, *Barriers to Innovation: Intellectual Property Transaction Costs in Scientific Collaboration*, 2006 DUKE L. & TECH. REV. 4 (2006).
- Bachman, *Conventional Controls in a Virtual Marketplace: Playboy Enterprises, Inc. v. Netscape Communications Corp.*, 354 F.3d 1020 (9th Cir. 2004), 9 J. TECH. L. & POL'Y 211 (2004).
- Bade, *Pharmaceutical Trademarks: Is the Food and Drug Administration - or the Patent and Trademark Office - the Appropriate Regulatory Agency?*, 13 FOOD DRUG COSM. & MED. DEVICE L. DIG. 1 (1996).
- Note, *Badwill*, 116 HARV. L. REV. 1845 (2003).
- Baer and Mills, *Renewals: Questions and Pitfalls for Franchisors and Some Distributors*, 10 FRANCHISE L.J. 1 (1990).
- Bailey, *Fighting An Anonymous Enemy: The Uncertainty of Auction Sites in the Face of Tiffany v. Ebay and LVMH v. Ebay*, 40 CAL. W. INT'L L.J. 129 (2009).
- Baker, *Bidding on Trademarked Keywords in Search Engines: A Trademark Law Update*, 8 WASH. J.L. TECH. & ARTS 543 (2013).
- Baker, *Correcting a Chromatic Aberration: Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co.*, 9 HARV. J. L. & TECH. 547 (1996).
- Bakken, *Unauthorized Use of Another's Trademark on the Internet*, 2003 UCLA J.L. TECH. 3 (2003).
- Balto & Andrew, *Property and Antitrust: General Principles*, 43 IDEA 395 (2003).
- Bambauer, Article, *Secrecy is Dead - Long Live Trade Secrets*, 93 DENV. L. REV. 833 (2015-2016).
- Bandes, *Saddled with a Lambe Horse? Why State Consumer Protection Laws Can Be the Best Protection for Duped Horse Purchasers*, 44 B.C. L. REV. 789 (2003).

APPENDIX 4

- Bannon, *Revisiting "The Rational Basis of Trademark Protection": Control of Quality and Dilution—Estranged Bedfellows?*, 24 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 65 (1990).
- Barber, *The Constitution and Trademarks*, 8 TMR BULL. 119 (1912).
- Barber, *High Court Takes Right Turn in *Traffix*, but Stops Short of the Finish Line: An Economic Critique of Trade Dress Protection for Product Configuration*, 7 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 259 (2003).
- Barber, *A "Rational" Approach For Analyzing Dilution Claims: The Three Hallmarks Of True Trademark Dilution*, 33 AIPLA Q.J. 25 (2005).
- Barber, *Recent Developments in Trademark Law: Reverse Domain Name Hijacking, Mutant Copyrights, and Other Mysterious Creatures of the Trademark World*, 12 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 361 (2004).
- Barger, *Cybermarks: A Proposed Hierarchical Modeling System of Registration and Internet Architecture For Domain Names*, 29 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 623 (1996).
- Barkoff, *Joint Negotiation with the Manufacturer/Franchisor*, 61 ANTITRUST L.J. 417 (1993).
- Barnes, *A New Economics of Trademarks*, 5 NW. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 22 (2006).
- Barnes, *Consumers Swallow Another Lemon: Agency Consent Order Preemption of State 'Lemon Law' Standards for Informal Dispute Resolution*, 1990 J. DISP. RES. 163 (1990).
- Barnes, *Misappropriation of Trademark*, 9 N.C. J.L. & TECH. 171 (2008).
- Barnes, *One Trademark Per Source*, 18 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 1 (2009).
- Barnes, *Trademark Externalities*, 10 YALE J. L. & TECH. 1 (2007).
- Barnett, *Shopping for Gucci on Canal Street: Reflections on Status Consumption, Intellectual Property, and the Incentive Thesis*, 91 VA. L. REV. 1381 (2005).
- Barr, Beiting, and Grzesinski, *Intellectual Property Crimes*, 40 AM. CRIM. L. REV. 771 (2003).
- Barrett, *Consolidating the Diffuse Paths to Trade Dress Functionality: Encountering *Traffix* on the Way to *Sears**, 61 WASH & LEE L. REV. 79 (2004).
- Barrett, *Domain Names, Trademarks and the First Amendment: Searching for Meaningful Boundaries*, 39 CONN. L. REV. 973 (2007).
- Barrett, *Internet Trademark Suits and the Demise of "Trademark Use,"* 39 U.C. DAVIS L. REV. 371 (2006).
- Barrett, *Finding Trademark Use: The Historical Foundation for Limiting Infringement Liability to Uses "In the Manner of a Mark"*, 43 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 893 (2008).
- Barrett, *Reconciling Fair Use and Trademark Use*, 28 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 1 (2010).

- Barsade, *Distinct Classes of Consumers of a Single Product - Accommodating Competing Perceptions of Genericness of the Same Identification*, 86 TMR 56 (1996).
- Bartholomew, *Making a Mark in the Internet Economy: A Trademark Analysis of Search Engine Advertising*, 58 OKLA. L. REV. 179 (2005).
- Bartholomew, *Advertising and the Transformation of Trademark Law*, 38 N.M. L. REV. 1 (2008).
- Bartow, *Trademarks of Privilege: Naming Rights and the Physical Public Domain*, 40 U.C. DAVIS L. REV. 919 (2007).
- Bartow, *The True Colors of Trademark Law: Greenlighting a Red Tide of Anti Competition Blues*, 97 KY. L.J. 263 (2009).
- Barwinski, *Trade Dress: Should Only the Secondary Meaning Trade Dress Standard Apply to Product Packaging? Or Should Courts Continue to Use the Inherently Distinctive Standard?*, 8 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 119 (2004).
- Basanta, *"No-Hire" Clauses in Healthcare Sector Contracts: Their Use and Enforceability*, 39 J. HEALTH L. 451 (2006).
- Bass, *Trademark Law: The Supreme Court Upholds Trademark Protection for a Color*, 49 OKLA. L. REV. 193 (1996).
- Bassinger, *Software Law: Legal Options For Protecting Computer Software and Other Intellectual Property*, 66 HENNIPIN LAWYER 18 (1997).
- Bast, *At What Price Silence: Are Confidentiality Agreements Enforceable?*, 25 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 627 (1999).
- Battersby and Grimes, *The Law of Merchandise and Character Licensing* (Book Review), 77 TMR 70 (1987).
- Battle, *Trade Regulation—Unfair Competition Dilution of Trade Marks* (Esquire Inc. v. Esquire Slipper Mfg. Co.), 36 N.C.L. REV. 105 (1957).
- Bauer, *Assets and Liabilities in an Intellectual Property Audit*, 1 B.U. J. SCI. TECH. L. 8 (1995).
- Baxter, *Trademark Parody: How to Balance the Lanham Act with the First Amendment*, 44 SANTA CLARA L. REV. 1179 (2004).
- Beals, *A Review of the State Sovereignty Loophole in Intellectual Property Rights Following Florida Prepaid and College Savings*, 9 U. PA. J. CONST. L. 1233 (2007).
- Beard, *Casting Call at Forest Lawn: The Digital Resurrection of Deceased Entertainers - A 21st Century Challenge for Intellectual Property Law*, 41 J. COPYRIGHT SOC'Y U.S.A. 19 (1993).
- Beauchamp, *The Failures of Federalizing Trade Secrets: Why the Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 Should Preempt State Law*, 86 MISS L.J. 1031 (2017).
- Becker, *Streamlining the Federal Trademark Dilution Act to Apply to Truly Famous Marks*, 85 IOWA L. REV. 1387 (2000).

APPENDIX 4

- Beckerman-Rodau, *Prior Restraints and Intellectual Property: The Clash between Intellectual Property and the First Amendment from an Economic Perspective*, 12 FORHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. (2001).
- Beckerman-Rodau, *Toward a Limited Right of Publicity: An Argument for the Convergence of the Right of Publicity, Unfair Competition and Trademark Law*, 23 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 132 (2012).
- Becnel, Conison, Goodhard, *Trade Practice and Other Claims Involving Franchisor-Franchisee Relations*, 13 FRANCHISE L.J. 54(2) (1993).
- Beebe, *The Continuing Debacle of U.S. Antidilution Law: Evidence from the First Year of Trademark Dilution Revision Act Case Law*, 24 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 449 (2007).
- Beebe, *Search and Persuasion in Trademark Law*, 103 MICH. L. REV. 2020 (2005).
- Beebe, *The Semiotic Analysis of Trademark Law*, 51 UCLA L. REV. 621 (2004).
- Bednarek, *Seven Simple Rules for Resolving Trademark Ownership Conflicts Under U.S. Law*, 76 TRADEMARK WORLD 16-19 (1995).
- Behr, Note, *Trademarks for the Cure: Why Nonprofits Need Their Own Set Of Trademark Rules*, 54 B.C. L. REV. 243 (2013).
- Bell, *Virtual Trade Dress: A Very Real Problem*, 56 MD. L. REV. 384 (1997).
- Bell, Smith and Simensky, *A State Tax Strategy for Trademarks*, 81 TMR 445 (1991).
- Beller, *The Law of Comparative Advertising in the United States and Around the World: A Practical Guide for U.S. Lawyers and Their Clients*, 29 INT'L. LAW. 917 (1995).
- Bennett, *Intellectual Property Insurance—Are you Protected?* 66 PAT. WORLD 34-7 (1994).
- Benschar, Kalow, and Springhut, *Proving Willfulness in Trademark Counterfeiting Cases*, 27 COLUM. J.L. & ARTS 121 (2003).
- Berge, *Symposium Issue: Trade Dress Protection: What's Left for the States?* 27 N. KY. L. REV. 1055 (2000).
- Bergenson, Stout, *Look-Alikes Need Close Scrutiny; Using Celebrity Doubles Creates Legal Risks*, 15 NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL 27 (1993).
- Berger, *Traffix Devices, Inc. v. Marketing Displays, Inc: Intellectual Property in Crisis: Rubbernecking the Aftermath of the United States Supreme Court's Traffix Wreck*, 57 ARK. L. REV. 383 (2004).
- Bernhardt, *High Plains Drifting: Wind-Blown Seeds and the Intellectual Property Implications of the GMO Revolution*, 4 NW. J. TECH & INTELL. PROP 1 (2005).

- Berne, *Court Intervention but Not in a Classic Form: A Survey of Remedies in Internet Trademark Cases*, 43 ST. LOUIS U. L.J. 1157 (1999).
- Bernstein and Gilden, *No Trolls Barred: Trademark Injunctions After Ebay*, 99 TRADEMARK REP. 1037 (2009).
- Bernstein and Keller, *As Satiric as They Wanna be: Parody Lawsuits Under Copyright, Trademark, Dilution, and Publicity Laws*, 85 TMR 239 (1995).
- Berntsen, Note, *Knowledge and Misfeasance: Tiffany v. Ebay and the Knowledge Requirement of Contributory Trademark Infringement*, 16 B.U. J. SCI. & TECH. L. 102 (2010).
- Berzins, *The Emerging Circuit Split Over Secondary Meaning In Trade Dress Law*, 152 U. PA. L. REV. 1661 (2004).
- Beser, *False Endorsement or First Amendment?: An Analysis of Celebrity Trademark Rights and Artistic Expression*, 41 SAN DIEGO L. REV. 1787 (2004).
- Best, *Monetary Damages for False Advertising*, 49 U. PITT L. REV. 1 (1987).
- Best, *The Standard of Proof for Federal Trademark Dilution Claims has Recently been Sharpened by the United States Supreme Court, Thereby Eliminating the "Likelihood of Dilution" Standard: Moseley v. Victoria's Secret Catalogue, Inc.*, 42 DUQ. L. REV. 159 (2003).
- Best, Article, *Lying Lawyers and Recumbent Regulators*, 49 IND. L. REV. 1 (2015-2016).
- Beystehner, *See Ya Later, Gator: Assessing Whether Placing Pop-Up Advertisements On Another Company's Website Violates Trademark Law*, 11 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 87 (2003).
- Bhagwat, *Truthiness: Corporate Public Figures and the Problem of Harmful Truths*, 99 MINN. L. REV. 297 (2014).
- Bharathi, *There Is More Than One Way to Skin a Copycat: The Emergence of Trade Dress to Combat Design Piracy of Fashion Works*, 27 TEXAS TECH L. REV. 1667 (1996).
- Bikoff and Wilson, *Intellectual Property Protection Under NAFTA and TRIPs and the Future of Bilateral Intellectual Property Initiatives*. 66 PAT. WORLD 21-27 (1994); 67 PAT. WORLD 31-6 (1994).
- Bird and Steckel, *The Role of Consumer Surveys in Trademark Infringement: Empirical Evidence from the Federal Courts*, 14 U. PA. J. BUS. L. 1013 (2012).
- Blackerby, *Flat Broke And Busted, But Can I Keep My Domain Name? Domain Name Property Interests In The First, Fifth, And Eleventh Circuits*, 11 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 117 (2003).
- Blair and Cotter, *An Economic Analysis of Damages Rules in Intellectual Property Law*, 39 WM. AND MARY L. REV. 1585 (1998).



APPENDIX 4

- Blanke, *Victor's Little Secret: Supreme Court Decision Means More Protection for Trademark Parody*, 13 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 1053 (2003).
- Blat, *Federal Taxation of Software Technology Transfers*, 12 COMPUTER LAW 1 (1995).
- Blattner, *Internet domain names and trademark rights: the confusion is likely to get worse before it gets better*, 76 MICH. B.J. 1344 (1997).
- Bleicher, *Trademark Tragedy: The Fight for Exclusive Rights to "Let's Roll"*, 52 EMORY L.J. 1847 (2003).
- Bloch and McEwen, *"Like Toddlers In Big Surf": Can The Government Control The Effects Of Federal Trademark Liability?*, 33 PUB. CONT. L.J. 209 (2003).
- Block, Note, *Nebraska Trade Secret Protection: The Forum Selection Conundrum Facing Trade Secret Owners after the Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016*, 50 CREIGHTON L. REV. 559 (2016-2017).
- Blue, Note, *Internet and Domain Name Governance: Antitrust Litigation and ICANN*, 19 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 387 (2004).
- Bluestone, Comment, *La Russa's Loophole: Trademark Infringement Lawsuits and Social Networks*, 17 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 573 (2010).
- Blumsack, *The Licensing of Intellectual Property in Bankruptcy*, 24 AM. BANKR. INST. J. 22 (2005).
- Bodden, *Pop Goes the Trademark? Competitive Advertising on the Internet*, 1 SHIDLER J.L. COM. & TECH. 12, (2005).
- Bohannon, *When The State Steals Ideas: Is the Abrogation of State Sovereign Immunity From Federal Infringement Claims Constitutional in Light of Seminole Tribe?*, 4 FORDHAM L. REV. 1435 (1999).
- Bohrer, *Strengthening the Distinction between Copyright and Trademark: The Supreme Court Takes a Stand*, 2003 DUKE L. TECH. REV. 23 (2003).
- Bollinger, *The Model Franchise Investment Act Dances With Wolves*, 10 FRANCHISE L.J. 3 (1991).
- Bomser, *Report: A Lawyer's Ramble Down the Information Superhighway*, 64 FORDHAM L. REV. 697 (1995).
- Bond, *Linking and Framing on the Internet: Liability Under Trademark and Copyright Law*, 11 DEPAUL BUS. L.J. 185 (1998).
- Bonder, *Patent and Lanham Acts: Serving Two Legitimate Purposes or Providing an Indefinite Monopoly?*, 15 ALB. L.J. SCI. & TECH. 1 (2004).
- Bone, *A New Look at Trade Secret Law: Doctrine in Search of Justification*, 86 CAL. L. REV. 241 (1998).
- Bone, *Enforcement Costs and Trademark Puzzles*, 90 VA. L. REV. 2099 (2004).

- Bone, *Schechter's Ideas in Historical Context and Dilution's Rocky Road*, 24 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 469 (2007).
- Bone, *Symposium on New Directions in Federalism: From Property to Contract: The Eleventh Amendment and University-Private Sector Intellectual Property Relationships*, 33 LOY. L.A. L. REV. 1467 (2000).
- Bone, *Taking the Confusion out of "Likelihood of Confusion": Toward a More Sensible Approach to Trademark Infringement*, 106 NW. U.L. REV. 1307 (2012).
- Bonewitz, *Beyond Confusion: Reexamining Trademark Law's Goals in the World of Online Advertising*, 81 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 899 (2007).
- Borababy et al., *Recent Trends in Trademark Protection: Leading Lawyers on Advising Clients, Reviewing Trademark Strategies, and Responding to Recent Fraud Litigation* (2011).
- Boring, *The Need for Balancing the Regulation of Pharmaceutical Trademarks Between the Food and Drug Administration and the Patent and Trademark Office*, 52 FOOD DRUG L. J. 109 (1997).
- Boyd, *State Anti-Dilution Statutes under the Pre-Emption Analysis of GADE v. National Solid Wastes Management Ass'n*, 3 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 135 (1995).
- Bradford, *Emotion, Dilution, and the Trademark Consumer*, 23 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 1227 (2008).
- Brady, *Determining And Preserving The Assets Of Dot-Coms*, 28 DEL. J. CORP. L. 185 (2003).
- Brandt, *Louboutin's Trademark Suit Against Yves Saint Laurent: Creating a Color War in the Fashion Industry*, 8 OKLA. J. L. & TECH. 58 (2012).
- Branscomb, *Who Owns Information? From Privacy to Public Access*, BASIC BKS. 1994 241p.
- Brantley, *Patent Law Handbook (1995-1996 Edition)* (Clark Boardman Callaghan) 489 p.
- Brantley, *Patent Law Handbook (1996-1997 Edition)* (Clark Boardman Callaghan 1997).
- Brauneis, *Trademark Infringement, Trademark Dilution, and the Decline of Sharing of Famous Brand Names: An Introduction and Empirical Study*, 59 BUFF. J. INT'L L. 141 (2011)
- Brauneis and Schechter, *Geographic Trademarks and the Protection of Competitor Communication*, 96 TMR 782 (2006).
- Brej, *A Fantastic "New National Pastime": Copyright Preemption and the Public Domain*, 48 IDEA 1 (2007).
- Brennan and Vale, *Recent Developments in Copyright Law*, 4 TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J. 321 (1996).
- Brierton and Bowal, *The Right of Publicity*, 4 SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 273 (2014-2015).

APPENDIX 4

- Brill, *The Name of the Departed Team: Who Can Use It?*, 15 WHITTIER L. REV. 1003 (1994).
- Brook, *The United States' Adoption of the Well-known Foreign Mark Exception*, 36 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 889 (2009).
- Brooks, *How to protect your client's Web site*, 86 ILL. B.J. 70 (1998). Brown, *Advertising and the Public Interest: Legal Protection of Trade Symbols*, 57 YALE L.J. 1165 (1948).
- Brown, *Design Protection: An Overview*, 34 U.C.L.A. L. REV. 1341 (1987). Brown, *The Protection or Abuse of Trade Dress*, 211 NEW YORK L.J. 3 (1994).
- Brown, Article, *Having Your Cake and Eating It Too: Intellectual Property Protection for Cake Design*, 56 IDEA 31 (2016).
- Brown, *Recent Developments in Intellectual Property Law*, 37 IND. L. REV. 1231 (2004).
- Brown, *U.S. Courts Use Internet to Assert Jurisdiction over Foreign Defendants*, IP WORLDWIDE 6 (Jan./Feb. 1997).
- Brown, *Who am I ... a trademark?*, 12 CBA REC. 36 (1998).
- Browning, *Traffix Revisited: Exposing the Design Flaw in the Functionality Doctrine*, 94 TMR 1059 (2004).
- Brownlee, *Mead Data Central v. Toyota and Other Contemporary Dilution Cases: High Noon for Trademark Law's Misfit Doctrine?*, 79 TMR 471 (1989).
- Bruns, Article, *Criticism of the Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016: Failure to Preempt*, 32 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 469 (2017).
- Brunel, *Billions Registered, But No Rules: The Scope of Trademark Protection for Internet Domain Names*, 3 J. PROPRIETARY RTS. 2 (1995).
- Bryant, Article, *Applying the Lessons from Dryer v. NFL: Forming a Workable Framework for Analyzing the Right of Publicity in Professional Sports*, 22 SPORTS LAW. J. 1 (2015).
- Buck, Article, *Side Effects: State Anti-Fraud Statutes, Off-Label Marketing, and the Solvable Challenge of Causation*, 36 CARDOZO L. REV. 2129 (2014-2015).
- Buckley, *The Implications of Waits v. Frito-Lay [978 F.2d 1093 (1992)] for Advertisers Who Use Celebrity Sound-Alikes*, 68 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 241-57 (1994).
- Bullock and Wanat, *Copyright Infringement Litigation: Section 505 of the Copyright Act Accords "Evenhanded" Treatment to a Prevailing Defendant on the Issue of Whether to Award an Attorney's Fee*, 25 SW. U.L. REV. 107 (1995).
- Bunch, Note, *Takings, Judicial Takings, and Patent Law*, 83 TEX. L. REV. 1747 (2005).

- Burgunder, *Product Design Protection After Bonito Boats: Where It Belongs and How It Should Get There*, 28 *Am. Bus. L.J.* 1 (1990).
- Burk, *Federalism in Cyberspace*, 28 *CONN. L. REV.* 1095 (1996).
- Burk, *Symposium on Cyberspace & The Law: Privacy, Property, and Crime in the Virtual Frontier*, 94 *MINN. L. REV.* 1375 (2010).
- Burk, *Trademark Doctrines for Global Electronic Commerce*, 49 *S.C. L. REV.* 695 (1998).
- Burk, *Trademarks along the infobahn: a first look at the emerging law of cybermarks*, 29 *INTELL. PROP. L. REV.* 195 (1997).
- Burk and McDonnell, *Trademarks and the Boundaries of the Firm*, 51 *WM. & MARY L. REV.* 345 (2009).
- Burke and Abel, *Franchising Fraud: The Continuing Need For Reform*, 40 *AM. BUS. L.J.* 335 (2003).
- Burke, Bishop, *A Survey of the Potential Liability of Accountants Under State Deceptive Trade Practices Acts*, 23 *MEM. ST. U. L. REV.* 805 (1993).
- Bumatay, Article, *A Look at the TradeKey: Shifting Policing Burdens from Trademark Owners to Online Marketplaces*, 11 *HASTINGS BUS. L.J.* 341 (2015).
- Burns, *The Paradox of Antitrust and Lanham Act Standing*, 42 *UCLA L. REV.* 47-103 (1995).
- Burstyn, Book Review, *Universities and Intellectual Property: Ownership and Exploitation*, 53 *FED. LAW.* 67 (2006).
- Butts, *Franchise Trade Dress: What Do Courts Mean by the Terms Distinctiveness, Functionality, and Likelihood of Confusion?*, 16 *FRANCHISE L.J.* 129 (1997).
- Byrne, *Double Trouble—When Do Awards of Both Copyright and Trademark Damages Constitute Double Recovery?*, 31 *U.S.F. L. REV.* 257 (1996).
- Cahoy, *Changing The Rules In The Middle Of The Game: How The Prospective Application Of Judicial Decisions Related To Intellectual Property Can Promote Economic Efficiency*, 41 *AM. BUS. L.J.* 1 (2003).
- Cai, Heugstenberg and Newman, *Intellectual Property Crimes*, 44 *AM. CRIM. L. REV.* 693 (2007).
- Cain Reid, *You Say "Lean Finely Textured Beef," I Say "Pink Slime,"* 69 *FOOD & DRUG L.J.* 625 (2014).
- Calboli, *The Sunset of "Quality Control" in Modern Trademark Licensing*, 57 *AM. U.L. REV.* 341 (2007).
- Calboli, *Trademark Assignment "With Goodwill": A Concept Whose Time Has Gone*, 57 *FLA. L. REV.* 771 (2005).
- Callman, *Unfair Competition Without Competition? The Importance of the Property Concept in the Law of Trademarks*, 95 *U. PA. L. REV.* 443 (1947), reprinted in 37 *TMR* 175 (1947).

APPENDIX 4

- Cantwell, *Confusion, Dilution, and Speech: First Amendment Limitations on the Trademark Estate*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 48 (1997).
- Cantwell, *Dilution and Speech: First Amendment Limitations on the Trademark Estate: An Update, Article and Report: Confusion and Pretexts*, 94 TMR 547 (2004).
- Cao, *Article, It Concerns You: A Quick Comparison of Illinois Trade Secret Act and Defend Trade Secret Act of 2016*, 9 SOLO & SMALL FIRM 18 (2017).
- Carlson, *Intellectual Property Protection for Computer Icons: the Trademark Alternative*, 31 U.S.F. L. REV. 433 (1997).
- Carpenter, *Trademarks and Human Rights: Oil and Water? Or Chocolate and Peanut Butter?*, 99 TRADEMARK REP. 892 (2009).
- Carrier, *Cabining Intellectual Property Through a Property Paradigm*, 54 DUKE L.J. 1 (2004).
- Case, *How to Maximize Trademark Value as a Corporate Asset*, 12 CORP. COUNSEL'S QUARTERLY 39 (1996).
- Catomeris, *The Riddle of Well-Known Marks and Other Goodwill Carriers*, 83 TRADEMARK WORLD 20 (1995).
- Cavicchi, *Series Introduction Intellectual Property Research: From the Dustiest Law Book to the Most Far Off Database*, 46 IDEA 317, (2006).
- Cavicchi, *Trademark Searching Tools and Strategies: Questions for the New Millennium*, 46 IDEA 649 (2006).
- Celedonia and Lewis, *Unsettled Law Interferes With Use of IP as Collateral*, IP WORLDWIDE 16 (Sept./Oct. 1996).
- Centner, *Separating Lemons: Automobiles and Tractors Under the "Motor Vehicle Warranty Rights Act" and the "Farm Tractor Warranty Act"*, 30 GA. ST. B.J. 36 (1993).
- Chancey, *Meta-Tags and Hypertext Deep Linking: How the Essential Components of Web-Authoring and Internet Guidance are Strengthening Intellectual Property Rights on the World Wide Web*, 29 STETSON L. REV. 203 (1999).
- Chapman, *WHO, WHA and Why? The World Health Assembly's Resolution on Nonpropriety Names for Pharmaceutical Substances*, 73 TRADEMARK WORLD 17-23 (1995).
- Charles, *Meeting the Challenge of Protecting the Elderly Consumer*, 50 TEX. B.J. 497 (1987).
- Chartreuse Trade-Mark Litigation*, 4 TMR Bull. 275 (1907-08).
- Chattaway, *Statutory Control of Trade Practices in the Alcoholic Beverage Field*, 42 TMR 23 (1952).
- Cherniak, *Ornamental Use of Trademarks: The Judicial Development and Economic Implications of an Exclusive Merchandising Right*, 69 TUL. L. REV. 1311 (1995).

- Chertok and Agin, *Restart.com: Identifying, Securing and Maximizing the Liquidation Value of Cyber-Assets in Bankruptcy Proceedings*, 8 AM. BANKR. INST. L. REV. 255 (2000).
- Chestek, *Who Owns the Mark? A Single Framework for Resolving Trademark Ownership Disputes*, 96 TMR 681 (2006).
- Chiapetta, *Trademarks: More Than Meets the Eye*, 2003 U. ILL. J.L. TECH. & POL'Y 35 (2003).
- Chicoine and Visintine, *The Role of State Trademark Dilution Statutes in Light of the Trademark Dilution Revision Act of 2006*, 96 TMR 1155 (2006).
- Cho, *Protecting Johnny Football<sup>BC</sup>: Trademark Registration for Collegiate Athletes*, 13 NW. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 65 (2015).
- Chon, *Intellectual Property and the Development Divide*, 27 CARDOZO L. REV. 2821 (2006).
- Christensen, DeRosia and Lee, *Trademarks, Consumer Psychology, and the Sophisticated Consumer*, 57 EMORY L.J. 575 (2008).
- Christie, *Reconceptualising Copyright in the Digital Era*, 17 EUR. INTELL. PROP. REV. 522 (1995).
- Chronopoulos, *Trade Dress Rights as Instruments of Monopolistic Competition: Towards a Rejuvenation of the Misappropriation Doctrine in Unfair Competition Law and a Property Theory of Trademarks*, 16 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 119 (2012).
- Ciccotello, Grant, and Dickey, *Will Consult For Food! Rethinking Barriers To Professional Entry In The Information Age*, 40 AM. BUS. L.J. 905 (2003).
- Clark, Note, *The Truth in Domain Names Act of 2003 and a Preventative Measure to Combat Typosquatting*, 89 CORNELL L. REV. 1476 (2004).
- Claussen, *Ethnic Team Names and Logos—Is There a Legal Solution?*, 6 MARQUETTE SPORTS L.J. 409 (1996).
- Clay, *Starstruck: The Overextension of Celebrity Publicity Rights in State and Federal Courts*, 79 MINN. L. REV. 485-517 (1994).
- Cleere, *Intellectual Property: The Capital of the Information Age*, 48 ADVOCATE (IDAHO) 10 (2005).
- Clegg, *Aesthetic Functionality Conundrum and Traderight: A Proposal for a Foster Home to an Orphan of Intellectual Property Laws*, 89 IOWA L. REV. 273 (2003).
- Clemens, *A Balancing Approach: State Franchise Law and Federal Trademark Law*, 22 BUFFALO L. REV. 463 (1975).
- Clifford, *Non-UCC Statutory Provisions Affecting Warranty Disclaimers and Remedies in Sales of Goods*, 71 N.C.L. REV. 1011 (1993).
- Cline, *On a Clearplay, You Can See Whatever: Copyright and Trademark Issues Arising From Unauthorized Film Editing*, 27 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L.J. 567 (2005).

APPENDIX 4

- Clopton, Article, *Redundant Public-Private Enforcement*, 69 VAND. L. REV. 285 (2016).
- Coates, Article, *Corporate Speech & the First Amendment: History, Data, and Implications*, 30 CONST. COMMENT. 223 (2015).
- Coblentz, *Intellectual Property Crimes*, 9 Alb. L.J. Sci. & Tech. 235 (1999).
- Cockman, *Running from the Runway: Trade Dress Protection in an Age of Lifestyle Marketing*, 89 IOWA L. REV. 671 (2004).
- Codex Alimentarius Commission: *25 Years of Fair Trade and Consumer Protection*, 42 FOOD DRUG COSM. L.J. 163 (1987).
- Cody, *Initial Interest Confusion: What Ever Happened to Traditional Likelihood of Confusion Analysis?*, 12 FED. CIR. B.J. 643 (2003).
- Cody, *Just Whenu Thought It was All Over, Gator's Kin Pops Up and Slides Out of Dangerous IP Waters (for the Most Part): A Review of 2 Online Pop-Up Advertisers and 4 Internet Law Decisions*, 7 PGH J. TECH. L. & POL'Y 3 (2004).
- Cody, *One Cyberswamp Predator Pops Up and Slides Into Dangerous IP Waters*, 14 ALB. L.J. SCI. & TECH. 81 (2003).
- Coe, *Keeping Trade Secrets Secret*, 76 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 8339 (1994).
- Cohen, *Double Vision: The FTC, State Regulation, and Deciding What's Best for Consumers*, 59 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 1249 (1991).
- Cohen, *I'll Trade You Scott Podsednik for Alex Rodriguez: Fantasy Trademark and Copyright Protection*, 13 SPORTS LAW. J. 133 (2006).
- Cohen, *The Single Publication Rule: One Action, Not One Law*, 62 BROOKLYN L. REV. 921 (1996).
- Cohen, *A Tangled Web of Trademark Trouble: Trademark Infringement is Rampant on the Internet—But Deciding Whether to Prosecute is a Tricky Issue*, 18 AMER. LAWYER 41 (1996).
- Cohn and Bergman, Article, *False Advertising Disputes: Interplay between Courts and the NAD*, 8 LANDSLIDE 6 (2015-2016).
- Coil and Alexander, *Impact of New State Unfair Trade Practices Acts on the Field of Unfair Competition*, 67 TMR 625 (1977).
- Cole, *State Enforcement Efforts Directed Against Unfair or Deceptive Practices*, 56 ANTITRUST L.J. 125 (1987).
- Coleman, *National Brands, Private Labels and Unfair Competition—When Imitation Goes Beyond the Sincerest Form of Flattery*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 79 (1997).
- Collins, *Intending to Confuse: Why Preponderance Is the Proper Burden of Proof for Intentional Trademark Infringements Under the Lanham Act*, 67 OKLA. L. REV. 73 (2014).
- Collison, *Review of State Trademark Matters*, 55 TMR 752 (1965).
- Collison, *A Review of State Trademark Matters*, 56 TMR 643 (1966).

- Colman, *An Overview of Intellectual Property Issues Relevant to the Fashion Industry*, 2012 WL 167352 (2012).
- Colton, Acharya and Bootcheck, *Intellectual Property (Eleventh Circuit Survey)*, 56 MERCER L. REV. 1305 (2005).
- Combatting Consumer Fraud in Missouri: The Development of Missouri's Merchandising Practices Act*, 52 MO. L. REV. 365 (1987).
- Compton, Article, *N.I.G.G.A., Slumdog, Dyke, Jap, and Heeb: Reconsidering Disparaging Trademarks in a Post-Racial Era*, 15 WAKE FOREST J. BUS. & INTELL. PROP. L. 1 (2014-2015).
- Cone, Note, *Designer Discounter Infringes Trademark and Goes Unpunished: A Look at Gucci America, Inc. v. Daffy's, Inc. and the Lanham Act*, 11 RICH. J.L. & TECH. 8 (2005).
- Conley and Hunter, *The Curse of History: Good Bank Brands Make Bad Bank Trademarks*, 11 N.C. BANKING INST. 1 (March 2007).
- Constitutionality of Bottle Registration Law*, 9 TMR Bull. 194 (1913).
- Consumer Financial Services: Annual Survey*, 42 BUS. LAW. 887 (1987).
- Consumer Standing to Sue for False and Misleading Advertising Under Section 43(a) of the Lanham Trademark Act*, 17 MEM. ST. U. L. REV. 417-38 (1987).
- Cook, *Do as We Say, Not as We Do: A Study of the Well-Known Marks Doctrine in the United States*, 8 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. 412 (2009).
- Cook, *#Liability: Avoiding the Lanham Act and the Right of Publicity on Social Media*, 83 U. CHI. L. REV. 457 (2016).
- Cook, *Post-Judgment Remedies in Reaching Patents, Copyrights and Trademarks in the Enforcement of Money Judgment*, 9 Nw. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 128 (2010).
- Cooke, *Intellectual Property Licenses and Assignments Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code: A Brief Survey of the Nature of Property Rights Conferred and Implications Due to Reorganization*, 15 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 213 (2007).
- Coolley, *Personal Liability of Corporate Officers and Directors in Intellectual Property Actions?* 33 PRAC. LAW. 79 (1987).
- Coolley, *An Update: Employee Suggestion Programs*, 69 J. PAT. & TM. OFF. SOC'Y 503 (1987).
- Coombe, *Marking Difference in American Commerce: Trademarks and Alterity at Century's Ends*, 10 CAN. J. L. & SOC'Y 119 (1995).
- Cooper and Shepherd, Article, *State Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Laws: An Economic and Empirical Analysis*, 81 ANTITRUST L.J. 947 (2016-2017).
- Corbin and Renaud, *When Confusion Surveys Collide: Poor Designs or Good Science*, 94 TMR 781 (2004).



APPENDIX 4

- Corgill, *Measuring the Gains of Trademark Infringement*, 69 *FORDHAM L. REV.* 1909 (1997).
- Corr, *Protection of Art Work Through Artists' Rights: An Analysis of State Law and Proposal for Change*, 38 *AM. U. L. REV.* 855 (1989).
- Corrigan and Kass, *Non-Compete Agreements and Unfair Competition—An Updated Overview*, 62 *J. MO. B.* 81 (2006).
- Costas and Harris, *Trademarks and the Related Law of Unfair Competition*, 37 *CONN. B.J.* 420 (1963).
- Cotropia and Harre, *Recent Developments in Trademark Law*, 4 *TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J.* 497 (1996).
- Cotter, *Integrating the Right of Publicity with First Amendment and Copyright Preemption Analysis*, 33 *COLUM. J.L. & ARTS* 165 (2010).
- Cotter, *Owning What Doesn't Exist, Where It Doesn't Exist: Rethinking Two Doctrines From the Common Law of Trademarks*, 1995 *U. ILL. L. REV.* 487 (1995).
- Cowart and Sutton, *Recent Developments in the Unfair Competition Area Including Trademarks, Trade Dress and False Advertising*, 4 *TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J.* 289 (1996).
- Cox, *State Consumer Protection or Deceptive Trade Practices Statutes: Their Application to Extensions of Credit and Other Banking Activities*, 105 *BANKING L.J.* 214-26 (1988).
- Cox, *Why Coca-Cola's Fictional Lawsuit Against Coke Zero for Taste Infringement is a Losing Battle*, 17 *J. INTELL. PROP. L.* 121 (2009).
- Cox, Cox and Magid, *Quantifying Brand Image: Empirical Evidence of Trademark Dilution*, 43 *AM. BUS. L.J.* 1, (2006).
- Craft, *State Consumer Protection Enforcement: Recent Trends and Developments*, 59 *ANTITRUST L.J.* 997 (1990/1991).
- Craig, Note, *The Pragmatic Disappointment of State Preemption: The 2016 Defend Trade Secrets Act and its Failure to Protect Employee Whistleblowers from Federal Computer Crime Law*, 44 *J. Legis.* 284 (2017).
- Cramer, *Copyrights and Estates*, 67 *N.Y. ST. B.J.* 43 (1995).
- Crampton, *State Long-Arm Jurisdiction—A Suggested Method of Analysis*, 17 *CREIGHTON L. REV.* 1459 (1983–84).
- Creasman, *Establishing Geographic Rights in Trademarks Based on Internet Use*, 95 *TRADEMARK REP.* 1016 (2005).
- Creasman, *Free Speech and "Sucking" —When is the Use of a Trademark in a Domain Name Fair?*, 95 *TRADEMARK REP.* 1034 (2005).
- Crook, *Balancing Intellectual Property Protection with the Human Right to Health*, 23 *BERKELEY J. INT'L L.* 524 (2005).
- Cross, *Contributory and Vicarious Liability for Trademark Dilution*, 80 *OR. L. REV.* 625 (2001).

- Cross, *Contributory Infringement and Related Theories of Secondary Liability for Trademark Infringement*, 80 IOWA L. REV. 101 (1994).
- Cross, *Language and the Law: The Special Role of Trademarks, Trade Names, and Other Trade Emblems*, 31 INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 223 (1999).
- Cross, *Language and the law: the special role of trademarks, trade names and other trade emblems*, 76 NEB. L. REV. 95 (1997).
- Cross, *The Role of the States in United States Trademark Law*, 49 U. LOUISVILLE L. REV. 485 (2011).
- Cundiff, *Trade secrets and the Internet: a practical perspective*, 14 COMPUTER LAW 6 (1997).
- Cunningham, *Utilitarian Design Features and Antitrust Parallels: An Economic Approach to Understanding the Functionality Defense in Trademark Litigation*, 18 HASTINGS COMM. & ENTERTAINMENT L.J. 569 (1996).
- Curran, *Diluting the Commercial Speech Doctrine: "Noncommercial Use" and the Federal Trademark Dilution Act*, 71 U. CHI. L. REV. 1077 (2004).
- Curtis and Stempler, *So What Do We Name the Team? Trademark Infringement, The Lanham Act and Sports Franchises*, 19 COLUM. VLA J.L. & ARTS 23-44 (Fall 1994/Winter 1995).
- Cusson, *Reverse Confusion: Modifying the Polaroid Factors to Achieve Consistent Results*, 6 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 179 (1995).
- Czarnezki, Homan and Jeans, *Article, Greenwashing and Self-Declared Seafood Ecolabels*, 28 Tul. Env'tl. L.J. 37 (2014-2015).
- Daiker, *Florida's Motor Vehicle Warranty Enforcement Act: Lemon-Aid for the Consumer*, 45 FLA. L. REV. 253 (1993).
- Dalberth, *Unfair and Deceptive Acts and Practices in Real Estate Transactions: The Duty to Disclose Off-Site Environmental Hazards*, 97 DICK. L. REV. 153 (Fall 1992).
- Dame, *Confusingly dissimilar applications of trademark law to vanity telephone numbers*, 46 CATH. U.L. REV. 1199 (1997).
- Daniel, *Protecting Trade Secrets From Discovery*, 30 TORT & INS. L.J. 1033 (1995).
- Daniels, *The State-Federal Registration Problem*, 33 TMR Bull. 110 (1938).
- Darrow and Ferrera, *The Search Engine Advertising Market: Lucrative Space or Trademark Liability?*, 17 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 223 (2009).
- Das, *Intellectual Property Dispute, GATT, WIPO: Of Playing by the Game Rules and Rules of the Game*, 35 IDEA 149-81 (1994).
- David, *Federal Preemption of a Federal Statute: The Case of Vornado Air Circulation Systems v. Duracraft Corporation*, 37 SANTA CLARA L. REV. 253 (1996).

APPENDIX 4

- Davidson, *Supreme Court Says Yes to Color, Pure and Simple: Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co.*, 21 U. DAYTON L. REV. 855 (1996).
- Davidson, *Victor's Victory*, 9 COMM. L. & POL'Y 183 (2004).
- Davidson and Engisch, *Copyright Misuse and Fraud on the Copyright Office: An Escape for Infringers*, 13 COMPUTER LAW 14 (1996).
- Davidson and Engisch, *"Trademark Misuse" in Domain Name Disputes*, 13 COMPUTER LAWYER 13 (1996).
- Davis, *Copying in the Shadow of the Constitution: The Rational Limits of Trade Dress Protection*, 80 MINN. L. REV. 595 (1996).
- Davis, *Death of a Salesman's Doctrine: A Critical Look at Trademark Use*, 19 GA. L. REV. 233 (1985).
- Davis, *Fine Art and Moral Rights: The Immoral Triumph of Emotionalism*, 17 HOFSTRA L. REV. 317 (1989).
- Davis, *Management and Protection of Brand Equity in Product Configurations*, 1998 U. ILL. L. REV. 59.
- Davis, *Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition in the Courts of General Jurisdiction*, 101 TMR 1218 (2011).
- Davis and Shanks, Article, *Pom Wonderful LLC versus Coca-Cola Co.: The Supreme Court Juices up Section 43(A) of the Lanham Act*, 7 LANDSLIDE 12 (2014-2015).
- Davis and Weinstein, *The Fifty-Eighth Year of Administration of the Lanham Trademark Act of 1946*, TRADEMARK REP. 6, (2006).
- Day, *State Anti-Dilution Without a Statute*, 54 TMR 590 (1964).
- Dean, *The Sheriff is Coming to Cyberville: Trademark and Copyright Law and the Internet*, 11 BYU J. PUB. L. 75 (1997).
- DeArmond, Article, *Preventing Preemption: Finding Space for States to Regulate Consumers' Credit Reports*, 2016 BYU L. REV. 365 (2016).
- Deceptive Trade Practices—Consumer Protection Act Symposium*, 18 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 1 (1987).
- De Chavez, Note, *Building a Trademark Safe Harbor for Contributory Counterfeiting Liability After Tiffany v. Ebay*, 86 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 249 (2012).
- Deering, *Trademarks on Noncompetitive Products*, 36 OR. L. REV. 1 (1956).
- Delaney, *Safeguarding Washington's Trade Secrets: Protecting Businesses from Public Records Requests*, 92 WASH L. REV. 365 (2016).
- Delflache, Hillson and Silbert, *Life After Moseley: The Trademark Dilution Revision Act*, 16 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 125 (2007).
- Del Pizzo, *Developing a Uniform Test for "Reverse Confusion" Trademark Cases in the Sports and Entertainment Industries*, 14 SETON HALL J. OF SPORTS & ENT. L. 175 (2004).
- Delrahim, *The Long and Winding Road: Convergence in the Application of Antitrust to Intellectual Property*, 13 GEO. MASON L. REV. 259 (2005).

- Del Tufo, *How Federal and State Governments Can Share Consumer Protection Power*, 43 ADMIN. L. REV. 495 (1991).
- DeMers, *Limiting Lanham to Save Sherman: Narrowing the Application of the FTDA to Further the Goals of Federal Antitrust Law*, 13 FED. CIR. B.J. 691 (2004).
- Denham, *No More Than Lanham, No Less Than Paris? A Federal Law of Unfair Competition*, 36 TEX. INT'L L.J. 795 (2001).
- Denicola, *Freedom to Copy*, 108 YALE L.J. 1661 (1999).
- Dennis, *Assessing the Risks of Competitive Intelligence Activities Under the Antitrust Laws*, 46 S.C. L. REV. 263-287 (1995).
- Derenberg, *The Limited Legal Effects of State Registration*, 31 TMR BULL. 267 (1936).
- Derenberg, *The Problem of Trademark Dilution and the Antidilution Statutes*, 44 CALIF. L. REV. 439 (1956).
- Derzko, *Using Intellectual Property Law and Regulatory Processes to Foster the Innovation and Diffusion of Environmental Technologies*, 20 HARV. ENVTL. L. REV. 3 (1996).
- A Descendible Right of Publicity: Has the Time Finally Come for a National Standard?*, 17 PEPPERDINE L. REV. 933 (1990).
- Desai and Rierson, *Confronting the Genericism Conundrum*, 28 CARDOZO L. REV. 1789 (2007).
- Deutch, *Unfair Competition And The "Misappropriation Doctrine" — Renewed Analysis*, 48 ST. LOUIS L.J. 503 (2004).
- Devience, *Back to Open Season on American Product Ingenuity: Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft, Inc.*, 24 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 209 (1990).
- Devience, *Magnuson-Moss Act: Substitution for UCC Warranty Protection?*, 95 COM. L.J. 323 (1990).
- Devitt, *Coping With Statutes Which Muddy the Waters of Trademark Practice*, 11 APLA Q.J. 236 (1983).
- Digby, *What's the Difference?: Should Dickinson v. Zurko Apply in the Trademark Context?*, 15 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 173 (2007).
- Diggins, *Federal and State Regulation of Trademarks: Trademarks in Transition*, 14 LAW & CONTEMP. PROBS. 200 (1949).
- Dilgren, Note, *As a Matter of Fact, it's a Question of Law: A Case for De Novo Review of Likelihood of Confusion in Trademark Cases*, 28 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L. J. 109 (2005).
- Dillon and Landau, *Two Pesos v. Taco Cabana: Still More Interesting for What It Did Not Decide*, 94 TMR 944 (2004).
- Dinwoodie, *The Trademark Jurisprudence of the Rehnquist Court*, 8 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 187 (2004).
- Dinwoodie, *Lewis & Clark Law School Ninth Distinguished IP Lecture: Developing Defenses in Trademark Law*, 13 LEWIS & CLARK L. REV. 99 (2009).

APPENDIX 4

- Dinwoodie and Janis, *Confusion Over Use: Contextualism in Trademark Law*, 92 IOWA L. REV. 1597 (2007).
- Dinwoodie and Janis, *Lessons from the Trademark Use Debate*, 92 IOWA L. REV. 1703 (2007).
- Dirickson, Note, *The Trademark Dilution "Secret" Is Out: The FTDA and the Supreme Court Leave Victoria's Secret and Future Plaintiffs Without a Legal or Equitable Remedy*, 40 TULSA L. REV. 155 (2004).
- Dispoto, *Protecting Small Businesses Against Trademark Bullying: Creating a Federal Law to Remove the Disparity of Leverage Trademark Holders Maintain over Small Businesses*, 16 SAN DIEGO INT'L L.J. 457 (2015).
- Dittersdorf and Richard, *A Teamwork Approach to Trademark Protection*, 41 PRAC. LAW 73 (1995).
- Dix and Taylor, *Cyberspace Names Receive Limited Protection in U.S.*, IP WORLDWIDE 21 (July/Aug. 1996).
- Do, Note, *Dilution Standard Number: A Quantitative Approach in Proving Actual Dilution Under the Federal Trademark Dilution Act*, 11 TEX. WESLEYAN L. REV. 107 (2004).
- Dockins, *Internet Links: The Good, the Bad, the Tortious, and a Two-Part Test*, 36 U. TOL. L. REV. 367 (2005).
- Dodd, *Rights in Information: Conversion and Misappropriation Causes of Action in Intellectual Property Cases*, 32 HOUS. L. REV. 459 (1995).
- Doellinger, *A New Theory of Trademarks*, 111 PENN ST. L. REV. 823 (2007).
- Dogan, *An Exclusive Right to Evoke*, 44 B.C. L. REV. 291 (2003). Dogan, *Beyond Trademark Use*, 8 J. TELECOMM. & HIGH TECH. L. 135 (2010).
- Dogan, *Trademark Remedies and Online Intermediaries*, 14 LEWIS & CLARK L. REV. 467 (2010).
- Dogan and Lemley, *Grounding Trademark Law Through Trademark Use*, 92 IOWA L. REV. 1669 (2007).
- Dogan and Lemley, *A Search-Costs Theory of Limiting Doctrines in Trademark Law*, 97 TMR 1223 (2007).
- Dogan and Lemley, *Trademarks and Consumer Search Costs on the Internet*, 41 HOUS. L. REV. 777 (2004).
- Dogan and Lemley, *What The Right Of Publicity Can Learn From Trademark Law*, 58 STAN. L. REV. 1161 (2006).
- Dogan and Lemley, *What's Different About Intellectual Property*, 83 TEX. L. REV. 1097 (2005).
- Dolan, *Fits over Counterfeiting: Legislative Accomplishments and Directions*, 27 AIPLA QUARTERLY J. 233 (1999).
- Dole, Article, *The Contours of American Trade Secret Law: What Is and What Isn't Protectable as a Trade Secret*, 19 SMU SCI. & TECH. L. REV. 89 (2016).

- Dole, Article, *The Contract Exception to the Uniform Trade Secrets Act and Its Implications for the Federal Defend Trade Secrets Act*, 34 SANTA CLARA HIGH TECH. L.J. 362 (2017-2018).
- Dole, Article, *Identifying the Trade Secrets at Issue in Litigation under the Uniform Trade Secrets Act and the Federal Defend Trade Secrets Act*, 33 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 470 (2016-2017).
- Domenico, *Mark Madness: How Brent Musburger and the Miracle Bra May Have Led to a More Equitable and Efficient Understanding of the Reverse Confusion Doctrine in Trademark Law*, 86 VA. L. REV. 597 (2000).
- Dorismond, Article, *One Rule to Stand on: Expanding the Scope of Lexmark v. Static Control to False Association Cases under 15 U.S.C. Sec. 1125(A)*, 16 WAKE FOREST J. BUS. & INTELL. PROP. L. 1 (2015-2016).
- Dornbos, *Structuring, Financing, and Preserving Security Interests in Intellectual Property*, 113 BANKING L.J. 656 (1996).
- Dorr and Munch, *Protecting Trade Secrets, Patents, Copyrights, and Trademarks*, WILEY 1995 xxv, 495 p.
- Dougherty, *Trademark Law in the Entertainment Industry—An Overview*, AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION, (2005).
- Dougherty and Lastowka, *Virtual Trademarks*, SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 749 (2008).
- Dowell, *Trade Dress Protection of Product Designs: Stifling The Progress of Science and the Useful Arts for an Unlimited Time*, 70 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 137-92 (1994).
- Drachler, *The Status of Alien Corporations in the Law of the United States*, 23 FORDHAM L. REV. 49 (1954).
- Dratler, *Trademark Protection for Industrial Designs*, 1988 U. ILL. L. REV. 887.
- Dreitler, *The Tiger Woods Case—Has the Sixth Circuit Abandoned Trademark Law?: ETW Corp. v. Jireh Publishing, Inc.*, 38 AKRON L. REV. 337 (2005).
- Dressman, *The Secret's Out: Mental Associations Matter in a Federal Dilution Claim*, 32 N. KY. L. REV. 569, (2005).
- Dreyfuss, *Do You Want to Know a Trade Secret? How Article 2B Will Make Licensing Trade Secrets Easier (But Innovation More Difficult)*, 87 CALIF. L. REV. 191 (1999).
- Dreyfuss, *Expressive Generosity: Trademarks as Language in the Pepsi Generation*, 65 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 309 (1990).
- Dreyfuss, *Trade Secrets: How Well Should We Be Allowed to Hide Them? The Economic Espionage Act of 1996*, 9 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 1 (1998).

APPENDIX 4

- Dreyfuss, *We are Symbols and Inhabit Symbols, So Should We be Paying Rent? Deconstructing the Lanham Act and Rights of Publicity*, 20 COLUM. VLS J.L. & ARTS 123 (1996).
- The DTPA and Priority: Let the Buyer Beware Becomes Let the Buyer Recover*, 36 BAYLOR L. REV. 787 (1987).
- DuBoff, *Marks of O.J.: Trade Marks, That Is*, 7 Ent. L. Rev. 280 (1996).
- DuBoff, *Legal Practice Tips: What's In A Name?: Revisiting Trademark Law*, 63 OR. ST. B. BULL. 39 (2003).
- DuBoff, *What's in a Name: The Interplay Between the Federal and Trademark Registries and State Business Registries*, 6 DEPAUL BUS. L.J. 15 (1993).
- Dueker, *Trademark Law Lost in Cyberspace: Trademark Protection for Internet Addresses*, 9 HARV. J.L. & TECH. 483 (1996).
- Dunaevsky, *Don't Confuse Metatags with Initial Interest Confusion*, 29 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 1349 (2002).
- Dunne, *Deterring Unauthorized Access to Computers: Controlling Behavior in Cyberspace Through a Contract Law Paradigm*, 35 JURIMETRICS J. 115 (Fall 1994).
- Dupre, *A solution to the problem? Trademark infringement and dilution by domain names: bringing the cyberworld in line with the 'real' world*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 613 (1997).
- Duvall, *The Trademark Dilution Revision Act of 2006: Balanced Protection for Famous Brands*, 97 TMR 1252 (2007).
- Dworkin and Callahan, *Buying Silence*, 36 AM. BUS. L.J. 151 (1998).
- Dzara, *The Safety Dance: Balancing the Competing Procurement and Intellectual Property Interests in the Department of Homeland Security*, 35 PUB. CONT. L.J. 99 (2005).
- Eames, *Caught on Tape: Exposing the Unsettled and Unpredictable State of the Right of Publicity*, 3 J. HIGH TECH. L. 41 (2004).
- Earle, *Combating the New Drug Trade of Counterfeit Goods: A Proposal for New Legal Remedies*, 20 TRANSNAT'L L. & CONTEMP. PROBS. 677 (2012).
- Easley, *Recent Developments in Trademark Law*, 5 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 273 (1997).
- Edelman and Ewing, *50th Anniversary of the Lanham Act: The Federal Trademark Dilution Act of 1995: A Litigation Perspective*, 86 TRADEMARK REP. 485 (1996).
- Edwards, *What's the Score?: Does the Right of Publicity Protect Professional Sports Leagues?*, 62 ALB. L. REV. 579 (1998).
- Effross, *Owning Enlightenment: Proprietary Spirituality in the "New Age" Marketplace*, 51 BUFFALO L. REV. 483 (2003).
- Egan, *Lanham Act Protection for Artistic Expression: Literary Titles and the Pursuit of Secondary Meaning*, 75 TUL. L. REV. 1777 (2001).

- Ehrlich, *Anti-Dilution Laws Give Plaintiffs Powerful Weapon Against Copiers*, 5 NAT'L L.J. 28 (1985).
- Eisen and Cheatham, *Trademark and Marketing Issues for Financial Institutions*, 60 CONSUMER FIN. L.Q. REP. 194 (2006).
- Eklund, *Intellectual Property Rights in Joint Research Ventures With the National Laboratories*, 17 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L.J. 763-915 (1995).
- Elias, *Patent, Copyright and Trademark: A Desk Reference to Intellectual Property Law*, NOLO PRESS, 1996, 430 p.
- Emerson, *Franchising and the Collective Rights of Franchisees*, 43 VAND. L. REV. 1503 (1990).
- Emerson, *Franchising and Consumers' Beliefs About "Tied" Products: The Death Knell for Krehl [Krehl v. Baskin-Robbins Ice Cream Co., 664 F.2d 1348 (1982)]*, 45 FLA. L. REV. 163 (1993).
- Englander et. al., *Understanding Trademark and Copyright Developments for Online Content: Leading Lawyers on Understanding New Technology Challenges, Obtaining IP Protection for Clients, and Litigating Internet Infringement* (2010).
- Epstein, *Modern Intellectual Property*, ASPEN LAW AND BUSINESS (1995).
- Erwin, *A Decade of Consumer Protection Constraints*, 27 MD. B.J. 22 (1994).
- Esty, *Unpacking the "Trade and Environment" Conflict*, 25 LAW & POL'Y INT'L BUS. 1259-86 (1994).
- Fagundes, *Talk Derby to Me: Intellectual Property Norms Governing Roller Derby Pseudonyms*, 90 TEX. L. REV. 1093 (2012).
- Fahimian, *How the IP Guerrillas Won: (R) TM ark, Adbusters, Negativland, and the "Bullying Back" of Creative Freedom and Social Commentary*, 2004 STAN. TECH. L. REV. 1 (2004).
- Farber, *Conflicting Visions and Contested Baselines: Intellectual Property and Free Speech in the "Digital Millenium,"* 89 MINN. L. REV. 1318 (2005).
- Farley, *Convergence and Incongruence: Trademark Law and ICANN'S Introduction of New Generic Top-Level Domains*, 25 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 625 (2009).
- Fawcett, *The Movement for Uniform State Trade-Mark Laws*, 27 TMR BULL. 214 (1932).
- Fawcett, *The Progress in 1933 of the Movement for Uniform State Trade-Mark Laws*, 28 TMR BULL. 285 (1935).
- Fawcett, *Trade-Mark Contacts in the News*, 30 TMR BULL. 166, 199, 239, 270 (1935).
- Federal Estate Tax and the Right of Publicity: Taxing Estates for Celebrity Value*, 108 HARV. L. REV. 683-700 (1995).



- Feldman, *What Every Trademark Attorney Should Know About Business Motivations to License*, 86 TMR 47 (1996).
- Feldman, Goodhard, *Franchise Law May Entangle the Trademark Deal; All Too Easily, a Party to a Licensing Agreement May Find Itself an Inadvertent Franchisor (Intellectual Property)*, 16 NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL 19 (1994).
- Field, *Improving the Federal Circuit's Approach to Choice of Law for Procedural Matters in Patent Cases*, 16 GEO. MASON L. REV. 643 (2009).
- Filsko, *Trademark Ruling Creates Confusion*, 4 No. 43 ABA J. E-REPORT 2 (2005).
- Finch, *When Imitation is the Sincerest Form of Flattery: Private Label Products and the Role of Intention in Determining Trade Dress Infringement*, 63 U. CHI. L. REV. 1243 (1996).
- Fingerhut and Singleton, *The gTLD-MoU: A Yellow Flag for Trademark Owners on The Information Superhighway*, 38 IDEA 281 (1998).
- First Amendment II: Developments in the Right of Publicity*, 1989 ANN. SURV. AM. L. 211 (1990).
- Fisch, *Seeking the Intersection of Two Legal Sets, A Review of The Commercial Law of Intellectual Property*, 19 COLUM. VLA J.L. & ARTS 301 (1995).
- Fittante, *"Community Of Interest": Clarity Or Confusion?*, 22 FRANCHISE L.J. 160 (2003).
- Flagel and Hernand, *U.S. Intellectual Property Guidelines: Changes in Enforcement Policies and Jurisdictions*, 73 PAT. WORLD 705 (1995).
- Flageland Weiner, *Trade Secret Software as Prior Art: Litigation Strategies*, 11 COMPUTER LAW. 8 (1994).
- Flanagan, *No Free Parking: Obtaining Relief from Trademark-Infringing Domain Name Parking*, 92 MINN. L. REV. 498 (2007).
- Fletcher and Kera, *The Forty-Fifth Year of Administration of the Lanham Trademark Act of 1946*, 82 TMR 1041 (1992).
- Fletcher, *The Curious Doctrine Of Reverse Confusion—Getting It Right In Reverse*, 95 TRADEMARK REP. 1273 (2005).
- Florey, *State Courts, State Territory, State Power: Reflections on the Extraterritoriality Principle in Choice of Law and Legislation*, 84 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 1057 (2009).
- Flynn, *Article, You Know, It's Just Marketing - Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices in Car Dealer Buy Backs Offers*, 42 U. DAYTON L. REV. 11 (2017).
- Flynn and Slater, *All We Are Saying Is Give Business a Chance: The Application of State UDAP Statutes to Business-to-Business Transactions*, 15 LOY. CONSUMER L. REV. 81 (2003).

- Foley, *Protecting Fictional Characters: Defining the Elusive Trademark-Copyright Divide*, 41 CONN. L. REV. 921 (2009).
- Foley, Note, *Protecting Fictional Characters: Defining the Elusive Trademark-Copyright Divide*, 41 CONN. L. REV. 921 (2009).
- Folsom, *Missing the Mark in Cyberspace: Misapplying Trademark Law to Invisible and Attenuated Uses*, 33 RUTGERS COMPUTER & TECH. L.J. 137 (2007).
- Folsom, *Space Pirates, Hitchhikers, Guides, and the Public Interest: Transformational Trademark Law in Cyberspace*, 99 TRADEMARK REP. 1166 (2009).
- Fowler, *To Protect and ... To Profit: The Trademarking of the LAPD as an Example of Expanding Intellectual Property Rights*, 74 S. CAL. L. REV. 1623 (2001).
- Fowler, *Universally Accepted Standards of International Copyright Protection on the Information Superhighway: An Improbable Dream*, 11 ST. JOHN'S J. LEGAL COMMENT, 627 (1996).
- Fox, *Does it Really Suck?: The Impact of Cutting-Edge Marketing Tactics on Internet Trademark Law and Gripe Site Domain Name Disputes*, 20 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 225 (2009).
- Fox, *Legal Strategy and Trial Procedure*, 32 N.Y.L. SCH. L. REV. 835 (1987).
- Note, *Franchise Terminations and Refusals to Renew: The Lanham Act and Preemption of State Regulation*, 60 IOWA L. REV. 122 (1974).
- Franke and Ballam, *New Applications of Consumer Protection Law: Judicial Activism or Legislative Directive?*, 32 SANTA CLARA L. REV. 347 (1992).
- Franklyn, *The Apparent Manufacturer Doctrine, Trademark Licensors and the Third Restatement of Torts*, 49 CASE W. RES. L. REV. 671 (1999).
- Franklyn, *Debunking Dilution Doctrine: Toward a Coherent Theory of the Anti-Free-Rider Principle in American Trademark Law*, 56 HASTINGS L.J. 117 (2004).
- Frenkel, *Intellectual Property in the Balance: Proposals for Improving Industrial Design Protection in the Post-TRIPs Era*, 32 LOY. L.A. L. REV. 531 (1999).
- Freno, *Trademark Valuation: Preserving Brand Equity*, 97 TMR 1055 (2007).
- Friedman, Note, *Naked Trademark Licenses in Business Format Franchising: The Quality Control Requirement and the Role of Local Culture*, 10 J. TECH. L. & POL'Y 353 (2005).
- Friedman, *No Confusion Here: Proposing a New Paradigm for the Litigation of Keyword Advertising Trademark Infringement Cases*, 12 VAND. J. ENT. & TECH. L. 355 (2010).

APPENDIX 4

- Friedman, *Private Right of Action Under the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deceptive Business Practices Act*, 76 ILL. B.J. 748 (1987).
- Friedman, *Protection of Sports Trademarks*, 15 LOY. L.A. ENT. L.J. 689 (1995).
- Friedman, *Report: A Lawyer's Ramble Down the Information Superhighway: Copyright*, 64 FORDHAM L. REV. 705 (1995).
- Friedman and Siebert, *The Name is Not Always the Same*, 20 PUGET SOUND L. REV. 631 (1997).
- Frischia and Cohen, *Trademark Use of Corporate Names*, 41 PRAC. LAW 1923 (1995).
- Fritch, *Searching for Initial Interest Confusion and Trademark Protection in Cyberspace*, 9 U. PITTSBURGH J. TECH. L. & POL'Y 4 (2005).
- Frontera, *Article, The Best of Two Tests A Hybrid Test for Balancing Right of Publicity and First Amendment Interests Tailored to the Complexities of Video Games*, 22 UCLA ENT. L. REV. 193 (2014-2015).
- Froomkin, *When We Say Us TM, We Mean It!*, 41 HOUS. L. REV. 839 (2004).
- Frye, *An Internet Advertising Service Can Constitute "Use In Commerce,"* 22 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 89, (2005).
- Fryer, *Trademark Product Appearance Features, United States and Foreign Protection Evolution: A Need for Clarification and Harmonization*, 34 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 947 (2001).
- Fuller, *"Fair Use" Trumps Likelihood of Confusion in Trademark Law: The Supreme Court Rules in KP Permanent v. Lasting Impression*, B.C. INTELL. PROP. & TECH. F. 11001 (2006).
- Furgang, *Licensing Trademarks and Copyrights*, C122 ALI-ABA 231 (1995).
- Gallagher and Goodstein, *Inference Versus Speculation in Trademark Infringement Litigation: Abandoning the Fiction of the Vulcan Mind Meld*, 94 TMR 1229 (2004).
- Galvano, *There Is No "Rational Basis" For Keeping It A "Secret" Anymore: Why The FTDA's "Actual Harm" Requirement Should Not Be Interpreted The Same Way For Dilution Caused By Blurring As It Is For Dilution Caused By Tarnishing*, 31 HOFSTRA L. REV. 1213 (2003).
- Gámez, *Note, WhenU.com, Inc. & Google Inc.: Parsing Trademark's Use Requirement*, 21 BERKELEY TECH L. J. 403 (2006).
- Gardner and Sheldon, *See Dick and Jane Sue: A Primer on State Consumer Protection Laws*, C739 ALI-ABA 253 (1992).
- Garrisson, *Franchising Task Force Report*, 66 LAW INST. J. 503 (1992).
- Garvey and Baluch, *Creating Nanotechnology Intellectual Property Assets: Driving Revenue and Deal Flow Through an Intelligent Intellectual Property Strategy*, 3 NANOTECHNOLOGY L. & BUS. 72 (2006).

- Gasperek, *Applying the Fair Use Defense in Traditional Trademark Infringement and Dilution Cases to Internet Meta Tagging or Linking Cases*, 7 GEO. MASON L. REV. 787 (1999).
- Gauntlett, *Recent Developments in Intellectual Property Law and Insurance*, 30 TORT & INS. L.J. 503-22 (1995).
- Gearing, Note, *Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue, Inc.*, 19 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 221 (2004).
- Geary-Boehm, *Cyber Chaos: The Clash between Band Fansites and Intellectual Property Holders*, 30 S. ILL. U. L. J. 87 (2005).
- Geise, *A Whole New Ballgame: The Application of Trademark Law to Sports Mark Litigation*, 5 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 553 (1995).
- Geist, *Cyberlaw 2.0*, 44 B.C. L. REV. 323 (2003).
- Geist, *Is There a There There? Toward a Greater Certainty for Internet Jurisdiction*, 16 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 1345 (2001).
- Geller, *Intellectual Property in the Global Marketplace: Impact of TRIPS Dispute Settlements?*, 29 INT'L LAW 99-115 (1995).
- Geraldson, Partridge and Welch, *Developments in Trademark and Unfair Competition Law: In the Courts, the Patent and Trademark Office and Before the Trademark and Appeal Board*, 24 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 1 (1990).
- Gerhardt, *Consumer Investment in Trademark*, 88 N.C.L. REV. 427 (2010).
- Gerhardt, *The 2006 Trademark Dilution Revision Act Rolls out a Luxury Claim and a Parody Exemption*, 8 N.C. J.L. & TECH. 205 (2007).
- Gerhardt, Article, *Lexmark and the Death of Initial Interest Confusion*, 7 LANDSLIDE 22 (2014-2015).
- Germain, *Guess What? Fair Use Isn't, Disparagement Is, and Parody Might Be Trademark Infringement*, SH051 A.L.I.-A.B.A. 97 (2002).
- Germain, *Trademark fundamentals for the general practitioner*, A.L.I.-A.B.A. COURSE OF STUDY, (April 23, 1998).
- German and Goldman, *Pollution in the Blogosphere: The Only Purpose of a New Form of Blog, Called a Splog, is Fraud and Infringement*, 30 LOS ANGELES LAWYER 32 (2007).
- Gerro and Lannan, *Trade and Innovation: Unilateralism v. Multilateralism*, 21 CAN.-U.S. L.J. 81 (1995).
- Gervais, *Intellectual Property, Trade & Development: The State of Play*, 74 FORDHAM L. REV. 505 (2005).
- Gessesse and Sanzaro, *Intellectual Property*, 33 AM. CRIM. L. REV. 839 (1996).
- Getlan, *TRIPs and the Future of Section 301: A Comparative Study in Dispute Resolution*, 34 COLUM. J. TRANSNAT'L L. 173 (1995).
- Ghosh, *Reconciling Property Rights and States' Rights in the Information Age: Federalism, the "Sovereign's Prerogative" and Takings After College Savings*, 31 U. TOL. L. REV. 17 (1999).

APPENDIX 4

- Ghosh, *Toward a Theory of Regulatory Takings for Intellectual Property: The Path Left Open After College Savings v. Florida Prepaid*, 37 SAN DIEGO L. REV. 637 (2000).
- Ghosh, *When Exclusionary Conduct Meets the Exclusive Rights of Intellectual Property: Morris v. PGA Tour and the Limits of Free Riding as an Antitrust Business Justification*, 37 LOY. U. CHI. L.J. 723 (2006).
- Giacoppo, *Avoiding the Tragedy of Frankenstein: The Application of the Right of Publicity to the Use of Digitally Reproduced Actors in Film*, 48 HASTINGS L.J. 601 (1997).
- Gibbons, *E Unum Pluribus: After Bond v. United States, State Law As a Gap Filler to Meet the International Obligations of the United States*, 46 WASH. U. J.L. & POL'Y 149 (2014).
- Gibbons, *Crowdsourcing a Trademark: What the Public Giveth, the Courts May Taketh Away*, 35 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L.J. 35 (2012).
- Gibbons, *Semiotics of the Scandalous and the Immoral and the Disparaging: Section 2(A) Trademark Law After Lawrence v. Texas*, 9 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 187 (2005).
- Gigot, *Midwest Industries v. Karavan Trailers: Federal Circuit Reverses Earlier Opinions and Expands Control Over Intellectual Property Claims*, 84 MARQ. L. REV. 659 (2001).
- Giles, *Article, Trade Dress: An Unsuitable Fit fo Product Design in the Fashion Industry*, 98 J. PAT & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 223 (2016).
- Gilfoil, *Note, A Judicial Safe Harbor Under the Anti-Cybersquatting Consumer Protection Act*, 20 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 185 (2005).
- Gill, *Through the Back Door: Attempts to Use Trade Dress to Protect Expired Patents*, 67 U. CIN. L. REV. 1269 (1999).
- Gillies, *Real World Toys and Currency Turn the Legal World Upside Down: A Cross-Sectional Update on Virtual World Legalities*, 12 INT'L J. COMM. L. & POL'Y 120 (2008).
- Gilpin, *Trademarks in Cyberspace: Fulfilling the "Use" Requirement Through the Internet*, 78 J. Pat. & Trademark Off. Soc'y 830 (1996).
- Gilson, *A Federal Dilution Statute: Is it Time*, 83 TMR 108 (1993).
- Gilson, *The Lanham Act: Time for a Face-Lift*, 92 TMR 1013 (2002).
- Gilson, *The Legal Infrastructure of High Technology Industrial Districts: Silicon Valley, Route 128, and Covenants Not to Compete*, 74 N.Y.U. L. REV. 575 (1999).
- Gilson, *Comment, Putting the Brakes On Monopolistic Trademark Expansion: Where the First Sale Defense Stands Against Post-Sale Confusion in the Wake of Au-Tomotive Gold v. Volkswagen*, 37 U. DAYTON L. REV. 223 (2012).

- Gilson, *Trade Name Infringements: Trap for the Unwary Corporation*, 59 TMR 582 (1969).
- Gilson and LaLonde, *Cinnamon Buns, Marching Ducks and Cherry-Scented Racecar Exhaust: Protecting Nontraditional Trademarks*, 95 TRADEMARK REP. 773 (2005).
- Gilwit, *The Latest Cybersquatting Trend: Typosquatters, Their Changing Tactics, and How To Prevent Public Deception and Trademark Infringement*, 11 WASH. U. J.L. & POL'Y 267 (2003).
- Gindin, *Searching Trademarks*, 15 LEGAL INFO. ALERT 1 (1996).
- Ginsburg, *Domestic and International Copyright Issue Implicated in the Compilation of a Multimedia Product*, 25 SETON HALL L. REV. 1397 (1995).
- Ginsburg, *Exploiting an Artist's Commercial Identity: The Merchandizing of Art Images*, 19 COLUM. VLA J.L. & ARTS 1-22 (1994/1995).
- Ginsburg, *Putting Cars on the "Information Superhighway": Authors, Exploiters, and Copyright in Cyberspace*, 95 COLUM. L. REV. 1466 (1995).
- Ginsburg, *The Right to Claim Authorship in U.S. Copyright and Trademarks Law*, 41 HOUS. L. REV. 263 (2004).
- Ginsburg, *Article, Tips for Protecting Trade Secrets and Proprietary Information*, 25 BUS. TORTS & UNFAIR COMPETITION 18 (2017-2018).
- Givan, *Using Trademarks as Location Tools on the Internet*, 2005 UCLA J.L. & TECH. 4 (2005).
- Glasser, *"To Clean Or Not To Clean": An Analysis Of The Copyright And Trademark Issues Surrounding The Legal Battle Between Third Party Film Editors And The Film Industry*, 22 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 129 (2004).
- Glazer, *Keyword Advertising: American Blinds and Wallpaper Factory v. Google*, 4 J. INTELL. PROP. 1 (2004).
- Goforth, *Trademark Law: The Lanham Act: Federal Trademark Law Does Not Protect the Ideas or Communications Embodied in a Communicative Product*, 34 CUMB. L. REV. 335 (2003/2004).
- Gold, *Legal Strategies to Address the Misrepresentation of Vermont Maple Syrup*, 59 FOOD DRUG L.J. 93 (2004).
- Goldberg, *How The "Summer Of The Spinoff" Came To Be: The Branding Of Characters In American Mass Media*, 23 LOY. L.A. ENT. L. REV. 301 (2003).
- Goldberg, *Joplin Lives; Publicity Right Rejected*, 78 ABA J. 74 (1992).
- Goldman, *Elvis Is Alive, But He Shouldn't Be: The Right of Publicity Revisited*, 1992 B.Y.U. L. REV. 597 (1992).
- Goldman, *Proving Dilution*, 58 U. MIAMI L. REV. 569 (2004).

APPENDIX 4

- Goldman, *Deregulating Relevancy in Internet Trademark Law*, 54 EMORY L.J. 507 (2005).
- Goldman, *Putting Lamborghini Doors on the Escalade: A Legal Analysis of the Unauthorized Use of Brand Names in Rap/Hip-Hop*, 8 TEX. REV. ENT. & SPORTS L. 1 (2007).
- Goldscheider, *The Employment of Licensing Expertise in the Arena of Intellectual Property Litigation*, 36 IDEA: J.L. & TECH. 159 (1996).
- Goldscheider, *Measuring the Damages: ADR and Intellectual Property Disputes*, 50 DISP. RESOL. J. 55 (1995).
- Goldstein, *Bringing the Model State Trademark Bill Into the 90s and Beyond*, 83 TMR 226 (1993).
- Goldstein, *Copyright, Patent, Trademark and Related State Doctrines*, 4th ed. Foundation Press (1997).
- Goldstein, *Right of Privacy Issues as They Apply to Photos*, 207 N.Y.L.J. 5 (1992).
- Goldstein, *Time for a Mulligan? How a Questionable 2003 Federal Circuit Decision Has Prompted a Need for Reform in the Refurbishing Industry*, 22 FED. CIR. B.J. 245 (2012).
- Goldstein, Kitch and Perlman, *Selected Statutes and International Agreements on Unfair Competition, Trademark and Patent*, Foundation Press (1996).
- Goldstone and Toren, *The Criminalization of Trademark Counterfeiting*, 31 CONN. L. REV. 1 (1998).
- Golinveaux, *What's in a Domain Name: Is 'Cybersquatting' Trademark Dilution?*, 33 U.S.F. L. REV. 641 (1999).
- Good, *Trade Secrets and the New Realities of the Internet Age*, 2 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 51 (1998).
- Goodberlet, *The Trademark Dilution Revision Act of 2006: Prospective Changes to Dilution Definition, Claim Analyses and Standard of Harm*, 6 J. HIGH TECH. L. 249 (2006).
- Goodenough, *Go Fish: Evaluating the Restatement's Formulation of the Law of Publicity*, 47 S.C. L. REV. 709 (1996).
- Goodwin, Note, *Pricey Purchases and Classy Customers: Why Sophisticated Consumers Do Not Need the Protection of Trademark Laws*, 12 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 255 (2004).
- Gore, *The New Trademark Frontier: Domain Names on the Net*, 18 AM. LAWYER S30 (1996).
- Gorman, Note, *Protecting Single Color Trademarks in Fashion after Louboutin*, 30 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 369 (2012).
- Gotts, Knable and Fogt, *Clinton Administration Expresses More than Intellectual Curiosity in Antitrust Issues Raised by Intellectual Property Licensing*, 22 AIPLA Q.J. 1 (1994).

- Grady and McKelvey, *Trademark Protection of School Colors: Smack Apparel and Sinks Decisions Trigger Color-ful Legal Debate for the Collegiate Licensing Industry*, 18 J. LEGAL ASPECTS OF SPORT 207 (2008).
- Graves, Article, *Is There an Empirical Basis for Predictions of Inevitable Disclosure*, 18 WAKE FOREST J. BUS. & INTELL. PROP. L. 190 (2017-2018).
- Gray, *Defending Against a Dilution Claim: A Practitioner's Guide*, 4 TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J. 205 (1996).
- Greene, *Sorting Out "Fair Use" and "Likelihood of Confusion" in Trademark Law*, 43 AM. BUS. L.J. 43 (2006).
- Greenfield, *How to Avoid, Prepare for, and (should all else fail) Win Supplier-Distributor Disputes*, 33 PRAC. LAW. 59 (1987).
- Greggs, Note, *Shakin' It to the Back of the Bus: How Parks v. LaFace Uses the Artistic Relevance Test to Adjudicate Artistic Content*, 61 WASH & LEE L. REV. 1287 (2004).
- Griewe, *Antidilution Statutes: A New Attack on Comparative Advertising*, 61 B.U.L. Rev. 220 (1981), reprinted in 72 TMR 178 (1982).
- Grimmelmann, *The Structure of Search Engine Law*, 93 IOWA L. REV. 1 (2007).
- Groen, *Recent Changes in State Trademark Statutes*, 47 TMR 679 (1957).
- Gross and Kaplan, Article, *The Secrets of Successful Trade Secret Litigation*, 2018 N.J. LAW. 16 (2018).
- Grossman, *Switch Hitting: How C.B.C. v. MLB Advanced Media Redefined the Right of Publicity*, 14 UCLA ENT. L. REV. 285 (2007).
- Grynberg, *The Judicial Role in Trademark Law*, 52 B.C. L. REV. 1283 (2011).
- Grynberg, *The Road Not Taken: Initial Interest Confusion, Consumer Search Costs, and the Challenge of the Internet*, 28 SEATTLE UNIV. L. R. 97 (2004).
- Grynberg, *Things are Worse Than We Think: Trademark Defenses in a "Formalist" Age*, 24 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 897 (2009).
- Grynberg, *Trademark Litigation as Consumer Conflict*, 83 N.Y.U.L. REV. 60 (2008).
- Guggenheim, *The Indians's Chief Problem: Chief Wahoo as State Sponsored Discrimination and Disparaging Mark*, 46 CLEV. ST. L. REV. 211 (1998).
- Guggenheim, *Tigers, Tornadoes, and Titans: Trademark Implications of Renaming the National Football League Oilers*, 76 U. DET. MERCY L. REV. 45 (1998).
- Gugliuzza, Article, *Patent Trolls and Preemption*, 101 VA. L. REV. 1579 (2015).



- Gulasekaram, *Policing the Border Between Trademarks and Free Speech: Protecting Unauthorized Trademark Use in Expressive Works*, 80 WASH. L. REV. 887, (2005).
- Gupta, *Names and Logos: Protection Under Intellectual Property Laws and Consequences*, 2 SPORTS LAW. J. 245 (1995).
- Gutterman, *Media Law*, 64 SYRACUSE L. REV. 867 (2014).
- Hacking, *Trademark Dilution: Setting the Dilution Standard Under the Federal Trademark Dilution Act*, 5 TUL. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 115 (2003).
- Hadfield, *Problematic Relations: Franchising and the Law of Incomplete Contracts*, 42 STAN. L. REV. 927 (1990).
- Haemmerli, *Insecurity Interests: Where Intellectual Property and Commercial Law Collide*, 96 COLUM. L. REV. 1645 (1996).
- Hagan, *Recent Developments in Trademark Law*, 4 TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J. 87 (1995).
- Haight and Pfeifer, *Area Summaries: Review of the 2000 Trademark Decisions by the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit*, 50 AM. U.L. REV. 1393 (2001).
- Haines, *First Amendment II: Developments in the Right of Publicity*, 1989 ANN. SURV. AM. L. 211 (1990).
- Halaby, *The Trickiest Problem with Functionality Revisited: A New Datum Prompts a Thought Experiment*, 63 N.Y.U. ANN. SURV. AM. L. 151 (2007).
- Halasz, Comment, *The Game of the Name: Shortcomings in the Dual-Agency Review of Drug Trademarks and a Remedial Cure*, 64 ADMIN. L. REV. 235 (2012).
- Halpern, *Trafficking in Trademarks: Setting Boundaries for the Uneasy Relationship Between "Property Rights" and Trademark and Publicity Rights*, 58 DEPAUL L. REV. 1013 (2009).
- Hamilton, *Trademarks on the Internet: Confusion, Collusion or Dilution?*, 4 TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J. 1 (1995).
- Hammitte, *Trademark Dispute Resolution*, 44 J.L. & TECH. 325 (2004).
- Handler, *Are the State Antidilution Laws Compatible with the National Protection of Trademarks?*, 88 TRADEMARK REP. 419 (1998).
- Handler, *Are the State Antidilution Laws Compatible With the National Protection of Trademarks?*, 75 TMR 269 (1985).
- Handler, Pitofsky, Goldschmid and Wood, *Trade Regulation—Cases and Materials*, 4th ed. Foundation Press (1997).
- Handy, Note, *Why the Initially Confused Should Get a Clue: The Battle between Trademark Infringement and Consumer Choice Online*, 16 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 567 (Winter, 2006).

- Hanning, *An Examination of the Possibility to Secure Intellectual Property Rights for Plant Genetic Resources Developed by Indigenous Peoples of the NAFTA States: Domestic Legislation Under the International Convention for Protection of New Plant Varieties*, 13 ARIZ. J. INT'L & COMP. LAW 175 (1996).
- Harmon, *Law, Art, and the Killing Jar*, 79 IOWA L. REV. 367-412 (1994).
- Harper, Note, *Single Literary Titles and Federal Trademark Protection: The Anomaly Between the USPTO and Case Law Precedents*, 45 IDEA 77 (2004).
- Harriman, *Aesthetic Functionality: The Disarray Among Modern Courts*, 86 TRADEMARK REP. 276 (1996).
- Harris and Burgess, *Compliance Planning for Intellectual Property Crimes*, 2 BUFF. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 1 (2003).
- Harrison, *Penal Actions for Trademark Infringement: A Survey of Statutes and Cases*, 57 TMR 285 (1967).
- Harrison and Carmody, *Registration Roundup on the Internet: Trademark Surfing in Domain Name Space*, 44-DEC HOUSTON LAWYER 22 (2006).
- Hart and Buttrick, *Intellectual Property & Standards*, 72 PAT. WORLD 16-23 (1995).
- Hartman, *Brand equity impairment—the meaning of dilution*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 418 (1997).
- Harvey, *Why State Registration?*, 34 TMR BULL. 166 (1939).
- Harvey and Appel, *The Declaratory Judgment Response to a Cease and Desist Letter: “First-to-File” or “Procedural Fencing”?*, 96 TMR 639 (2006).
- Hawk, Article, *Attempts to Monopolize: An American Anomaly*, 62 ANTITRUST BULL. 815 (2017).
- Hayes, *Advanced Copyright Issues on the Internet*, 7 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 1 (1998).
- Haynes, *Computer Software: Intellectual Property Protection in the United States and Japan*, 13 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 245-67 (1995).
- Haynes, *Should Designers Pay the Price?: A Look at Contributory Trademark Infringement as it Relates to Different Outcomes of Internet Auction Site Litigation in the United States and France*, 8 AVE MARIA L. REV. 223 (2009).
- Heald, *Filling Two Gaps in the Restatement (Third) of Unfair Competition: Mixed-Use Trademarks and the Problem with Vanna*, 47 S.C. L. REV. 783 (1996).
- Heald, *The Myth of Buick Aspirin: An Empirical Study of Trademark Dilution by Product and Trade Names*, 32 CARDOZO L. REV. 2533 (2011).

APPENDIX 4

- Heald, *Trademarks and Geographical Indications: Exploring the Contours of the TRIPS Agreement*, 29 VAND. L. TRANSNAT'L L. 635 (1996).
- Healey, *Domain Tasting is Taking Over the Internet as a Result of Icanm's "Add Grace Period,"* 2007 DUKE L. & TECH. REV. 1 (2007).
- Heimes, *Article, Privacy and Innovation: Information as Property and the Impact on Data Subjects*, 49 NEW ENG. L. REV. 649 (2014-2015).
- Helfand, *When Mickey Mouse is as Strong as Superman: The Convergence of Intellectual Property Laws to Protect Fictional Literary and Pictorial Characters*, 44 STAN. L. REV. 623 (1992).
- Helfer, *Whither the UDRP: Autonomous, Americanized, or Cosmopolitan?*, 12 CARDOZO J. INT'L & COMP. L. 493 (2004).
- Helse, *Preventing Predatory Abuses in Litigation Between Business Competitors: Focusing on a Litigant's Reasons for Initiating the Litigation to Ensure a Balance Between the Constitutional Right to Petition and the Sherman Act's Guarantee of Fair Competition in Business*, 36 WM & MARY L. REV. 1135-67 (1995).
- Helseth, *Note, Ebay's Dilution Disease Gone Viral: Dilution Offers a Breakthrough Vaccine for Tiffany's Ailing Trademark*, 34 HAMLINE L. REV. 107 (2010-2011).
- Henn Jr., Jones and Hayes, *Protecting Collegiate Color Schemes: How Recent Developments in Trademark Law Enable Institutions to Further Preserve and Strengthen Their Brand Identities*, 12 VA. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 1 (2012).
- Henry State Courts Hearing Patent Cases: A Cry for Help to the Federal Circuit, 101 DICK. L. REV. 41 (1996).
- Hetzel, *WhenU.Com Gets Popped: How Trademark Law Can Stop Those Annoying Pop-Up Ads*, 5 LOY. U. NEW ORLEANS SCH. L. - L. & TECH. ANN. 53 (2005).
- Heymann, *The Birth of the Authornym: Authorship, Pseudonymity, and Trademark Law*, 80 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 1377 (2005).
- Heymann, *Naming, Identity, and Trademark Law*, 86 IND. L.J. 381 (2011).
- Heymann, *The Public's Domain in Trademark Law: A First Amendment Theory of the Consumer*, 43 GA. L. REV. 651 (2009).
- Heymann, *The Trademark/Copyright Divide*, 60 SMU L. REV. 55 (2007).
- Heymann, *Who is the Reasonable Person? The Grammar of Trademarks*, 14 LEWIS & CLARK L. REV. 1313 (2010).
- Hiatt, *Note, The "Dirt" on Digital "Sanitizing": Droit Moral, Artistic Integrity and The Directors Guild of America v. CleanFlicks, et al.*, 30 RUTGERS COMPUTER & TECH. L.J. 375 (2004).
- Hill, *Protection for Trademarks Consisting of Color Alone*, 63 U. CIN. L. REV. 989 (1995).
- Himmelrich, *Beyond Brand X Using Another's Trademark In Your Own Advertising*, 38-FEB MD. B.J. 19 (2005).

- Hirsch, Article, *That's Unfair - Or Is It: Big Data, Discrimination and the FTC's Unfairness Authority*, 103 KY. L.J. 345 (2014-2015).
- Hoch and Franz, *Eco-Poin Versus the Constitution: Commercial Speech and the Regulation of Environmental Advertising*, 58 ALB. L. REV. 441-66 (1994).
- Hodges, McCombs and Philbin, *Intellectual Property Law*, 60 SMU L. REV. 1141 (2007).
- Hoffman and Schwartz, Article, *Stopping Deceptive Health Claims: The Need for a Private Right of Action under Federal Law*, 42 AM. J.L. & MED. 53 (2016).
- Hoke, Article, *My Online Me: Why Gamers Should Turn to California's Right of Publicity Laws in Protecting Their Online Avatars*, 6 INTELL. PROP. BRIEF 27 (2015).
- Hollman, Umhofer, & Cury, *The Politics of Branding: Political Messaging, Fair Use and Infringement*, 48 MD. B.J. 14 (2015).
- Holohan & Picans, *Copyright Transfer Terminations, Trademark, and Trade Dress: Forewarned Is Forearmed*, 43 COLO. LAW. 51 (2014).
- Holstein-Childress, *Lex Cyberus: The UDRP as a Gatekeeper to Judicial Resolution of Competing Rights to Domain Names*, 109 PENN ST. L. REV. 565 (2004).
- Holtshouser and Wheelock, Article, *Can You Keep a Secret: Protection of Trade Secrets in Missouri*, 63 ST. LOUIS B.J. 34 (2016-2017).
- Holzman, Hsia, Bennett and Burns, *What Makes a Case "Exceptional"? Awarding Attorney Fees in Trademark Litigation*, 78-Apr N.Y. ST. B.J. 34 (2006).
- Hooper & Owen, *War Stories for Wisely Choosing a Defensible Business Name: Arming Your Client for Trademark Skirmishes-or Avoiding Them Altogether*, 40 MONT. LAW. 10 (2015).
- Horkovich and Passannante, *IP Insurance Under the "Advertising Injury" Coverage Provision in Liability Insurance Policies*, 49 COPYRIGHT WORLD 24-9 (1995).
- Horlander, *The U.S. Constitutional Limits of Product Configuration Trade Dress Rights*, 97 TMR 752 (2007).
- Horton, *Protecting Biodiversity and Culteral Diversity Under Intellectual Property Law: Toward a New International System*, 10 J. ENVTL. L. & LITIG. 1 (1995).
- Howard, *Consumer Fraud Acts: The Untapped Resource for Infusing Funds Into the Estate of an Insolvent Insurance Company*, 40 FED'N INS. & CORP. COUNS. Q. 107 (1990).
- Hricik, *Allocation of the Risk of Infringement of Intellectual Property Rights Under Article 2 of the Uniform Commercial Code*, 20 AIPLA Q.J. 71 (1992).

APPENDIX 4

- Hricik, *Remedies of the infringer: the use by the infringer of implied and common law federal rights, state law claims, and contract to shift liability for infringement of patents, copyrights, and trademarks*, 28 TEX. TECH L. REV. 1027 (1997).
- Hricik and Valoir, *Patents Compared to Trademarks: The Duty of Candor/The Avoidance of Fraud*, 97 TMR 1317 (2007).
- Hsieh, Article, *Improving HIPAA Enforcement and Protecting Patient Privacy in a Digital Healthcare Environment*, 46 LOY. U. CHI. L.J. 175 (2014-2015).
- Hudis, *Removing the Boundaries of Color*, 86 TMR 1 (1996).
- Hughes, *The Federal Trademark Dilution Act of 1995 and the Evolution of the Dilution Doctrine - is it Truly a Rational Basis for the Protection of Trademarks?*, 1998 DET. C.L. REV. 759 (1998).
- Hughes, *The Internet and the Persistence of Law*, 44 B.C. L. REV. 359 (2003).
- Hughey, *The Impact of Pro-Football, Inc. v. Harjo on Trademark Protection of Other Marks*, 14 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 327 (2004).
- Hundt, *Toward Regulation That Fosters Competition*, 47 FED. COMM. L.J. 265-70 (1994).
- Hwang, *Is the ACPA a Safe Haven for Trademark Infringers?: Rethinking the Unilateral Application of the Lanham Act*, 22 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 655 (2004).
- Hyman and Morrow, Article, *If the IP Fits, Wear It. IP Protection for Footwear - A U.S. Perspective*, 108 TRADEMARK REP. 645 (2018). *Important State Legislation Proposed*, 30 TMR BULL. 67 (1935).
- In, *Death of a Trademark: Genericide in the Digital Age*, 21 REV. LITIG. 159 (2002).
- Inawat, Article, *Music as Cultural Heritage: Analysis of the Means of Preventing the Exploitation of Intangible Cultural Heritage*, 14 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. [I] (2014-2015).
- Ingram, *The Genericide of Trademarks*, 2 BUFF. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 154 (2004).
- Note, *Injecting a Dose of Duty into the Doctrine of Droit Moral*, 74 IOWA L. REV. 629 (1989).
- The Interface of Trade/Competition Law & Policy*, 56 ANTITRUST L.J. 397 (1987).
- International Trade and Intellectual Property: The Search for a Balanced System*, Westview Press 1994, edited by George R. Stewart, Myra J. Tawfik, and Maureen Irish, 195p.
- Is State Registration Worth While?*, 33 TMR BULL. 3 (1938).

- Isidro, *Trade Dress: The Abercrombie Classification and Determining the Inherent Distinctiveness of Product Configuration Trade Dress*, 62 BROOKLYN L. REV. 811 (1996).
- Jackson, *Notorious: The Treatment of Famous Trademarks in America and How Protection Can be Ensured*, 3 J. BUS. ENTREPRENEURSHIP & L. 61 (2009).
- Jacobs, *Trademark Dilution On The Constitutional Edge*, 104 COLUM. L. REV. 161 (2004).
- Jacobs-Meadway, *False Advertising*, C122 ALI-ABA 245 (1995).
- Jacobucci, *Damages as a Statutory Remedy for Trademark Infringement*, IJ. PROPRIETARY RTS. (1995).
- Jacoby, Handlin and Simonson, *Survey Evidence in Deceptive Advertising Cases under the Lanham Act: A Historical Review of Comments from the Bench*, 84 TRADEMARK REP. 541-85 (1994).
- Jacoby, *Secondlife, Second Strife?*, 20 NO. 9 INTELL. PROP. & TECH. L.J. 7 (2008).
- Jaglom, *The Broad Scope of Franchise Laws: Traps for the Distribution Contract Dealer*, SW041 ALI-CLE 285 (2014).
- Jaglom, *The Broad Scope of Franchise Laws: Traps for the Distribution Contract Drafter*, C739 ALI-ABA 175 (1992).
- James, *Pharmaceutical Trademarks and Generic Drug Names: WHO's Right*, 73 TRADEMARK WORLD 24-31 (1995).
- Janik, *Trademark Law and the CGL: The Race Between Infringement Liability and its Reluctant Insurance Coverage*, 10 CONN. INS. L.J. 171 (2003/2004).
- Jaquette and Kayser, *2007 Trademark Law Decisions of the Federal Circuit*, 57 AM. U. L. REV. 1039 (2008).
- Jaroff, *Big Boi, Barbie, Dr. Seuss, and the King: Expanding the Constitutional Protections for the Satirical Use of Famous Trademarks*, 57 AM. U.L. REV. 641 (2008).
- Jennings, *What's in a (corporate) name: avoiding trademark and other conflicts*, 85 ILL. B.J. 435 (1997).
- Jennings and Monahan, *Trademarks and Unfair Competition: Critical Issues in the Law*, L.J. PRESS (2012).
- Joern, *Goodwill Harboring: The Trademark Dilution Revision Act of 2006 Legitimizes the Goodwill Investment in a Trademark While Safeguarding the First Amendment*, 17 DEPAUL-LCA J. ART & ENT. L. 267 (2007).
- Johnson, *Internet Domain Name and Trademark Disputes: Shifting Paradigms in Intellectual Property*, 43 ARIZ. L. REV. 465 (2001).
- Johnson, *Questions and Answers about Trademark Registration*, 42 PRACTICAL LAWYER 69 (1996).
- Johnson, *Intellectual Property Law*, 53 LA. B.J. 244 (2005).

APPENDIX 4

- Johnson, Article, *The Right of Publicity and the Student-Athlete*, 7 ELON L. REV. 537 (2015).
- Johnston, *Drifting Toward Trademark Rights in Gross*, 85 TRADEMARK REP. 19-54 (1995).
- Johnston and Grogan, *Trade Secret Protection for Mass Distributed Software*, 11 COMPUTER LAW 1-13 (1994).
- Jollymore, *Expiration of the Right of Publicity—When Symbolic Names and Images Pass into the Public Domain*, 84 TRADEMARK REP. 125 (1994).
- Jones, *Lost in Cyberspace: Intellectual Property Issues on the Internet*, 22 T.MARSHALL L. REV. 95 (1996).
- Jones, *Intellectual Property Law Survey*, 61 BUS. LAW. 447 (2005).
- Jordan and Jordan, *Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co., the Unanswered Question - Can Color Ever be Inherently Distinctive?*, 85 TMR 371 (1995).
- Jorgensen, *Golf Club Technology: Intellectual Property and the Counterfeiting Epidemic*, SYRACUSE SCI. & TECH. L. REP. 2 (2006).
- Joseph, *Do Franchisors Owe a Duty of Competence?*, 46 BUS. LAW. 471 (1991).
- Joslyn, *Legislative Definitions of the Franchise Relationship*, 32 N.Y.L. SCH. L. REV. 779 (1987).
- Kahn, *Bringing Dignity Back to Light: Publicity Rights and the Eclipse of the Tort of Appropriation of Identity*, 17 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 213 (1999).
- Kahn, *May The Best Merchandise Win: The Law Of Non-Trademark Uses Of Sports Logos*, 14 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 283 (2004).
- Kahn and Lee, Article, *Delebs and Postmortem Right of Publicity*, 8 LANDSLIDE 11 (2015-2016).
- Kaiser, Note, *Victor's Not So Little Secret: Trademark Dilution Is Difficult But Not Impossible to Prove Following Moseley v. V. Secret Catalogue, Inc.*, 80 CHI.-KENT. L. REV. 425 (2005).
- Kalow, *From the Internet to Court: Exercising Jurisdiction Over World Wide Web Communications*, 65 FORDHAM L. REV. 2241 (1997).
- Kamin and Moffat, Article, *Trademark Laundering, Useless Patents, and Other IP Challenges for the Marijuana Industry*, WASH & LEE L. REV. 217 (2016).
- Kane, *Kane on Trademark Law: A Practitioner's Guide* (5th Ed. 2011).
- Kane, *Trademarks Just Ain't What They Used to Be: 5 Years of the Supreme Court's Effort to Rein in Trademark Owners*, PLI Order No. 6036 (2005).
- Kanouse, *An Overview Of Federal And State Business Opportunity Laws*, 23 FRANCHISE L.J. 102 (2003).

- Karjala, *Misappropriation as a Third Intellectual Property Paradigm*, 94 COLUM. L. REV. 2594 (1994).
- Karlan, *Constitutional Law as Trademark*, 43 U.C. DAVIS L. REV. 385 (2009).
- Karol, *Trademark's eBay Problem*, 26 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 625 (2015-2016).
- Karmel, Note, *Evolution or Mutation?: The Elimination of High Mark Similarity Standards in Federal Dilution Law*, 23 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 291 (2012).
- Karnow, *The Encrypted Self: Fleshing Out the Right of Electronic Personalities*, 13 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 1-16 (1994).
- Karns, *State Regulation of Deceptive Trade Practices Under 'Little FTC Acts': Should Federal Standards Control?*, 94 DICK. L. REV. 373 (1990).
- Kasten & Przybylski, *Intellectual Property Crimes*, 51 AM. CRIM. L. REV. 1377 (2014).
- Katten, *Antitrust Considerations in Innovation-Driven Markets*, 21 CAN.-U.S. L.J. 115 (1995).
- Katz, *Beyond Search Costs: The Linguistic and Trust Functions of Trademarks*, 2010 B.Y.U. L. REV. 1555 (2010).
- Katz and Hart, *Recent Cases Reveal Gaps in Draft Antitrust/IP Guidelines*, 11 COMPUTER LAW 14-18 (1994).
- Kaufman, Simensky, Bryer, *Security Interests in Intellectual Property: the Sequel Revisited*, 64 TRADEMARK WORLD 17 (1994).
- Kaufman, *Trend Forecast: Imitation Is a Legal Form of Flattery – Louis Vuitton Malletier v. Dooney & Bourke, Inc.*, 23 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 531.
- Kaufmann, *Passing Off and Misappropriation (Book Review)*, 77 TMR 173 (1987).
- Kay, *Copyright - Protected Against Risk*, 59 COPYRIGHT WORLD 25 (1996).
- Kearns, *Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co.: Orange You Sorry the Supreme Court Protected Color?*, 70 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 337 (1996).
- Keenan, *American and French Perspectives on Trademark Keying: The Courts Leave Businesses Searching for Answers*, 2 SHIDLER J. L. COM. & TECH. 14 (2005).
- Kegan and Kegan, *Use of the Trademark Act for Protection Against Unfair Competition: Is Congressional Overview Needed?*, 75 ILL. B.J. 562 (1987).
- Kegan and Lidman, *United States Federal Food and Drug Administration May Consume Food Trademarks*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 199 (1997).
- Keirs, *Protecting Today's Products: Selected Trademark and Trade Dress Issues*, 11 J. PROPRIETARY RTS. 14 (1994).



APPENDIX 4

- Keller, *Condemned to repeat the past: the reemergence of misappropriation and other common law theories of protection for intellectual property*, 11 HARV. J.L. & TECH. 401 (1998).
- Keller and Tushnet, *Even More Parodic than the Real Thing: Parody Lawsuits Revisited*, 94 TMR 979 (2004).
- Kellner, *Rethinking the Procedural Treatment of Functionality: Confronting the Inseparability of Useful, Aesthetically Pleasing, and Source-Identifying Features of Product Designs*, 46 EMORY L.J. 913 (1997).
- Kelly and Hieber, *Untangling a web of minimum contacts: the Internet and personal jurisdiction in trademark and unfair competition cases*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 526 (1997).
- Kelly and Kumor, *Trade Marks: Intellectual Property Protection on the Information Super Highway*, 10 EIPR 481 (1995).
- Kelly and Mitchell, *Dot-com: A New Property Right?*, 44 LA. BAR J. 322 (1996).
- Kelly and Thomas, *Names on the Net: Some Strategies for Protecting What They're Worth to Your Client*, 43 PRACTICAL LAWYER 13 (1997).
- Kelso, *False Light Privacy: A Requiem*, 32 SANTA CLARA L. REV. (1992).
- Kemp, Forsythe and Jones, *Parody in Trademark Law: Dumb Starbucks Makes Trademark Law Look Dumb*, 14 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. 143 (2015).
- Kennedy, *From Delusion to Dilution: Proposals to Improve Problematic Aspects of the Federal Trademark Dilution Act*, 9 N.Y.U. J. LEGIS. & PUB. POL'Y 399, (2005-2006).
- Kent, *The Unsettled Business: Should Antidumping Laws Be Replaced by Competition (Antitrust) Law Under Free Trade?* 32 ALTA. L. REV. 72240 (1994).
- Kertz and Ohanian, *Recent Trends in the Law of Endorsement Advertising: Infomercials, Celebrity Endorsers and Nontraditional Defendants in Deceptive Advertising Cases*, 19 HOFSTRA L. REV. 603 (1991).
- Kessler, *Correcting the Standard for Contributory Trademark Liability over the Internet*, 39 COLUM. J.L. & SOC. PROBS. 375 (2006).
- Kettle, *Article, Conceptual Expression in a Copyright World: Protecting Ideas from the Shadow of Preemption*, 17 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. [i] (2017-2018).
- Khoury, *Neoconventional Trademark Regime for 'Newcomer' States*, 12 U. PA. J. BUS. L. 351 (2010).
- Killefer and Escobar, *Showing Your Diligence in Trademark Litigation—A Checklist of Issues to Consider*, 12 CORP. COUNSEL'S QUARTERLY 57 (1996).
- King, *The Right-of-Publicity Challenges for Tattoo Copyrights*, 16 NEV. L.J. 441 (2015-2016).

- Kingsbury, *Market Definition In Intellectual Property Law: Should Intellectual Property Courts Use An Antitrust Approach To Market Definition?*, 8 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 63 (2004).
- Kipp, *Inferring Express Consent: The Paradox of Permitting Registration Statutes to Confer General Jurisdiction*, 9 REV. LITIG. 1 (1990).
- Kirchhoefer, *Acquiring Rights in Software, Documentation and Databases*, 6 SOFTWARE L.J. 83 (1993).
- Kirkpatrick, *Likelihood of Confusion in Trademark Law*, PRACTISING LAW INSTITUTE (2012).
- Kitch, *The Expansion of Trade Secrecy Protection and the Mobility of Management Employees: A New Problem for the Law*, 47 S.C. L. REV. 659 (1996).
- Klau, *Welcome to the Wide, Wide World of Domain Names, and Trademarks and the Courts*, 25 STUDENT LAWYER 14 (1997).
- Kleeman, *The Questionable Legality of Online Criticism: Judicial Inconsistency in Application of the Lanham Act to Gripe Sites*, 9 TUL. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 313 (2007).
- Klein, *Your Right to Privacy: A Selective Bibliography*, 12 LEGAL REFERENCE SERVICES (1992).
- Klerman, *Trademark Dilution, Search Costs, and Naked Licensing*, 74 FORDHAM L. REV. 1759 (2006).
- Klieger, *Trademark dilution: the whittling away of the rational basis for trademark protection*, 58 U. PITT. L. REV. 789 (1997).
- Kline and Floyd, *Managing Confidential Relationships in Intellectual Property Transactions: Use Restrictions, Residual Knowledge Clauses, and Trade Secrets*, 25 REV. LITIG. 311 (2006).
- Kline, Note, *Black and Blue: An Examination of Trademarking University Color Schemes*, 16 SPORTS LAW. J. 47 (2009).
- Kline, *Black and Blue: An Examination of Trademark University Color Schemes*, 16 SPORTS LAW J. 47 (2009).
- Knapp, *The Federal Trademark Dilution Act: the Circuit Split Makes a Desperate Call to the Supreme Court for Uniformity*, 19 GA. ST. U.L. REV. 853 (2003).
- Kobak, *Running the Gauntlet: Antitrust and Intellectual Property Pitfalls on the Two Sides of the Atlantic*, 64 ANTITRUST L.J. 341 (1996).
- Kobak, Jr., *Significant Factors in the Franchisor-Franchisee Relationship*, 32 N.Y.L. SCH. L. REV. 795 (1987).
- Koelmay, *A Practical Guide to Monetary Relief in Trademark Infringement Cases*, 85 TMR 263 (1995).
- Koenig, *Joe Camel and the First Amendment: The Dark Side of Copyrighted and Trademark-Protected Icons*, 11 T.M. COOLEY L. REV. 803 (1994).
- Koh, *From Hoops to Hard Drives: An Accession Law Approach to the Inevitable Misappropriation of Trade Secrets*, 48 AM. U. L. REV. 271 (1998).

APPENDIX 4

- Kole, *Trademarks on the Internet—Confusion Rules*, CHI. DAILY LAW BULL., June 7, 2004, at 6.
- Konferal, Note, Dastar: *Federal Trademark Law in an Uncertain State*, 11 B.U. J. SCI. & TECH. 283 (2005).
- Konkol, *Prior-Invention Rights: The Excluded Middle*, 77 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y. 666 (1995).
- Konsky, *Publicity Dilution: A Proposal for Protecting Publicity Rights*, 21 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 347 (2005).
- Kosturakis, *Intellectual Property 101*, 46 TEX. J. BUS. L. 37 (2014).
- Kovacik and Landau, *A Look at the White Paper: A Brief Summary of "Intellectual Property and the National Information Infrastructure"; A Report of the Working Group on Intellectual Property Rights*, 55 COPYRIGHT WORLD 22 (1995).
- Krahmer, *Banks and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act*, 18 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 1 (1987).
- Krakau and Mizhir, Jr., *Trademark Rights of Musical Groups*, 30-MAY L.A. LAW. 11 (2007).
- Kramer, *1964 the Year That Is*, 54 TMR 596 (1964).
- Krause, *What Are You Looking At?: Eliminating Consideration of the General Public and the Ultimate Question in a Trade Dress Initial Interest Confusion Analysis*, 8 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 273 (2004).
- Kravetz, *"Idea/Expression Dichotomy" and "Method of Operation": Determining Copyright Protection for Computer Programs*, 8 DEPAUL BUS. L.J. 75 (1995).
- Kravitz, *Trademarks, Speech, and the Gay Olympics Case*, 69 B.U.L. REV. 131 (1989).
- Kreiczer-Levy, Article, *Consumption Property in the Sharing Economy*, 43 Pepp. L. Rev. [ii] (2015-2016).
- Kremers, *Speaking with a Forked Tongue in the Global Debate on Traditional Knowledge and Genetic Resources: Are U.S. Intellectual Property Law and Policy Really Aimed at Meaningful Protection for Native American Cultures?*, 15 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 1 (2004).
- Krieger, *The Broad Sweep of Aesthetic Functionality: A Threat to Trademark Protection of Aesthetic Product Features*, 51 FORDHAM L. REV. 345 (1982).
- Kritchewsky, *Protecting Privacy: What States Can and Cannot Do*, 19 HUM. RTS. 16 (1992).
- Kucala, *Putting the Meat Back in Meta-tags!* U. ILL. J.L. TECH. & POL'Y 129 (2001).
- Kuester, Article, *The Conflict between an Athlete's Right of Publicity and the First Amendment*, 15 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. 117 (2015-2016).

- Kuester and Nieves, *Hyperlinks, Frames and Meta-Tags: An Intellectual Property Analysis*, 38 IDEA 243 (1998).
- Kuhlengel, *A Failure to Preempt an Unfair Advertising Claim May Result in Undue Restrictions on Cigarette Manufacturers*, 19 S.ILL.U.L.J. 40518 (1995).
- Kunstadt, Kieff and Kramer, *Recent Patent, Copyright and Trademark Law Developments Could Suggest Novel IP Uses*, NAT'L L.J. C2 (May 20, 1996).
- Kunstadt and Maggioni, *Tell Tchaikovsky the News: Trade Dress Rights in Musical Instruments*, 94 TMR 1271 (2004).
- Kupetz, *Intellectual Property Issues in Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Reorganization Cases*, 35 IDEA: J.L. & TECH. 383 (1995).
- Kurnit, *Intellectual Property and Licensing*, C998 ALI-ABA 605 (1995).
- Kuss, *The Naked Licensing Doctrine Exposed: How Courts Interpret the Lanham Act to Require Licensors to Police Their Licensees & Why This Requirement Conflicts with Modern Licensing Realities & The Goals of Trademark Law*, 9 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 361 (2005).
- Kwall, *Regulating Trademarks on Exterior Signs: Should Local Law Trump Lanham Act and the Constitution?*, 71 S. CAL. L. REV. 1105 (1998).
- Kwall, *The Right of Publicity vs. The First Amendment: A Property and Liability Rule Analysis*, 70 IND. L.J. 47-118 (Wint. 1994).
- Lackner, *The Evolution and Future of Substantial Nexus in State Taxation of Corporate Income*, 48 B.C. L. REV 1387 (2007).
- LaCroix, Miklius, and Mak, *The New Standards of Unfair Competition: An Economic Analysis of the DuPont v. FTC Litigation*, 9 U. HAW. L. REV. 457 (1987).
- LaFrance, *Days of Our Lives: The Impact of Section 197 on the Depreciation of Copyrights, Patents and Related Property*, 24 HOFSTRA L. REV. 317 (1995).
- LaFrance, *Innovations Palpitations: The Confusing Status of Geographically Misdescriptive Trademarks*, 12 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 125 (2004).
- LaFrance, *Intellectual Property & Cyberlaw, No Reason to Live: Dilution Laws as Unconstitutional Restrictions on Commercial Speech*, 58 S.C. L. REV. 709 (2007).
- LaFrance, *Steam Shovels and Lipstick: Trademarks, Greed, and the Public Domain*, 6 NEV. L.J. 447 (2005-2006).
- Lampport-Hammitte, *Trademark Dispute Resolution*, 44 IDEA 325 (2004).
- LaManna, *Lemon Laws: An Expansion of Remedies for New Car Buyers*, 33 FOR DEF. 2 (1991).
- Landau, *Problems Arising Out of the Use of "[www.trademark.com](http://www.trademark.com)": The Application of Principles of Trademark Law to Internet Domain Name Disputes*, 13 GA. ST. U. L. REV. 455 (1997).

APPENDIX 4

- Landers, *Let the Games Begin: Incentives to Innovation in the New Economy of Intellectual Property Law*, 46 SANTA CLARA L. REV. 307 (2006).
- Landes, *An Empirical Analysis of Intellectual Property Litigation: Some Preliminary Results*, 41 HOUS. L. REV. 749 (2004).
- Landes, *Posner on Beanie Babies*, 74 U. CHI. L. REV. 1761 (2007).
- Langer, *State Consumer Protection Laws*, C612 ALI-ABA 355 (1991).
- Lao, *Federalizing Trade Secrets Law in an Information Economy*, 59 OHIO ST. L.J. 1633 (1998).
- Larrabee, *For Revenue Only*, 30 TMR BULL. 317 (1935).
- Lastowka, *Search Engines, HTML, and Trademarks: What's the Meta for?*, 86 VA. L. REV. 835 (2000).
- Lastowka, *The Trademark Function of Authorship*, 85 B.U.L. REV. 1171 (2005).
- Lastowka, *Google's Law*, 73 BROOK. L. REV. 1327 (2008).
- Laurie, *Comparison of Patent and Copyright Protection for Computer Software Under U.S. Law*, 12 COMPUTER L. & SECUR. REP. 80 (1996).
- La Voi, *Cultural Heritage Tug Of War: Balancing Preservation Interests And Commercial Rights*, 53 DEPAUL L. REV. 875 (2003).
- Leaffer, *A Twenty-Year Retrospective on United States Trademark Law in Ten Cases*, 23 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 655-686 (2013).
- Leaffer, *The Right of Publicity: A Comparative Perspective*, 70 ALB. L. REV. 1357 (2007).
- Lee, *A Trademark Guide for Entrepreneurs*, KENT COMMUNICATIONS (1996).
- Lee, *Agents Of Chaos: Judicial Confusion In Defining The Right Of Publicity-Free Speech Interface*, 23 LOY. L.A. ENT. L. REV. 471 (2003).
- Lee, DeRosia, and Christensen, *An Empirical and Consumer Psychology Analysis of Trademark Distinctiveness*, 41 ARIZ. ST. L.J. 1033 (2009).
- Lee, *Demystifying Dilution*, 84 B.U.L. REV. 859 (2004).
- Lee, *Trademark Essentials*, ALI-ABA Course of Study, (January 22, 1998).
- Lee, Livingston, *The Road Less Traveled: State Court Resolution of Patent, Trademark or Copyright Disputes*, 19 ST. MARY'S L.J. 703-52 (1988).
- Lee, *The New Canon: Using or Misusing Foreign Law To Decide Domestic Intellectual Property Claims*, 46 HARV. INT'L L.J. (2005).
- Lefstin, *Does the First Amendment Bar Cancellation of Redskins?*, 52 STAN. L. REV. 665 (2000).
- Leighton, *Awarding Attorneys' Fees in "Exceptional" Lanham Act Cases: A "Jumble" of "Murky" Law*, 102 TRADEMARK REP. 849 (2012).

- Leighton, *Materiality and Puffing in Lanham Act False Advertising Cases: The Proofs*, 94 TMR 585 (2004).
- Lemley, *Beyond Preemption: The Law and Policy of Intellectual Property Licensing*, 87 CAL. L. REV. 111 (1999).
- Lemley, *Ex Ante versus Ex Post Justifications for Intellectual Property*, 71 U. CHI. L. REV. 129 (2004).
- Lemley, *Property, Intellectual Property, and Free Riding*, 83 TEX. L. REV. 1031 (2005).
- Lemley, *I'll Make Him an Offer He Can't Refuse: A Proposed Model for Alternative Dispute Resolution in Intellectual Property Disputes*, 37 AKRON L. REV. 287 (2004).
- Lemley, *The Modern Lanham Act and the Death of Common Sense*, 108 YALE L.J. 1687 (1999).
- Lemley and Volokh, *Freedom of Speech and Injunctions in Intellectual Property Cases*, 48 DUKE L.J. 147 (1998).
- Lemley, *Property, Intellectual Property, and Free Riding*, 83 TEX. L. REV. 1031 (2005).
- Lerner, Note, *Trademark Infringement and Pop-Up Ads: Tailoring the Likelihood of Confusion Analysis to Internet Uses of Trademarks*, 20 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 229 (2005).
- Lerner, *Sneaking Through the Back Door with Pepperidge Farm: The Monopoly Advantage of Dilution*, 20 LOY. L.A. ENT. L.J. 429 (2000).
- Leval, *Trademark: Champion of Free Speech*, 27 COLUM. J.L. 7, Arts 187 (2004).
- Levine, *Can the Right of Publicity Afford Free Speech?: A New Right of Publicity Test for First Amendment Cases*, 27 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L.J. 171 (2004).
- Levine, *Contesting the Incontestable: Reforming Trademark's Descriptive Mark Protection Scheme*, 41 GONZ. L. REV. 29, (2005-2006).
- Levy, *Trademark Parody: A Conflict Between Constitutional and Intellectual Property Interests*, 69 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 425 (2001).
- Lewis, *Jurisdiction Over Foreign Corporations Based on Registration and Appointment of an Agent: An Unconstitutional Condition Perpetuated*, 15 DEL. J. CORP. L. 1 (1990).
- Lewis and Yao, *Some Reflections on the Antitrust Treatment of Intellectual Property*, 63 ANTITRUST L.J. 603-19 (1995).
- Lichtman, *The Economics of Innovation: Protecting Unpatentable Goods*, 81 MINN. L. REV. 693 (1997).
- Liddy, *Survey and Resume of the Laws of the Several States Relating to Trade Names or Fictitious Names*, 45 TMR 1146 (1955).
- Liddy, *Trademarks, Corporate Names and the Secretaries of State*, 59 TMR 764 (1969).

APPENDIX 4

- Lieberstein & Bryner, *Before You Use Others' Intellectual Property Without Permission, Consider This ...*, 34 *FRANCHISE L.J.* 131 (2014).
- Liebert, *Personalized Medicine Expected to Have Profound Effects on Intellectual Property Strategies*, 24 *BIOTECHNOLOGY L. REP.* 732 (2005).
- Liefeld, *Out-of-Context Inferences of Non-Purchasers v. Purchaser Beliefs: Which Is More Valid for Estimating the Likelihood of Consumer Confusion?: A Response to Morrow and Corbin*, 94 *TMR* 1389 (2004).
- Likourezos, *A Case of First Impression: American Indians Seek Cancellation of the Trademarked Term "Redskins"*, 78 *J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y* 275 (1996).
- Likourezos, *Trademark Law in the Computer Age: Applying Trademark Principles to the "Look and Feel" of Software*, 77 *J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y* (1995).
- Lin, *Keep on Rockin' in the Free World: Trademark Remedies for Musicians*, 93 *J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y* 98 (2011).
- Linford, *Trademark Owner as Adverse Possessor: Productive Use and Property Acquisition*, 63 *CASE W. RES.* 703 (2013).
- Linnehan, *Need Trademark Protection for a Generic Domain Name? Help May be Just a Phone Call Away*, 38 *GONZ. L. REV.* 503 (2002/2003).
- Lipnick and Gibbs, *An Overview of the Last Decade of State Antitrust Law, Including Little FTC Acts, Unfair Trade Practice Legislation, Franchise and Business Opportunity Legislation, RICO and the Rejection of Illinois Brick (Antitrust Symposium)*, *U. TOL. L. REV.* 929 (1985).
- Lipton, *Bad Faith in Cyberspace: Grounding Domain Name Theory in Trademark, Property, and Restitution*, 23 *HARV. J.L. & TECH.* 447 (2010).
- Lipton, *Balancing Private Rights and Public Policies: Reconceptualizing Property in Databases*, 18 *BERKELEY TECH. L.J.* 773 (2003).
- Lipton, *Beyond Cybersquatting: Taking Domain Name Disputes Past Trademark Policy*, 40 *WAKE FOREST L. REV.* 1361 (2005).
- Lipton, *Who Owns "Hillary.Com"?: Political Speech and the First Amendment in Cyberspace*, 49 *B.C. L. REV.* 55 (2008).
- Lipton, *A Winning Solution for YouTube And UTube? Corresponding Trademarks and Domain Name Sharing*, 21 *HARV. J.L. & TECH.* 509 (2008).
- Lipton, *Celebrity in Cyberspace: A Personality Rights Paradigm for Personal Domain Name Disputes*, 65 *WASH. & LEE L. REV.* 1445 (2008).
- Liu, *Legitimacy and Authority in Internet Coordination: A Domain Name Case Study*, 74 *IND. L.J.* 587 (1999).

- Lock, Comment, *Toll-Free Vanity Telephone Numbers: Structuring a Trademark Registration and Dispute Settlement Regime*, 87 CAL. L. REV. 371 (1999).
- Locke, Article, *Trade Dress in the Age of E-Commerce: The Challenge of Protecting the Look and Feel of Websites and Mobile Apps*, 27 ALB L.J. SCI & TECH. 213 (2017).
- Lockridge, *Abolishing State Trademark Registrations*, 29 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 597 (2011).
- Lockyer, Article, *Trying on Trade Dress: Using Trade Dress to Protect the Look and Feel of Video Games*, 17 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. [i] (2017-2018).
- Lokker, Tractenberg, and Morton, *Franchising Currents: Maris Strikes Out In Eleventh Circuit Decision*, 22 Franchise L.J. 244 (2003).
- Long, *Dilution*, 106 COLUM. L. REV. 1029 (2006).
- Long, Article, *The Law of Intangible Assets: The Philosophical Underpinnings of Trade Secret Law in the United States*, 19 SMU SCI. & TECH. L. REV. 125 (2016).
- Long, *Rebooting Trademarks for the Twenty-First Century*, 49 U. LOUISVILLE L. REV. 517 (2011).
- Lorelli, *How Trademark Litigation Over Internet Domain Names Will Change After Section 43(d) of the Lanham Act*, 78 U. DET. MERCY L. REV. 97 (2000).
- Loundy, *E-Law: Legal Issues Affecting Computer Information Systems and System Operator Liability*, 12 COMPUTER L.J. 101-73 (1993).
- Loundy, *A Primer on Trademark Law and Internet Addresses*, 15 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 465 (1997).
- Lovejoy, Note, *Taking Advantage of Laws, Not People: Curbing Language Discrimination against Texas Consumers*, 69 BAYLOR L. REV. 437 (2017).
- Lowell, *NASAA Considers New Policy To Diffuse State Franchise Registrations Throughout The Year*, 23 FRANCHISE L.J. 95 (2003).
- Loza, *Intellectual Property Licensing: More Pervasive Than You Might Imagine*, 48 ADVOCATE (IDAHO) 18 (2005).
- Lubochinski, *Hegel's Secret: Personality And The Housemark Cases*, 52 EMORY L.J. 489 (2003).
- Luedeman, *Flying Underground: The Trade in Bootleg Aircraft Parts*, 62 J. AIR L. & COM. 93 (1996).
- Luettgen, *Functional Usefulness vs. Communicative Usefulness: Thin Copyright Protection for the Nonliteral Elements of Computer Programs*, 4 TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J. 233 (1996).
- Lunney, *Trademark Monopolies*, 48 EMORY L.J. 367 (1999).
- Lunney, Jr., *Trademarks and the Internet: The United States' Experience*, 97 TMR 931 (2007).



APPENDIX 4

- Lunsford, *Unfair Competition: Uniform State Act Needed*, 44 VA. L. REV. 583 (1958).
- Lunsford, *Trademarks and Unfair Competition: Need for Uniform State Laws*, 58 TMR 77 (1968).
- Lunsford, *Trademarks: Dilution and Deception*, 63 TMR 41 (1973). Ly, Schmiedel and Smith, *Intellectual Property Crimes*, 43 AM. CRIM. L. REV. 663 (2006).
- Lyndon, *The Deterrent Effect of the Uniform Trade Secrets Act*, 69 J. PAT. & TM. OFF. SOC'Y 427 (1987).
- Macedo and Pekowsky, *Pop-Ups and "Use Of" a Protected Trademark in Light of 1-800-CONTACTS*, 23 COMM. LAW. 28 (2005).
- Mack, McNutt, Vasuta, and Song, *Choosing Fame Over Family*, 37 AKRON L. REV. 203 (2004).
- MacKay, *Metatags and Sponsored Links: Solving a Trademark Dispute with an Antitrust Inquiry*, 59 DEPAUL L. REV. 181 (2009).
- MacMurray, *Trademarks or Copyrights: Which Intellectual Property Right Affords Its Owner the Greatest Protection of Architectural Ingenuity?*, 3 NW. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 111 (2005).
- Madow, *Private Ownership of Public Image: Popular Culture and Publicity Rights*, 81 CAL. L. REV. 125 (1993).
- Magier, *Tick, Tock, Time is Running Out to Nab Cybersquatters: The Dwindling Utility of the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act*, 46 IDEA 415, (2006).
- Magliocca, *From Ashes To Fire: Trademark And Copyright In Transition*, 82 N.C.L. REV. 1009 (2004).
- Magliocca, *One and Inseparable: Dilution and Infringement in Trademark Law*, 85 MINN. L. REV. 949 (2001).
- Magliocca, *Ornamental Design and Incremental Innovation*, 86 MARQ. L. REV. 845 (2003).
- Magnuson-Moss Act/Implied Warranty/Trademark, 76 ILL. B.J. 928 (1987).
- Mahaffey-Dowd, *Famous Trademarks: Ordinary Inquiry by the Courts of Marks Entitled to an Extraordinary Remedy*, 64 BROOK. L. REV. 423 (1998).
- Mahamedi, *Recording Security Interests in Patents: Accepting A Traditional Federal System to Preserve the Policies of Patent Law*, 2 B.U. J. SCI. & TECH. L. 15 (1996).
- Mahinka and Sanzo, *Multistate Antitrust and Consumer Protection Investigations: Practical Concerns*, 63 ANTITRUST L.J. 213-38 (Fall 1994).
- Maidanyk, Article, *Some Legal Aspects of Unfair Use of Domain Name as Unfair Competition Act according to Ukrainian Legislation*, 2016 J.E. EUR. L. 50 (2016).

- Maier, *Software Protection—Integrating Patent, Copyright and Trade Secret Law*, 69 J. PAT. & TM. OFF. SOC'Y 151 (1987).
- Makar, *In Defense of Franchisors: The Law and Economics of Franchise Quality Assurance Mechanisms*, 33 VILL. L. REV. 722-766 (1988).
- Malik, *Are You Content With The Content? Intellectual Property Implications Of Weblog Publishing*, 21 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 439 (2003).
- Manas, *Harvard as a Model in Trademark and Domain Name Protection*, 29 RUTGERS COMPUTER & TECH. L.J. 475 (2003).
- Mandatory Bills Defeated in Two States*, 33 TMR BULL. 118 (1938).
- Manfredi, Note, *Haute Copyright: Tailoring Copyright Protection to High-Profile Fashion Designs*, 21 CARDOZO J. INT'L & COMP. L. 111 (2012).
- Manta, *Privatizing Trademarks*, 51 ARIZ. L. REV. 381 (2009).
- Manta, Article, *Intellectual Property and the Presumption of Innocence*, 56 WM. & MARY L. REV. 1745 (2014-2015).
- Marchant, *On-Line on the Internet: First Amendment and Intellectual Property Uncertainties in the On-Line World*, 39 HOW. L.J. 477 (1996).
- Marcus, Article, *Two Models of the Civil Litigant*, 64 DEPAUL L. REV. 537 (2014-2015).
- Margolis, *The Profits of Infringement: Richard Posner v. Learned Hand*, 22 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 1521 (2007).
- Marr, *Constitutional Restraints on State Right of Publicity Laws*, 44 B.C. L. REV. 863 (2003).
- Marra, Note, *Playboy Enterprises, Inc. v. Netscape Communications Corp.: Making Confusion a Requirement for Online Initial Interest Confusion*, 20 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 209 (2005).
- Marrs, *Trade Secrets—Preliminary Relief in Trade Secret Cases*, 61 TEX. B.J. 880 (1998).
- Martin, *"Too Famous to Live Long!" The Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act Sets Its Sights to Eliminate Cybersquatter Opportunistic Claims on Domain Names*, 31 ST. MARY'S L.J. 797 (2000).
- Martine and Oertli, Article, *Liability of E-Commerce Platforms for Copyright and Trademark Infringement: A World Tour*, 7 LANDSLIDE 41 (2014-2015).
- Matheson, *Class Action Tying Cases: A Framework for Certification Decisions*, 76 NW. U. L. REV. 855 (1892).
- Matsil, *Government Seizures of Trade Secrets: What Protection Does the Takings Clause Provide?* 48 SMU L. REV. 687-721 (1995).
- Mayfield, *Consumer Protection*, 13 S.U. L. REV. 279-91 (1987).
- McAuliffe, *The Dilution Concept in International Trade*, 61 TMR 76 (1971).

APPENDIX 4

- McCabe, *Dilution-by-blurring: A Theory Caught in the Shadow of Trademark Infringement*, 68 *FORDHAM L. REV.* 1827 (2000).
- McCarthy, *The Post-Sale Confusion Doctrine: Why the General Public Should be Included in the Likelihood of Confusion Inquiry*, 67 *FORDHAM L. REV.* 3337 (1999).
- McCarthy, *Important Trends in Trademark and Unfair Competition Law During the Decade of the 1970's*, 71 *TMR* 93 (1981).
- McCarthy, *McCarthy on Trademarks and Unfair Competition* (4th ed. 1996 & Supps. 2006-2011).
- McCarthy, *Proving a Trademark Has Been Diluted: Theories or Facts?*, 41 *Hous. L. REV.* 713 (2004).
- McCarthy, *The Rights of Publicity and Privacy* (Book Review), 77 *TMR* 345 (1987).
- McDade, *Trading in Trademarks—Why the Anti-Assignment in Gross Doctrine Should be Abolished When Trademarks are Used as Collateral*, 77 *TEX. L. REV.* 465 (1998).
- McDaniel and Wright, *Recent Developments in Copyright Law*, 4 *TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J.* 1570 (1995).
- McDonald, Reich and Bain, *Intellectual Property and Privacy Issues on the Internet*, 79 *J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y* 31 (1997).
- McEvoy, *Pirone v. Macmillian Ince.: trying to protect the name and likeness of a deceased celebrity under trademark law and right of publicity*, 19 *COMMS. & LAW* 51 (1997).
- McGee and Yoon, *Trade Policy in the Computer Industry: Time for a Change*, 8 *TEMP. INT'L & COMP. L.J.* 219-56 (1994).
- McGeveran, *Rethinking Trademark Fair Use*, 94 *IOWA L. REV.* 49 (2008).
- McGowan, *Free Contracting, Fair Competition, and Article 2B: Some Reflections on Federal Competition Policy, Information Transactions, and "Aggressive Neutrality,"* 13 *BERKELEY TECH L.J.* 1173 (1998).
- McIntyre, *Exploring the Dark Bayou: A Need for Clarity and Unity of Trademark Tacking*, 5 *LOY. U. NEW ORLEANS SCH. L. - L. & TECH. ANN.* 81, (2005).
- McJohn, *Perspective, Top Tens in 2010: Patent and Trademark Cases*, 9 *NW. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP.* 313 (2011).
- McKelvey, Fairley, and Groza, *Caught in the Web?: The Communication of Trademark Rights and Licensing Policy on University Official Athletic Websites*, 20 *J. LEGAL ASPECTS SPORT* 1 (2010).
- McKenna, *(Dys)Functionality*, 48 *Hous. L. REV.* 823 (2011).
- McKenna, *The Normative Foundations of Trademark Law*, 82 *NOTRE DAME L. REV.* 1839 (2007).
- McKenna, *Trademark Use and the Problem of Source*, 2009 *U. ILL. L. REV.* 773 (2009).

- McKenzie, *Copyright/Trademark/States' Immunity*, 2 INTELL. PROP. STRATEGIST 10 (1996).
- McKeown, *Trade Secret Enforcement in the United States*, 9 INT'L L. PRACTICUM 22 (1996).
- McKie, *Functionality Survives Incontestability as a Type of Constructive Abandonment, Despite Shakespeare*, 86 TRADEMARK REP. 304 (1996).
- McKinney, *Telephone Mnemonics and Complementary Numbers: A Review of Trademark and Unfair Competition Law and Policy*, 1999 BYU L. REV. 435 (1999).
- McLeod, *Injunction Junction: Remembering the Proper Function and Form of Equitable Relief in Trademark Law*, DUKE L. & TECH. REV. 13 (2006).
- Meleen, Hightower, and Hernandez, *Recent Developments in Trademark Law: Elusive Dilution and Sorting the Resulting Confusion*, 11 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 351 (2003).
- Menell, *The Challenges of Reforming Intellectual Property Protection for Computer Software*, 94 COLUM. L. REV. 2644 (1994).
- Menell, *Regulating "Spyware": The Limitations Of State "Laboratories" And The Case For Federal Preemption Of State Unfair Competition Laws*, 20 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 1363, (2005).
- Mensor, *What Price State Trademark Legislation*, 43 TMR 541 (1953).
- Mercado, *As Long as "it" is not a Counterfeit: Holding Ebay Liable for Secondary Trademark Infringement in the Wake of LVMH and Tiffany Inc.*, 28 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 115 (2010).
- Merges, *Contracting Into Liability Rules: Intellectual Property Rights and Collective Rights Organizations*, 84 CALIF. L. REV. 1293 (1996).
- Merges, *Intellectual Property and the Costs of Commercial Exchange*, 93 MICH. L. REV. 1570 (1995).
- Merges, *Symposium on Law in the Twentieth Century: One Hundred Years of Solicitude: Intellectual Property Law, 1900-2000*, 88 CALIF. L. REV. 2187 (2000).
- Meurer, *Controlling Opportunistic and Anti-Competitive Intellectual Property Litigation*, 44 B.C. L. REV. 509 (2003).
- Meyer, *Misapplication of the Misappropriation Doctrine to Merchandising*, 11 COLUM.-VLA J.L. & ARTS 601 (1987).
- Meyer-Rochow, *Passing Off—Past, Present and Future*, 84 TRADEMARK REP. 38 (1994).
- Micheletti, *Preventing Loss of Trademark Rights: Quantitative and Qualitative Assessments of "Use" and Their Impact on Abandonment Determinations*, 94 TMR 634 (2004).
- Micheletti and Zoloth Dorfman, *Proving Dilution by Blurring: An Analysis of Dilution by Blurring Factors Under the Federal Trademark Dilution Act*, 92 TMR 1345 (2002).

APPENDIX 4

- Milchan, Note, *Whose Rights Are These Anyway?: A Rethinking of our Society's Intellectual Property Laws in Order to Better Protect Native American Religious Property*, 28 AM. INDIAN L. REV. 157 (2003/2004).
- Miller, *Suing The Osbournes: Intellectual Property Protection For Creative Merchandise*, 13 DEPAUL-LCA J. ART & ENT. L. 123 (2003).
- Middleton, *Some Reflections on Dilution*, 42 TMR 175 (1952).
- Miranda, *Insurance Coverage for Intellectual Property Litigation*, 77 N.Y. ST. B.J. 42 (2005).
- Misleading Propaganda on State Registration of Trade-Marks*, 24 TMR BULL. 276 (1929).
- Mitchell, *Resolving Domain Name-Trademark Disputes: A New System of Alternative Dispute Resolution Is Needed in Cyberspace*, 14 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 157 (1998).
- Mitchell and Auslander, *Trade Dress Protection: Will a Statutorily Unified Standard Result in a Functionally Superior Solution?*, 88 TRADEMARK REP. 472 (1998).
- Mitten, *From Dallas Cap to American Needle and Beyond: Antitrust Law's Limited Capacity to Stitch Consumer Harm from Professional Sports Club Trademark Monopolies*, 86 TUL. L. REV. 901 (2012).
- Mock, *State Registration Overrated*, 30 TMR BULL. 80 (1935).
- Mohr, Mitchell and Wadyka, *U.S. Trade Dress Law: Exploring the Boundaries*, INT'L TRADEMARK ASS'N (1997).
- Monahan, *Waiting by the Phone: Why Telephone Number Mnemonics Warrant Trademark Protection*, 58 LA. L. REV. 281 (1997).
- Moore, *Redefining Trade Secrets in North Carolina*, 40 CAMPBELL L. REV. 643 (2018).
- Moorman and Greenwell, *Consumer Attitudes of Deception and the Legality of Ambush Marketing Practices*, 15 J. LEGAL ASPECTS SPORT 183, (2005).
- Morando and Nadan, *Can Trademark Law Regulate the Race to Claim Internet Domain Names?*, 13 COMPUTER LAW 10 (1996).
- Moreira, *Making An Informed Choice Between Arbitration Or Litigation: The Uniform Domain-Name Dispute Resolution Policy Vs. The Anti-Cybersquatting Act*, 44 IDEA 147 (2003).
- Moreno, *Is a Famous Trademark in Your Town Enough to Prohibit Use Across America?*, 23 WHITTIER L. REV. 579 (2001).
- Morico, *Protecting Colour Se in the United States*, TRADEMARK WORLD 23 (October 1995).
- Moringiello, *Seizing Domain Names To Enforce Judgments: Looking Back To Look To The Future*, 72 U. CIN. L. REV. 95 (2003).
- Morris, *Behold the Power of Trademarks*, 48-JUN. ADVOCATE (IDAHO) 16 (2005).

- Morris and Arnold, *Protection of Interests in Licensed or Assigned Intellectual Property*, 69 J. PAT. & TM. OFF. SOC'Y 525 (1987).
- Morrison, *Corrective Advertising as a Remedy for the False Advertising of Prescription Drugs and Other Professionally Promoted Medical Products*, 49 FOOD DRUG L.J. 385-95 (1994).
- Morrow and Corbin, *Pulling Confusion Surveys Back from an Illusory Brink: Reply to an Article of Dr. John Liefeld*, 94 TMR 1372 (2004).
- Moskin, *Dilution or Delusion: The Rational Limits of Trademark Protection*, 83 TMR 122 (1993).
- Moskin, *The Shape of Things to Come—Emerging Theories of Design Protection*, 92 TMR 681(2002).
- Mosley-Goren, *Seventh Circuit Review, Article, Intellectual Property Law Decisions of the Seventh Circuit*, 36 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 857 (2003).
- Mosmeyer, Note, *Ubi Jus Ibj Remedium: The Gap in Texas Courts' Protection of Mineral Owners against Unpermitted Seismic Exploration without Physical Entry*, 68 BAYLOR L. REV. 797 (2016).
- Mostert, *Well-Known and Famous Marks: Is Harmony Possible in the Global Village?*, 86 TMR 103 (1996).
- Mota, *The Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act: An Analysis Of The Decisions From The Court Of Appeals*, 21 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 355 (2003).
- Motolenich-Salas, *The Four Quarters of the Redskins Trademark Cancellation: The Revival of the Disparagement Doctrine*, 51 ARIZ. ATT'Y 20 (2014).
- Mott, *Multifactors, Multiconfusion? Refining 'Likelihood of Confusion' Factors for Reverse-Confusion Trademark Infringement Claims to Achieve More Consistent and Predictable Results*, 47 SUFFOLK U. L. REV. 421 (2014).
- Muller, *A Position of Advocacy in Support of a Preemptive Federal Antidilution Statute*, 83 TMR 175 (1993).
- Munson, *The Patent-Trade Secret Decision: An Industrial Perspective*, 78 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 689 (1996).
- Murphy, *Playing the Numbers: A Quantitative Look at Section 2(d) Cases Before the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board*, 94 TMR 800 (2004).
- Myers, *N(o) B(ull) A(llowed)*, Parody Web Site Told, CHICAGO DAILY LAW BULL., Apr. 19, 2004, at 3.
- Myers, *The Restatement's Rejection of the Misappropriation Tort: A Victory for the Public Domain*, 47 S.C. L. REV. 673 (1996).
- Myers, *Statutory Interpretation, Property Rights, and Boundaries: The Nature and Limits of Protection in Trademark Dilution, Trade Dress, and Product Configuration Cases*, 23 COLUM.-VLA J.L. & ARTS 24 (2000).

APPENDIX 4

- Naser, *Re-Examining the Functions of Trademark Law*, 8 CHI.-KENT J. INTELL. PROP. 99 (2008).
- Nash, *Orderly Expansion of the International Top-Level Doamins: Concurrent Trademark Users Need a Way Out of the Internet Quagmire*, 15 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 521 (1997).
- Nathenson, *Internet Infoglut and Invisible Ink: Spandexing Search Engines with Meta Tags*, 12 HARV. J.L. & TECH. 43 (1998).
- Neal, *Federal Trademark Protection: Rebutting the Myth that a Trademark Must Stem from a Pre-existing State Common-Law Right*, 38 BRANDEIS L.J. 597 (2000).
- Need of a Penal Provision in the New Trade-Mark Law, The*, 2 TMR BULL. 11 (1905-06).
- Nelmark, *Virtual Property: The Challenges of Regulating Intangible, Exclusionary Property Interests Such as Domain Names*, 3 NW. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 1 (2004).
- Nelson, *The Wages of Ubiquity in Trademark Law*, 88 IOWA L. REV. 731 (2003).
- Nelson, *Unraveling the Trademark Rope: Tarnishment and Its Proper Place In The Laws of Unfair Competition*, 42 IDEA 133 (2002).
- Nelson, *Article, The Intracorporate Conspiracy Trap*, 36 CARDOZO L. REV. 969 (2014-2015).
- Nelson and Jacobson, *Composite Trademarks: Deconstructing the Similarity of Marks Element in a Trademark Infringement Action*, 72 DEF. COUNS. J. 347 (2005).
- Nelson and Wright, *Article, Judicial Cost Benefit Analysis Meets Economics: Evidence from State Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Laws*, 81 ANTITRUST L.J. 997 (2016-2017).
- Nessim, *Criminal (and Civil) Trademark Infringement: What Statute of Limitations Applies?*, 76 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 933-44 (1994).
- Nester, *Keywords, Trademarks, and the Gray Market: Why the Use is Not Fair*, 7 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 235 (2003).
- Neumeyer, *The Right of Publicity and Its Descendibility*, 7 U. MIAMI ENT. & SPORTS L. REV. 287 (1990).
- Nevins, *Is Google Doing Evil With Trademarks?*, 40 CONN. L. REV. 247 (2007).
- New Consumer Protection Private Action Test: Clarification or Further Confusion?*, 62 WASH. L. REV. 277 (1987).
- New Remedies for Defective Automobile Purchasers: a Proposal for a Model Lemon Law*, 23 VAL. U. L. REV. 145-77 (1988).
- The New Role of Intellectual Property in Commercial Transactions: Recent Trends in the Valuation, Exploitation and Protection of Intellectual Property*, Wiley 1994, edited by Melvin Simensky and Lanning G. Bryer, xiv, 592p.

- Newholm and Kozak, *Changes in Patent, Trademark, and Copyright Laws Under GATT*, 68 WIS. LAW 10-12 (1995).
- Newman, *Kill the "Mere Color" Rule: Equal Protection for Color Under the Lanham Act*, 61 U. CHI. L. REV. 1595-626 (1994).
- Newman, *Portraying a Branded World*, 2008 U. ILL. J.L. TECH. & POL'Y 357 (2008).
- Nguyen, *Collateralizing Intellectual Property*, 42 Ga. L. Rev. 1 (2007).
- Nguyen, *Cyberproperty and Judicial Dissonance: The Trouble With Domain Name Classification*, 10 GEO. MASON L. REV. 183 (2001).
- Nguyen, *Selling it First, Stealing it Later: The Trouble with Trademarks in Corporate Transactions in Bankruptcy*, 44 GONZ. L. REV. 1 (2009).
- Nguyen, *Shifting the Paradigm in E-Commerce: Move Over Inherently Distinctive Trademarks—The E-Brand, I-Brand and Generic Domain Names Ascending to Power?*, 50 AM. U. L. REV. 937 (2001).
- Nguyen and Maine, *Taxing the New Intellectual Property Right*, 56 HASTINGS L.J. 1 (2004).
- Nicks, *Lemon Law II*, 60 WIS. B. BULL. 8 (1987).
- Nimmer, *The End of Copyright*, 48 VAND. L. REV. 1385 (1995).
- Nimmer, *GATT's Entertainment: Before and NAFTA*, 15 LOY. L.A. ENT. L.J. 133-379 (1995).
- Nims and Whitman, *Trade-Mark Menace*, 30 TMR BULL. 157 (1935).
- Nine States Enact Fair Trade Laws*, 30 TMR BULL. 205 (1935).
- Nolley, *Sega Enterprises Ltd. v. Maphia*, 7 J. ART & ENT. L. 406 (1997).
- Nottingham, *Keeping the Home Team at Home: Antitrust and Trademark Law as Weapons in the Fight Against Professional Sports Franchise Relocation*, 75 U. COLO. L. REV. 1065 (2004).
- Nunziato, *Freedom Of Expression, Democratic Norms, And Internet Governance*, 52 EMORY L.J. 187 (2003).
- Nupp, *Concurrent Use of Trademarks on the Internet: Reconciling the Concept of Geographically Delimited Trademarks with the Reality of the Internet*, 64 OHIO ST. L.J. 617 (2003).
- O'Brien and Alarcon, *Article, Star Wars: The Battle to Protect the Right of Publicity of America's Astronauts*, 8 HASTINGS SCI. & TECH. L.J. 41 (2016).
- O'Connor and Peyser, *Ex Parte Young: A Mechanism for Enforcing Federal Intellectual Property Rights Against States*, 10 B.U. J. SCI. & TECH. L. 225 (2004).
- Oesterle, *Note, It's as Clear as Mud: A Call to Amend the Federal Trademark Dilution Act of 1995*, 81 CHI.-KENT L. REV. 235 (2006).
- Olsen, *Mixed Signals in Trademark's "Likelihood of Confusion Law": Does Quality Matter?*, 44 VAL. U. L. REV. 659 (2010).
- Opderbeck, *Form and Function: Protecting Trade Dress Rights in Product Configuration*, 20 SETON HALL LEGIS. L.J. 1 (1996).



#### APPENDIX 4

- Oppedahl, *Remedies in Domain Name Lawsuits: How is a Domain Name Like a Cow?*, 15 J. MARSHALL J. COMPUTER & INFO. L. 437 (1997).
- Oratz, *User Interfaces: Copyright vs. Trade Dress Protection*, 13 COMPUTER LAW 1 (1996).
- O'Reilly, Student Article, *Nominative Fair Use and Internet Aggregations: Copyright and Trademark Challenges Posed by Bots, Web Crawlers and Screen-Scraping Technologies*, 19 LOY. CONSUMER L. REV. 273 (2007).
- O'Rourke, *Drawing the Boundary Between Copyright and Contract: Copyright Preemption of Software License Terms*, 45 DUKE L.J. 479 (1995).
- Orscheln, *Bad News Birkins: Counterfeit in Luxury Brands*, 14 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. 249 (2015).
- Oswald, *Statutory and Judicial Approaches to Gray Market Goods: The "Material Differences" Standard*, 95 KY. L.J. 107 (2007).
- Oswald, *"Tarnishment" and "Blurring" under the Federal Trademark Dilution Act of 1995*, 36 AM. BUS. L.J. 255 (1999).
- Overcamp, *The Qualitex Monster: The Color Trademark Disaster*, 2 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 595 (1995).
- Pace, *The Case for a Federal Trade Secrets Act*, 8 HARV. J.L. & TECH. 427 (1995).
- Pade and Counts, Article, *Trade Secrets Litigation concerning Foreign Acts*, 85 DEF. COUNSEL J. 1 (2018).
- Padawer, *Google This: Search Engine Results Weave A Web For Trademark Infringement Actions On The Internet*, 81 WASH. U.L.Q. 1099 (2003).
- Paepke, *An Economic Interpretation of the Misappropriation Doctrine; Common Law Protection for Investments in Innovation*, 2 HIGH TECH. L.J. 55 (1987).
- Pagano, Note, *"Origin of Goods": Delving into Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.*, 19 ST. JOHN'S J.L. COMM. 421 (2005).
- Page, *Microsoft: A Case Study in International and Competitiveness, High Technology, and The Future of Antitrust Law*, 47 FED. COMM. L.J. 99-122 (1994).
- Page, *Searching for a "Use": Search Engines, Keywords, and Trademark Law*, 28 TEMP. J. SCI. TECH. & ENVTL L. 93 (2009).
- Palladino, *Assessing Trademark Significance: Genericness, Secondary Meaning and Surveys*, 92 TMR 857 (2002).
- Palladino, *Selecting and Protecting Trademarks*, 67 N.Y. ST. B.J. 48 (1995).
- Palladino, *Surveying Secondary Meaning*, 84 TRADEMARK REP. 155 (1994).
- Panitch, *Intellectual Property Law Overview*, A.L.I.-A.B.A. COURSE OF STUDY, (April 23, 1998).

- Paparella, *Stealing the Value of Another's Trademark: A Need for a Practical Solution for the Keyword-Advertising Debate*, 26 T.M. COOLEY L. REV. 347 (2009).
- Parchomovsky and Siegelman, *Towards an Integrated Theory of Intellectual Property*, 88 VA. L. REV. 1455 (2002).
- Parent and Royster, *Reaping the Benefits of Creativity: Protecting Business Assets Under the Lanham Act*, 44 FOR DEF. 48 (2002).
- Parker, Prager and Donohue, *Trademark Vigilance in the Twenty-First Century: A Pragmatic Approach*, 9 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 823 (1999).
- Parmenter, Note, *Louboutins and Legal Loopholes: Aesthetic Functionality and Fashion*, 40 PEPP. L. REV. 1039 (2013).
- Partridge, *A review of recent trademark and unfair competition cases in the U.S.*, 38 IDEA: J.L. & TECH. 57 (1997).
- Partridge, *Trademark Parody and the First Amendment: Humor in the Eye of the Beholder*, 29 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 877 (1996).
- Partridge and Clarke, *Validity of U.S. Trademark Registration Not Revived After Abandonment*, 18 EIPR 462 (1996).
- Pattishall, *The Case for Anti-Dilution Trademark Statutes*, 43 TMR 887 (1953).
- Payne, *Unauthorized Online Dealers of 'Genuine' Products in the Amazon Marketplace and Beyond: Remedies for Brand Owners*, 18 J. INTERNET L. 3 (2014).
- Pattishall, *The Constitutional Foundations of American Trademark Law*, 78 TMR 456 (1988).
- Pattishall, *Dawning Acceptance of the Dilution Rationale for Trademark — Trade Identity Protection*, 74 TMR 289 (1984).
- Pattishall, *The Dilution Rationale for Trademark Identity Protection, Its Progress and Prospects*, 71 NW. U. L. REV. 618 (1977), reprinted in 67 TMR 607 (1977).
- Pattishall, *The U.S.A. Courts and the Prevention of Unfair Competition*, 53 TMR 599, 620, 930 (1963).
- Pattishall, Hilliard, and Welch, *Trademarks and Unfair Competition*, BENDER 1994 537p.
- Payne, *Unauthorized Online Dealers of "Genuine" Products in the Amazon Marketplace and Beyond: Remedies for Brand Owners*, 18 J. INTERNET L. 3 (2014).
- Payne, *Intellectual property: first.com. first served?*, 17 CAL. LAW. 20 (1997).
- Penchina, *Area Summary: 2002 Trademark Law Decisions Of The Federal Circuit*, 52 AM. U.L. REV. 999 (2003).
- Perez, *Confronting Biased Treatment of Trademark Parody Under the Lanham Act*, 44 EMORY L.J. 1451 (1995).

APPENDIX 4

- Perez, *Reconciling the Patent Act and the Lanham Act: Should Product Configurations Be Entitled to Trade Dress Protection After the Expiration of a Utility or Design Patent?*, 4 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 383 (1996).
- Perlman, *The Restatement of the Law of Unfair Competition: A Work in Progress*, 80 TMR 461 (1990).
- Perry, *State Trademark Legislation*, 37 TMR 283 (1947).
- Perry, *Trademarks as Commodities: The "Famous" Roadblock to Applying Trademark Dilution Law in Cyberspace*, 32 CONN. L. REV. 1127 (2000).
- Pesce, *The Likeness Monster: Should the Right of Publicity Protect Against Imitations?*, 65 N.Y.U. L. REV. 782 (1990).
- Pessino, Note, *Mistaken Identity: A Call to Strengthen Publicity Rights for Digital Personas*, 4 VA. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 86 (2004).
- Peters, *When Patent and Trademark Law Hit the Fan: Potential Effects of Vornado Air Circulation Systems, Inc. v. Duracraft Corp. on Legal Protection for Industrial Design*, 15 TEMP. ENVTL. L. & TECH. J. 123 (1996).
- Peterson, *Trade Secrets in an Information Age*, 32 HOUS. L. REV. 385 (1995).
- Peterson, *What's The Use? Establishing Mark Rights In the Modern Economy*, 5 HOUS. BUS. & TAX L. J. 450, (2005).
- Petty, *Domain Name Dispute Policy Evolves to Address Trademark Issues in Cyberspace*, INTELL. PROP. TODAY 8 (Oct. 1996).
- Petty, *Of Tartans And Trademarks*, 94 TMR 859 (2004).
- Pfander and Brik, Article, *Article III Judicial Power, the Adverse-Party Requirement, and Non-Contentious Jurisdiction*, 124 YALE L. J. 1346 (March 2015).
- Pfeffer, *Who's Fooling Whom: An Economic Analysis of Expressive Trademark Use*, 6 WAKE FOREST INTELL. PROP. L.J. 69 (2006).
- Philbin and Griffin, *Intellectual Property Law*, 58 SMU L. REV. 985 (2005).
- Phillips, *Copyright or Trademark? Can One Boy Wizard Prevent Film Title Duplication?*, 11 SAN DIEGO INT'L L.J. 319 (2009).
- Phillips, *Dallas Cowboys Cheerleaders, Inc. v. Pussycat Cinema Ltd.: The Trademark Doctrines of Fair Use and Dilution*, 9 CAP. U. L. REV. 343 (1979).
- Phillips, *When Software Fails: Emerging Standards of Vendor Liability Under the Uniform Commercial Code*, 50 BUS. LAW 151-81 (1994).
- Pimentel, *Trademark Use as Keywords: A Comparative Look at Trademark Use as Keywords in Paid Search and Digital Public Performance Rights for Sound Recordings*, 9 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. 553 (2010).

- Piontkowski and Kenefick, *Nissan Motor Co. v. Nissan Computer Corp.: The Point at Which Fame is Determined Under the Federal Trademark Dilution Act*, 21 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH L.J. 591 (2005).
- Pisarsky, *PoTAYto-PoTAHto-Let's Call the Whole Thing Off: Trademark Protection of Product Sounds*, 40 CONN. L. REV. 797 (2008).
- Pizzi and Borchert, Article, *Understanding the Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016: We're Not in State Court Anymore*, 83 DEF. COUNSEL J. 299 (2016).
- Plant, *Intellectual Property: Arbitrating Disputes in the United States*, 50 DISP. RESOL. J. 8 (1995).
- Plevan, Article, *Recent Trends in the Use of Surveys in Advertising and Consumer Deception Disputes*, 15 CHI.-KENT J. INTELL. PROP. 49 (2016).
- Pollack, *State Trade-Marks and Marks of Ownership*, 14 OHIO ST. L.J. 381 (1953).
- Pollack, *Time to Dilute the Dilution Statue and What Not to Do When Opposing Legislation*, 78 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 519 (1996).
- Pollack, *Unconstitutional Incontestability? The Intersection of the Intellectual Property and Commerce Clauses of the Constitution: Beyond a Critique of Shakespear Co. V. Silstar Corp.*, 18 SEATTLE U. L. REV. 259 (1995).
- Polzer, *Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue, Inc.: The United States Supreme Court's Actual Harm Standard Of Dilution Whittles Away FTDA Protection Offered To Famous Trademarks*, 37 CREIGHTON L. REV. 709 (2004).
- Pope, *Still a Ball of Confusion: KP Permanent Make-Up, Inc. v. Lasting Impression I, Inc.*, 4 CHI.-KENT J. INTELL. PROP. 289 (2005).
- Port, Note, *Trademark Dilution in Japan*, 4 NW. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 228, (2006).
- Port, *Trademark Extortion: The End of Trademark Law*, 65 WASH & LEE L. REV. 585 (2008).
- Port, *Trademark Harmonization: Norms, Names & Nonsense*, 2 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 33 (1998).
- Port, *The Trademark Super Register: A Response to Professor Smith*, 94 TMR 881 (2004).
- Port, *The "Unnatural" Expansion of Trademark Rights: Is a Federal Dilution Statute Necessary?*, 85 TMR 525 (1995).
- Posner, *Manipulative Metatagging, Search Engine Baiting, and Initial Interest Confusion*, 33 COLUM. J.L. & SOC. PROBS. 439 (2000).
- Posner, *Misappropriation: A Dirge*, 40 HOUS. L. REV. 621 (2003).

APPENDIX 4

- Posner, *Do We Have Too Many Intellectual Property Rights?*, 9 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 173 (2005).
- Post, *Who has dominion over domain names?*, 19 AM. LAW. 80 (1997).
- Potter, *Altered Realities: The Effect of Digital Imaging Technology on Libel and Right of Privacy*, 17 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L.J. 495-527 (1995).
- Pottorff, *Warranties: State Lemon Laws*, 1990 ARMY LAW. 55 (1990).
- Prager, *The Federal Trademark Dilution Act of 1995: Substantial Likelihood of Confusion*, 7 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP., MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 121(1996).
- Pratt II, Note, *Fantasy Sports and the Right of Publicity: A Case for Viewing Dissemination of Player Statistics as Fair Use of the News*, 13 TEX. WESLEYAN L. REV. 215 (2006).
- Presson and Barney, *Trademarks as Metatags: Infringement or Fair Use?*, 26 AIPLA QUARTERLY J. 147 (1998).
- Pretty, *Overview of Basic Principles of Trademark Law and Unfair Competition*, PLI PATENTS, COPYRIGHTS, TRADEMARKS AND LITERARY PROPERTY COURSE HANDBOOK, July, 1994.
- Preovolos, *U.S. Department of Justice Draft Antitrust Guidelines for the Licensing and Acquisition of Intellectual Property*, 72 TRADEMARK WORLD 18-19 (1994).
- Price, Article, *Expired Patents, Trade Secrets, and Stymied Competition*, 92 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 1611 (April 2017).
- Price, *The Market for Loyalties: Electronic Media and the Global Competition for Allegiances*, 104 YALE L.J. 667-705 (1994).
- Pridgen, Article, *The Dynamic Duo of Consumer Protection: State and Private Enforcement of Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices Laws*, 81 ANTITRUST L.J. 911 (2016-2017).
- Pridgen, Article, *Wrecking Ball Disguised as Law Reform: Alec's Model Act on Private Enforcement of Consumer Protection Statutes*, 39 N.Y.U. REV. L. & SOC. CHANGE 279 (2015).
- Prince, *Cyber-Criticism and the Federal Trademark Dilution Act: Redefining the Noncommercial Use Exemption*, 9 VA. J.L. & TECH. 12 (2004).
- Proceeding: Information Issues: Intellectual Property, Privacy, Integrity, Interoperability, and the Economics of Information*, 48 FED. COM. L.J. 5 (1995).
- Prowda, *Application of Copyright and Trademark Law in the Protection of Style in the Visual Arts*, 19 COLUM VLS J.L. & ARTS 269 (1995).
- Prowda, *The Trouble with Trade Dress Protection of Product Design*, 61 Alb. L. Rev. 1309 (1998).
- Pulos, *A Semiotic Solution to the Propertization Problem of Trademark*, 53 UCLA L. Rev. 833 (2006).

- Pulsinelli, *Freedom to Explore: Using the Eleventh Amendment to Liberate Researchers at State Universities from Liability for Intellectual Property Infringements*, 82 Wash. L. Rev. 275 (2007).
- Protection of Art Work through Artists' Rights: An Analysis of State Law and Proposal for Change*, 38 Am. U.L. Rev. 855 (1989) (Karen M. Corr).
- Question of State Trade-Mark Registration, The*, 29 TMR BULL. 227 (1934).
- Quinn, *Predatory Pricing Strategies: The Relevance of Intent Under Antitrust, Unfair Competition, and Tort Law*, 64 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 607 (1990).
- Rabinowitz, *Keep Your Eye on Your Ball: Patent Holders' Evolving Duty to Patrol the Marketplace for Infringement*, 5 Nw. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 192 (2007).
- Radcliffe and Dorney, *Trademarks in Cyberspace*, TRADEMARK WORLD 18 (May 1996).
- Radding, *Property of the Mind at the Millenium: Intellectual Property Projections for the 21st Century*, 1996 N.Z. L.J. 47 (1996).
- Rahne and Shapiro, *Article, Practical Considerations for Valuing Intellectual Property Assets in Estate Planning*, 31 PROB. & PROP. 8 (2017).
- Ramirez-Montes, *A Re-examination of the Original Foundations of Anglo-American Trademark Law*, 14 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 91 (2010).
- Ramsey, *Descriptive Trademarks And The First Amendment*, 70 TENN. L.REV. 1095 (2003).
- Ramsey, *Intellectual Property Rights in Advertising*, 12 MICH. TELECOMM. & TECH. L. REV. 189 (2006).
- Ramsey, *Increasing First Amendment Scrutiny of Trademark Law*, 61 SMU L. REV. 381 (2008).
- Rangel, *What's The Secret?—Proving Actual Harm In Trademark Dilution*, 47 S. TEX. L. REV. 131, (2005).
- Rangel-Ortiz, *Trademarks, NAFTA and TRIPs - An Update*, 81 TRADEMARK WORLD 29 (1995).
- Rappeport and Komstein-Cohen, *When consumer beliefs are based on a court's 'intuition'-one more issue arising from Conopco.*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 218 (1997).
- Rasser and Sabatelli, *Impediments to Global Patent Harmonization*, 22 N. KY. L. REV. 579 (1995).
- Ravech, *Article, The Promise and Reality of Chapter 93A: Jury Trial in a Personal Injury Case*, 96 MASS. L. REV. 1 (2014-2015).
- Rawlins, *Likelihood of Dilution by Blurring: A Circuit Comparison and Empirical Analysis*, 16 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 385 (2008).

APPENDIX 4

- Razzano, *Intellectual Property and Baseball Statistics: Can Major League Baseball Take its Fantasy Ball and Go Home?*, 74 U. CIN. L. REV. 1157 (2006).
- Redish and Shust, Article, *The Right of Publicity and the First Amendment in the Modern Age of Commercial Speech*, 56 WM. & MARY L. REV. 1443 (2014-2015).
- Reed, *Lanham Act and Deceptive Trade Practice Claims Arising Under State Professional Licensure Laws*, 8 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 223 (1997).
- Reed, *A Trade Dress Approach to the Protection of Radio Bands*, 34 AIPLA Q.J. 399 (2006).
- Reed and Gregory, Article, *The Defend Trade Secrets Act: Does It Make Secrets Safe(r)*, 19 FRANCHISE LAW. 3 (2016).
- Reeves, *Speech-zilla Meets Trademark Kong?: How the Hollywood Circuit Got It Wrong in the Barbie Battle*, *Mattel, Inc. v. MCA Records, Inc.*, 4 MINN. INTELL. PROP. REV. 285 (2003).
- Reichman, *Charting the Collapse of the Patent - Copyright Dichotomy: Premises for a Restructured International Intellectual Property System*, 13 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 475 (1995).
- Reichman and Cannaddy, *The U.S. Supreme Court Dilutes The Federal Dilution Statute*, 23 FRANCHISE L.J. 24 (2003).
- Reichman and Samuelson, *Intellectual Property Rights in Data?*, 50 VAND. L. REV. 51 (1997).
- Reidenberg, *Governing Networks and Rule-Making in Cyberspace*, 45 EMORY L.J. 911 (1996).
- Reilly, *Intellectual Property Considerations in Pharmaceutical Industry Valuations*, 25 AM. BANKR. INST. J. 46 (2006).
- Reilly, *Intellectual Property Remaining Useful Life Analysis for Bankruptcy Appraisals*, 24 AM. BANKR. INST. J. 42 (2005).
- Report of Committee on Federal and State Trade-Mark Legislation*, 30 TMR BULL. 248 (1935).
- Resnick, *Trade Dress Law: The Conflicts Between Product Design and Product Packaging*, 24 WHITTIER L. REV. 253 (2002).
- Resolution of The United States Trademark Association*, 39 TMR 551 (1949).
- Reynolds, *Good Cause For Franchise Termination: An Irreconcilable Difference Between Franchise Fault and Franchisor Market Withdrawal?*, 1992 B.Y.U. L. REV. 785 (1992).
- Rich, *Trademark Protection in Cyberspace*, 23 COLORADO LAWYER 101 (1996).
- Richey, *The Second Kind of Sin: Making the Case for a Duty to Disclose Facts Related to Genericism and Functionality in the Trademark Office*, 67 WASH. & LEE L. REV. 137 (2010).

- Riegert, *An Overview of the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act and the Successful Consumer-Plaintiff's Right to Attorneys' Fees*, 95 *COM. L.J.* 468 (1990).
- Rierson, *The Myth and Reality of Dilution*, 11 *DUKE L. & TECH. REV.* 212 (2012).
- Riola, *Worldwide Trademark Law Changes Create New Opportunities for International Protection*, 5 *J. PROPRIETARY RTS.* 10 (1995).
- Riso, Article, *Friend or Faux: The Trademark Counterfeiting Act's Inability to Stop the Sale of Counterfeit Sporting Goods*, 15 *WAKE FOREST J. BUS. & INTELL. PROP. L.* 233 (2014-2015).
- Roberts, *Technology Transfer Agreements and North American Competition Law*, 9 *INTELL. PROP. J.* 247 (1995).
- Robertson, *Corinthians Soccer Loses by Decision in Second Round Play-Off Over *Corinthians.com* in Sallen v. Corinthians Licenciamentos Ltda.*, 11 *VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L. FORUM* 49 (2004).
- Robinson, *Preemption, the Right of Publicity and a New Federal Statute*, 16 *CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J.* 183 (1998).
- Robinson, *Tradenames and Trademarks—State and Federal: Some Random Observations*, 22 *LA. B.J.* 179 (1974).
- Roe, *The Current State of Antidilution Law: The Trademark Dilution Revision Act and the Identical Mark Presumption*, 57 *DEPAUL L. REV.* 571 (2008).
- Rohner, *Leasing Consumer Goods: The Spotlight Shifts to the Uniform Consumer Leases Act*, 35 *CONN. L. REV.* 647 (2003).
- Roland, *Intellectual Property Crimes*, 45 *Am. Crim. L. Rev.* 665 (2008).
- Rollins, *Trademark Fair Use: Braun Versus the Bunny*, 13 *MARQ. PROP. L. REV.* 285 (2009).
- Romine, *Advertising Injury Coverage Analysis for Trademark and Trade Dress Infringement Claims in Texas: As Easy As One, Two, Three*, 6 *TEX. WESLEYAN L. REV.* 211 (2000).
- Ronald, Note, *Dastar Corp. v. Twentieth Century Fox Film Corp.*, 19 *BERKELEY TECH. L.J.* 243 (2004).
- Rose, *Will Atlas Shrug? Dilution Protection for "Famous" Trademarks: Anti-Competitive "Monopoly" or Earned "Property" Right?*, 47 *FLA. L. REV.* 653 (1995).
- Rosen, *In Search of the Trade-Mark Cases: The Nascent Treaty Power and The Turbulent Origins of Federal Trademark Law*, 83 *ST. JOHN'S L. REV.* 827 (2009).
- Rosenbaum, *Patents, Trademarks, and Copyrights: Practical Strategies for Protecting Your Ideas and Inventions*, CAREER PRESS 1994 195p.
- Rossi, Article, *Jurisdictional Haze: Indiana and Washington's Unconstitutional Extensions of the Postmortem Right of Publicity*, 57 *B.C. L. REV.* 297 (2016).



APPENDIX 4

- Roth, *Get a Grip on Intellectual Property Litigation: Learning the Fundamentals Through Song, Stage and Screen: Part II: Trademark*, 39 TENN. B.J. 19 (Mar. 2003).
- Roth, Note, *Something Old, Something New, Something Borrowed, Something Blue: A New Tradition in Nontraditional Trademark Registrations*, 27 CARDOZO L. REV. 457 (2005).
- Rothchild, *Protecting the Digital Consumer: The Limits of Cyberspace Utopianism*, 74 IND. L.J. 893 (1999).
- Rothman, *Initial Interest Confusion: Standing at the Crossroads of Trademark Law*, 27 CARDOZO L. REV. 105 (2005).
- Rothman, Article, *The Other Side of Garcia: The Right of Publicity and Copyright Preemption*, 39 COLUM. J.L. & ARTS 441 (2015-2016).
- Routh, *Trademark Dilution and the Effect of the Federal Trademark Dilution Act*, 50 RUTGERS L. REV. 253 (1997).
- Rowe, *Saving Trade Secret Disclosures on the Internet through Sequential Preservation*, 42 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 1 (2007).
- Royer, *Commercial Speech and Fan Websites—Searching for a Method to the Madness*, 86 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 628 (2004).
- Rozsnyai, *Trademark Dilution.Com: Nissan Motor Co. v. Nissan Computer Corp., and the Evolving Law of Trademark Dilution on the Internet*, 2 SHIDLER J. L. COM. & TECH., (2005).
- Rubin, *Pop-Up Ads and Trademark Infringement: Whenu.Com LG Litigation*, 24 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 323 (2006).
- Rubino and Williams, *Food, Geography & the Law*, 54 LA. BAR J. 12 (2006).
- Ruder, *New Strategies for Owners of Discontinued Brands*, 3 NW. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 61 (2004).
- Russo, *FTC v. Wyndham Worldwide Corporation, et al. and the FTC's Authority to Regulate Companies' Data Security Practices*, 23 COMPETITION: J. ANTI. & UNFAIR COMP. L. SEC. ST. B. CAL. 164 (2014).
- Rustad, *The Negligent Enablement of Trade Secret Misappropriation - Symposium Review*, 22 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 455, (2006).
- Rustad, *The Negligent Enablement of Trade Secret Misappropriation*, 22 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 455 (2006).
- Sacks, Stanley and Stokes, *Statutory Treatment of the Model State Trademark Bill in the Areas of Service Marks and Conflicting Federal Registrations*, 27 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 353 (1959).
- Sadasivan, *Jurisprudence Under the In Rem Provision of the Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act*, 18 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 237 (2003).

- Samuels, *Internet Domain Names: The Uniform Dispute Resolution Policy*, 40 AM. BUS. L.J. 885 (2003).
- Samuels and Samuels, *Recent Developments in Trademark Law and Practice*, 79 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 181 (1997).
- Samuels and Samuels, *Major Trademark Decisions Affecting PTO Practice: The Year in Review*, 87 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 298 (2005).
- Samuels and Samuels, *Precedential Court Decisions Affecting PTO Trademark Practice: The Year in Review*, 88 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 205 (2006).
- Samuelson, *The Generativity of Sony v. Universal: The Intellectual Property Legacy of Justice Stevens*, 74 FORDHAM L. REV. 1831 (2006).
- Samuelson, *Information as Property: Do Ruchleshaus and Carpenter Signal a Changing Direction in Intellectual Property Law?*, 38 CATH. U. L. REV. 365 (1989).
- Samuelson, *Principles for Resolving Conflicts between Trade Secrets and the First Amendment*, 58 HASTINGS L.J. 777 (2007).
- Sanchez, *Taking a Byte Out of Minimum Contacts: A Reasonable Exercise of Personal Jurisdiction in Cyberspace Trademark Disputes*, 46 UCLA L. REV. 1671 (1999).
- Sandeen, *Defenders of Small Business?: A Perspective on the Supreme Court's Recent Trademark Jurisprudence*, 30 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 1705 (2004).
- Sandeen, *Preserving the Public Trust in State-Owned Intellectual Property: A Recommendation for Legislative Action*, 32 McGEORGE L. REV. 385 (2001).
- Sandeen, *Kewanee Revisited: Returning to First Principles of Intellectual Property Law to Determine the Issue of Federal Preemption*, 12 MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV. 299 (2008).
- Sandeen and Rowe, *Article, Debating Employee Non-Competes and Trade Secrets*, 33 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 438 (2016-2017).
- Sandner, *The Identification of Trademark Filing Strategies: Creating, Hedging, Modernizing, and Extending Brands*, 99 TRADEMARK REP. 1257 (2009).
- Sangsuwan, *Trademark Infringement Rules in Google Keyword Advertising*, 89 U. DET. MERCY L. REV. 137 (2012).
- Sarabia II, *Marked Recovery: The Calculation of Statutory Damages for Trademark Infringement Could be Simplified by Using the "Class System" to Determine Goods and Services*, 28 LOS ANGELES LAWYER 36 (2005).
- Saunders, *A Crusade in the Public Domain: The Dastar Decision*, 30 RUTGERS COMPUTER & TECH. L.J. 161 (2004).

APPENDIX 4

- Saunders, Article, *Can You Ever Disclaim an Express Warranty*, 9 J. BUS. ENTREPRENEURSHIP & L. 59 (2015).
- Sawchak and Shelton, Article, *Exposing the Fault Lines under State UDAP Statutes*, 81 ANTITRUST L.J. 903 (2016-2017).
- Schantz, *Mixed Signals: How Mixtapes Have Blurred the Changing Legal Landscape in the Music Industry*, 17 U. MIAMI BUS. L. REV. 293 (2009).
- Schechter, *The Rational Basis of Trademark Protection*, 40 Harv. L. Rev. 813 (1927), reprinted in 22 TMR BULL. 139 (1927); 60 TMR 334 (1970).
- Scheff, *Veblen Brands*, 96 MINN. L. REV. 769 (2012).
- Scheinfeld and Bagley, *The Ever Increasing Duty to Conduct a Search*, N.Y. L.J. (July 24, 1996).
- Scheinfeld and Bagley, *Protection Issues on the Internet*, N.Y. L.J. (Jan. 24, 1996).
- Scheinfeld and Butter, *Using Trade Secret Law to Protect Computer Software*, 17 RUTGERS COMPUTER & TECH. L.J. 381 (1991).
- Scheller, *PC Peep Show: Computers, Privacy and Child Pornography*, 27 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 989-1016 (1994).
- Schiefelbine, *Stopping a Trojan Horse: Challenging Pop-up Advertisements and Embedded Software Schemes on the Internet Through Unfair Competition Laws*, 19 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 499 (2003).
- Schilowitz, *Don't Dare Associate "Playboy" with Porn: Playboy Enterprises, Inc. v. Netscape Communications Corp.*, 10 B.U. J. SCI. & TECH. L. 389 (2004).
- Schloss, *Marks of Distinction: Rethinking Secondary Meaning Standards in Trademark Law*, 14 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 695 (1996).
- Schlossberg, Rosenblum, *Intellectual Property Licensing Subject to U.S. Antitrust Statute*, 210 NEW YORK LAW JOURNAL S5 (1993).
- Schoenhard, *Why Marks Have Power Beyond the Rights Conferred: The Conflation of Trademarks and Service Marks*, 87 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 970, (2005).
- Scholer, *Righting the Wrong in Reverse Confusion*, 55 Hastings L.J. 737 (2004).
- Schonberger, *In Search of the Story: Narratives of Intellectual Property*, 10 VA. J.L. & TECH. 11 (2005).
- Schulman, *Little F.T.C. Act: The Neglected Alternative*, 9 J. MAR. 351 (1975-76).
- Schulte, *Abracadabra International, Ltd. v. Abracadabra Creations, Inc.—Internet Advertising Just Federalized the Nation's Service Mark Law!*, 22 HAMLINE L. REV. 563 (1999).

- Schroeder, *Unnatural Rights: Hegel and Intellectual Property*, 60 U. MIAMI L. REV. 453 (2006).
- Schroeder, *A Trademark Gamble: Should Use of Services Abroad by U.S. Citizens Meet the Lanham Act "Use In Commerce" Requirement?*, 90 IOWA L. REV. 1615 (2005).
- Schwarz, *Demonstrating the Requisite Level of Proof for a Federal Trademark Dilution Claim: Establishing Actual Dilution Following Moseley v. Victoria's Secret*, 25 PACE L. REV. 157 (2004).
- Schwarz, *It Had to be Hue: The Meaning of Colour "Pure and Simple"*, 6 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 59 (1995).
- Schwarz, *Registration of Colours as Trade Marks: U.S. Supreme Court Decision in Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co., Inc.*, 8 EIPR 393 (1995).
- Schwarz and Bullis, *Rivalrous Consumption and the Boundaries of Copyright Law: Intellectual Property Lessons from Online Games*, 10 INTELL. PROP. L. BULL. 13 (2005).8
- Sciandra, *Book Review, Computer Games and Virtual Worlds: A New Frontier in Intellectual Property Law*, 26 SYRACUSE SCI. & TECH. L. REP. 183 (2012).
- Scott, Wolf, and Hillman, *Franchising From A (Arbitration) To T (Termination)*, 22 FRANCHISE L.J. 192 (2003).
- Seaman, *The Case Against Federalizing Trade Secrecy*, 101 VA. L. REV. 317 (2015).
- Seaman, *Article, Introduction: The Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2015*, 72 WASH. & LEE L. REV. ONLINE 281 (2015-2016).
- Seasonwein, *The Effect of State Trademark Registration*, 61 TMR 457 (1971).
- Seddiq, *Note, Victor Can Keep His Little Secret Unless Victoria's Secret Is Actually Harmed*, 19 TOURO L. REV. 875 (2004).
- Segal, *Note, Keeping It in the Kitchen: An Analysis of Intellectual Property Protection through Trade Secrets in the Restaurant Industry*, 37 CARDOZO L. REV. 1523 (2015-2016).
- Sellers, *The Black Market and Intellectual Property: A Potential Sherman Act Section Two Antitrust Defense?*, 14 ALB. L.J. SCI. & TECH. 583 (2004).
- Serad, *One Year After Dilution's Entry Into Federal Trademark Law*, 32 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 215 (1997).
- Serota, *Constitutional Obstacles to State "Good Cause" Restrictions on Franchise Terminations*, 74 COLUM. L. REV. 1487 (1974).
- Shaeffer, *Prescription Drug Advertising—Should States Regulate What is False and Misleading?*, 58 FOOD DRUG L.J. 629 (2003).
- Shao, *Is There No Business Like Show Business? Free Trade and Cultural Protectionism*, 20 YALE J. INT'L L. 105-50 (1995).

- Sharrock, *Realigning The Initial Interest Confusion Doctrine With The Lanham Act*, 25 WHITTIER L. REV. 53 (2003).
- Sharrott and Sayeed, *Intellectual Property Developments in Biochip Nanotechnology*, 3 NANOTECHNOLOGY L. & BUS. 20 (2006).
- Shea, *Trademarks and Keyword Banner Advertising*, 75 S. CAL. L. REV. 529 (2002).
- Sheff, *Marks, Morals, and Markets*, 5 Stan. L. Rev. 761 (2013). Sheff, *The (Boundedly) Rational Basis of Trademark Liability*, 15 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 331 (2007).
- Shell, *Substituting Ethical Standards for Common Law Rules in Commercial Cases: An Emerging Statutory Trend*, 82 Nw. U. L. REV. 1198254 (1988).
- Sherman, Note, *Fan Websites' Use of Trademarks in Their Domain Names: tFair or Foul?*, 30 RUTGERS COMPUTER & TECH. L.J. 399 (2004).
- Sherman, *Registration of Trademarks Under State Law*, 59 A.B.A. J. 515 (1973).
- Sherry, *Haste Makes Waste: Congress and the Common Law in Cyberspace*, 55 VAND. L. REV. 309 (2002).
- Shilling, *Essentials of Trademarks and Unfair Competition*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (2002).
- Shipman, *Trademark and Unfair Competition in Cyberspace: Can These Laws Deter "Baiting" Practices on Web Sites?*, 39 SANTA CLARA L. REV. 245 (1998).
- Shire, *Dilution Versus Deception—Are State Antidilution Laws an Appropriate Alternative to the Law of Infringement?*, 77 TMR 273 (1987).
- Shire and Marsh, *Federal Dilution Claims after Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue*, 94 TMR 1066 (2004).
- Shoenberger, *Consumer Myths v. Legal Realities: How Can Businesses Cope?*, 16 LOY. CONSUMER L. REV. 189 (2004).
- Sidie, *The Federal Trademark Dilution Act—Infringing on Infringement Law?*, 36 SUFFOLK U.L. REV. 451 (2003).
- Sigman, *Kosher without Law: The Role of Nonlegal Sanctions in Overcoming Fraud within the Kosher Food Industry*, 31 FLA. ST. U.L. REV. 509 (2004).
- Silbert, *Trademark Law, ICANN, and Domain Name Expiration*, 36 AIPLA Q.J. 311 (2008).
- Siller, Retskey, *Patent and Trade Secret Protection of Computer Technology*, 6 SOFTWARE L.J. 239 (1993).
- Silverman, *Congressional Bill Seeks to Restore Trademark Dilution Protection*, 7 No. 15 LAWYERS J. 4 (2005).
- Silverman and Wilson, Article, *State Attorney General Enforcement of Unfair or Deceptive Acts and Practices Laws: Emerging Concerns and Solutions*, 65 U. KAN. L. REV. 209 (December 2016).

- Silversmith and Guggenheim, *Between Heaven and Earth: The Interrelationship Between Intellectual Property Rights and the Religion Clauses of the First Amendment*, 52 ALA. L. REV. 467 (2001).
- Simon, *Trade Law and Innovation: Section 301 of the Trade Act and its Effect on Counterfeiting of U.S. Technology; Current and Possible Effect of NAFTA and GATT Intellectual Property Provisions*, 21 CAN.-U.S. L.J. 75 (1995).
- Simon, *Register Trademarks and Keep the Faith: Trademarks, Religion and Identity*, 49 IDEA 233 (2009).
- Simonson, *An Empirical Investigation of the Meaning and Measurement of "Genericness"*, 84 TRADEMARK REP. 199 (1994).
- Simonson, *How and When Do Trademarks Dilute: A Behavioral Framework to Judge "Likelihood" of Dilution*, 83 TMR 149 (1993).
- Sinclair, *Article, Noriega v. Activision/Blizzard: The First Amendment Right to Use a Historical Figure's Likeness in Video Games*, 14 DUKE L. & TECH. REV. 69 (2016).
- Singer, *How Trademark Practitioners Perceive the Availability of Provisional Remedies for Infringement: A World-Wide Survey*, 36 IDEA: J.L. & TECH. 67 (1995).
- Sitar, *The Sky's the Limit? The Emergence of Building Trademarks*, 103 DICK. L. REV. 821 (1999).
- Skeels, *The DTPA's Professional Services Exemption: Let'em Be Doctors and Lawyers and Such*, 55 BAYLOR L. REV. 783 (2003).
- Slade, *Applicability of Franchise and Business Opportunity Laws to Distribution and Licensing Agreements*, 15 AIPLA Q.J. 1-29 (1987).
- Slenzak, *Dilution Law in the United States and Canada: A Review of the State of the Law and a Proposal for United States Federal Dilution Protection*, 83 TMR 205 (1993).
- Sloan, *Note, Too Famous for the Right of Publicity: ETW Corp. and the Trend Towards Diminished Protection for Top Celebrities*, 22 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 903 (2005).
- Smith, *General Intangible or Commercial Tort: Moral Rights and State-Based Intellectual Property as Collateral Under U.C.C. Revised Article 9*, 22 EMORY BANKR. DEV. J. 95 (2005).
- Smith, *Obtaining Trademark and Copyright Enforcement in the United States for Infringement Abroad*, 12 J. PROPRIETARY RTS. 8 (1994).
- Smith, *Private Property For Public Use: The Federal Trademark Dilution Act And Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act As Violations Of The Fifth Amendment Takings Clause*, 11 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 191 (2003).
- Smith, *Tarnishment and the FTDA: Lessening the Capacity to Identify and Distinguish*, 2004 B.Y.U.L. REV. 825 (2004).

APPENDIX 4

- Smith, *Trade Distinctiveness: Solving Scalia's Tertium Quid Trade Dress Conundrum*, 2005 MICH. ST. L. REV. 243 (2005).
- Smith, *Undressing Abercrombie: Defining When Trade Dress Is Inherently Distinctive*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 160 (1997).
- Smith and Parr, *Valuation of Intellectual Property and Intangible Assets*, WILEY 1994 xxvi, 515p. il.
- Sobek, *Balancing Individual Privacy Rights and the Rights of Trademark Owners in Access to the Whols*, 38 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 357 (2004).
- Solomon, *Can the Lanham Act Protect Tiger Woods?: An Analysis of Whether the Lanham Act Is a Proper Substitute for a Federal Right of Publicity*, 94 TMR 1202 (2004).
- Sommers, *The New U.S. Trademark Dilution Act: Problems Lurking*, TRADEMARK WORLD 16 (February 1996).
- Soong, *Definite confusion over likely confusion*, 19 HASTINGS COMMS. & ENT. L.J. 823 (1997).
- Sosinsky, *Laudatory Terms in Trademark Law: Square Pegs in Round Holes*, 9 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 725 (1999).
- Sovern, *Private Actions Under the Deceptive Trade Practices Act: Reconsidering the FTC Act as Rule Model*, 52 OHIO ST. L.J. 437 (1991).
- Speiss, III and Levine, *An Analysis of the Factors that Determine When and How to Resolve a Trademark Dispute*, 11 RICH. J.L. & TECH. 1 (2004).
- Spelman and Madson, *Confusion and parody in trademark*, P.L.I. Patents, Copyrights, Trademarks, and Literary Property Course Handbook Series (1997).
- Speltz and Grayson, *Is That Your Final Answer?: Are Insureds Entitled to Insurance Coverage for Trademark Infringement?*, 23 HAMLINE L. REV. 348 (2000).
- Spence, *Patent Law and Policy Symposium: Re-Engineering Patent Law: The Challenge of New Technologies*, 2 WASH. U. J.L. & POL'Y 517 (2000).
- Spencer, *Registration of Retail Service Marks*, 74 TRADEMARK WORLD 13-15 (1995).
- Speth, *It's All in the Name: Intellectual Property Issues to Consider in a Business Start-Up*, 33 ARIZ. ATT'Y 24 (1996).
- Staffin, *The Dilution Doctrine: Towards a Reconciliation With the Lanham Act*, 6 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 105 (1995).
- Stahl, *Trademark: "I Love You...You're Fired!"*, 4 CHI.-KENT J. INTELL. PROP. 147 (2004).
- Stamets, *Ain't Nothin' Like the Real Thing, Baby: The Right of Publicity and the Singing Voice*, 46 Fed. Comm. L.J. 347 (1994).
- Stanley, *Balancing Trademarks and Copyrights: A Better Way to Approach Initial Interest Confusion and Contributory Liability in the Internet Context*, 4 SETON HALL CIR. REV. 223 (2007).

- Stanton, *The Wrongful Obliteration of the Tort of Invasion of Privacy Through the Publication of Private Facts*, 18 HASTINGS CONST. L.Q. 391 (1991).
- State Fair Trade Acts Upheld by Supreme Court*, 31 TMR Bull. 342 (1936).
- State Fair-Trading Laws for Trade-Marked Goods*, 29 TMR BULL. 245 (1934).
- Comment, *State Franchise Law and Federal Trademark Law: A Balancing Approach*, 24 BUFFALO L. REV. 463 (1975).
- State Laws Upon Registration of Trade-Marks*, 14 TMR BULL. 132 (1919).
- State Registration as Viewed by Our Members*, 34 TMR BULL. 105 (1939).
- Status of State Legislation*, 32 TMR BULL. 32, 62 (1937).
- Statutes of Limitations Under the Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act*, 39 BAYLOR L. REV. 293 (1987).
- Steffe, Coblentz and Parenzo, *The Common-Interest Doctrine and Intellectual Property Due Diligence*, 24 BIOTECHNOLOGY L. REP. 1 (2005).
- Stein and Horowitz, *Generic Top-Level Domain Expansion Brings New Opportunities, Risks for Business Owners*, 89 FLA. B.J. 85 (2015).
- Steinberg and Lescatre, *Beguiling Heresy: Regulating the Franchise Relationship*, 109 PENN ST. L. REV. 105 (2004).
- Stempel, Article, *The Other Personal Injury: Coverage B of the CGL Policy*, 25 NEV LAW. 8 (2017).
- Stendell, *Trademarks: Internet Pop-Up Advertisement Triggered by Competitor's Trademarks Is Not Infringing "Use in Commerce" of the Marks*, 58 S.M.U. L. REV. 215 (2005).
- Stephenson and Treece, *Another Look at Descriptive and Generic Terms in American Trademark Law*, 66 TMR 452 (1976).
- Stern and Stern, Article, *A New Test to Reconcile the Right of Publicity with Core First Amendment Values*, 23 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 93 (2015).
- Stevens, Names, Newsworthiness, and the Right to Privacy, 13 COM. & L. 61 (1991).
- Stimson, *The Empire Strikes Back—A Corporate Trademark Owner Responds to Professor Greene*, 58 SYRACUSE L. REV. 447 (2008).
- Stolte, *Avoiding Hague Convention Headaches—An Analysis of Lanham Act Section 1(E) Service of Process on Foreign Nationals*, 92 TMR 1417 (2002).
- Stolte, *Functionality Challenges to Incontestable Trademark Registrations Before and After the Trademark Law Treaty Implementation Act*, 92 TMR 1094 (2002).
- Stolte, *Remedying judicial limitations on trademark remedies: an accounting of profits should not require a finding of bad faith*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 271 (1997).



APPENDIX 4

- Stone, Article, *9 Good Practice Tips for Preventing Information Theft*, 28 INTELL PROP LITIG 32 (2016-2017).
- Stoughton, *A Review of the New Trade-Mark Manual*, 45 MICH. L. REV. 865 (1947).
- Suh, Note, *Intellectual Property Law and Competitive Internet Advertising Technologies: Why “Legitimate” Pop-Up Advertising Practices Should Be Protected*, 79 ST. JOHN’S L. REV. 161 (2005).
- Sun, *Reforming Anti-Dilution Protection in the Globalization of Luxury Brands*, 45 GEO. J. INT’L L. 783 (2014).
- Sunderji, Note, *Protecting Online Auction Sites from the Contributory Trademark Liability Storm: A Legislative Solution to the Tiffany Inc. v. Ebay Inc. Problem*, 74 FORDHAM L. REV. 909 (2005).
- Sutton and Cowart, *Recent Developments in the Unfair Competition Arena Including Trademarks, Trade Dress and False Advertising*, 4 TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J. 289 (1996).
- Swann, *Dilution Redefined for the Year 2002*, 92 TMR 585 (2002).
- Swann, *Genericism Rationalized*, 89 TRADEMARK REP. 639 (1999).
- Swann and Tarr, *Configuration Protection Harmonized*, 94 TMR 1182 (2004).
- Swanson, *A Comparative Analysis of Three Lemon Laws*, 75 ILL. B.J. 436 (1987).
- Swedlow, *Three Paradigms of Presence: A Solution for Personal Jurisdiction on the Internet*, 22 Okla. City U. L. Rev. 337 (1997).
- Switzer, *Annual Survey of Caselaw: Intellectual Property*, 26 U. ARK. LITTLE ROCK L. REV. 933 (2004).
- A Symposium on Trade Regulation and Practices*, 11 VAND. L. REV. 1 (1967).
- Symposium: Trade Regulation*, 15 W. RES. L. REV. 9 (1963).
- Tabach-Bank, Note, *Missing the Right of Publicity Boat: How Tyne v. Time Warner Entertainment Co. Threatens to “Sink” the First Amendment*, 24 LOY. L.A. ENT. L.J. 247 (2004).
- Taber, Note, *Special Project: Current Issues in Intellectual Property*, 58 VAND. L. REV. 851 (2005).
- Taggart, *The Foundation for the Future (100 Years and Today)*, 68 TMR 611 (1978).
- Tanebaum, *Intellectual Property Due Diligence for Multimedia Strategic Alliances*, 11 COMPUTER LAW 1-8 (1994).
- Tanenbaum, *Rights and remedies for three common trademark domain name disputes*, 14 COMPUTER LAW. 9 (1997).
- Taviss, *In Search of a Consistent Trademark Dilution Test*, 58 U. CIN. L. REV. 1449 (1990).
- Taylor, *Trademarks and the Multimedia Explosion*, 12 COMPUTER LAW 22 (1995).

- Technological Alterations to Motion Pictures and Other Audiovisual Works: Implications for Creators, Copyright Owners, and Consumers*, 10 LOY. ENT. L.J. 1 (1990).
- Thatch, *Personal Jurisdiction and the World-Wide Web: Bits (and Bytes) of Minimum Contacts*, 23 RUTGERS COMPUTER & TECH. L.J. 143 (1997).
- Thomas, *The Errors of Error Testing: Potential Liability Issues for Medication Error Testing of Pharmaceutical Trademarks Under U.S. Law*, 59 FOOD DRUG L.J. 325 (2004).
- Thomas, "Hey Did You Get My E-Mail?" Reflections of a Retrogrouch in the Computer Age of Legal Education, 44 J. LEGAL EDUC. 233-48 (1994).
- Thomas, *Litigation Beyond the Technological Frontier: Comparative Approaches to Multinational Patent Enforcement*, 27 LAW & POL'Y. INT'L BUS. 277 (1996).
- Thomas & Newman, *Social Networking and Blogging: The New Legal Frontier*, 9 J. MARSHALL REV. INTELL. PROP. L. 500 (2010).
- Thompson, *How to Select and Search Trademarks*, 20 ALI-ABA COURSE MATERIALS J. 47 (1996).
- Thompson, *Selecting and Searching Trademark Considerations and Strategies*, C122 ALI-ABA 27 (1995).
- Thornburg, *Stadium Naming Rights: An Assessment of the Contract and Trademark Issues Inherent to Both Professional and Collegiate Stadiums*, 2 VA. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 328 (2003).
- Thornburg, *Trademark Survey Evidence: Review of Current Trends in the Ninth Circuit*, 21 SANTA ANA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 715 (2005).
- Thurmon, *Recent Developments in Trademark Law*, 7 TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J. 179 (1998).
- Thurmon, *The Rise And Fall Of Trademark Law's Functionality Doctrine*, 56 FLA. L. REV. 243 (2004).
- Tichane, *The Maturing Trademark Doctrine of Post-Sales Confusion*, 85 TMR 399 (1995).
- Tideman, *Does Non-Technical Trademark Use Survive TLRA?*, 85 TRADEMARK REP. 89-99 (1995).
- Titolo, *A Trademark Holder's Hangover: Reconciling the Lanham Act with the Alcohol and Tobacco Tax and Trade Bureau's System of Designating American Viticultural Areas*, 17 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 173 (2009).
- Tocups and O'Connell, *Trademark and trade dress protection for computer software*, 14 COMPUTER LAW. 17 (1997).
- Tomiko Blackburn, *Title Blanding: How the Lanham Act Strips Artistic Expression from Song Titles*, 22 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 837 (2005).

APPENDIX 4

- Tomlinson, *Everything that Glitters is Not Gold: Songwriter-Music Publisher Agreements and Disagreements*, 18 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L.J. 85 (1995).
- Tongg, *The Qualitex Quandry: Was Trademark Protection for Color Per Se Clearly Resolved?*, 18 HAWAII L. REV. 445 (1996).
- Tontodonato, *Deep-Linking: Sure, You Can Exploit My Trademark, Weaken Its Strength, and Make Yourself Money While Doing It*, 22 THOMAS JEFFERSON L. REV. 201 (2000).
- Torsen, *"Anonymous, Untitled, Mixed Media": Mixing Intellectual Property Law with other Legal Philosophies to Protect Traditional Cultural Expressions*, 53 J. COPYRIGHT SOC'Y U.S.A. 287 (Fall 2005-Winter 2006).
- Totten, *Article, The Enforcers & the Great Recession*, 36 CARDOZO L. REV. 1611 (2014-2015).
- Trademark Committee Holds Hearing on Mandatory State Law*, 33 TMR BULL. 242 (1938).
- Trademarking "Jeet Kune Do"*, 40 SANTA CLARA L. REV. 511 (2000).
- Trade-Mark Registration Under State Laws*, 28 TMR BULL. 125 (1933).
- Comment, *Trademarks—Exclusive Use—Federal Registrant Granted Exclusive State Use Except in Specific Area Where State Registrant Had Prior Use (Burger King of Florida, Inc. v. Hoots)*, 54 IOWA L. REV. 933 (1969).
- Note, *Trade-Marks & Trade Names—Infringement Suits Brought in State Court Cannot Be Removed to Federal Court on Ground that Registration Under Lanham Act Precludes Common-Law Remedies (M. & D. Simon Co. v. R. H. Macy & Co.)*, 71 HARV. L. REV. 1372 (1958).
- Travis, *The Battle for Mindshare: The Emerging Consensus that the First Amendment Protects Corporate Criticism and Parody on the Internet*, 10 VA. J.L. & TECH. 3 (2005).
- Troutt, *A Portrait of the Trademark as a Black Man: Intellectual Property, Commodification, and Redescription*, 38 U.C. DAVIS L. REV. 1141 (2005).
- Troxclair, Note, *Search Engines and Internet Advertisers: Just One Click Away From Trademark Infringement?*, 62 WASH. & LEE L. REV. 1365, (2005).
- Tschura, *Likelihood of Confusion and Expressive Functionality: A Fresh Look at the Ornamental Use of Institutional Colors, Names and Emblems on Apparel and Other Goods*, 53 WAYNE L. REV. 873 (2007).
- Tupman, *Arbitration of Intellectual Property Disputes under U.S. Law*, 42 ARB. J. 3 (1987).

- Turcotte, *Caught In A Corporate Panty Raid: Moseley v. V Secret Catalogue, Inc.*, 40 HOUS. L. REV. 867 (2003).
- Tushnet, *Gone in Sixty Milliseconds: Trademark Law and Cognitive Science*, 86 TEX. L. REV. 507 (2008).
- Tushnet, Article, *A Mask That Eats into the Face: Images and the Right of Publicity*, 38 COLUM. J.L. & ARTS 157 (2014-2015).
- Twomey, *The Impact of Recent Supreme Court Decisions on Trade Dress Protection and the Use of Colors in Trademarks*, 29 BUS. L. REV. 109 (1996).
- UCC *Warranty Disclaimers and the "No Waiver" Provision of the Deceptive Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act: Can the Conflict Be Resolved?* 18 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 211 (1987).
- Comment, *Unfair Competition and Common Law Trade-Marks: State or Federal Jurisdiction?*, 7 DE PAUL L. REV. 227 (1958).
- Unfair Competition and the Federal Law: Constitutional Restraints on the Scope of State Law*, 54 U. CHI. L. REV. 1411 (1987).
- Unfair Competition: Copy Cats Can No Longer "Take the Money and Run,"* 7 LOY. ENTERTAINMENT L.J. 191-9 (1987).
- Uniform Commercial Code: Survey*, 49 BUS. LAW. 1827-954 (1994).
- Unikel, *Better by Design: The Availability of Trade Dress Protection for Product Design and the Demise of "Aesthetic Functionality"*, 85 TMR 312 (1995).
- Unikel, *Bridging the "Trade Secret" Gap: Protecting "Confidential Information" Not Rising to the Level of Trade Secrets*, 29 LOY. U. CHI. L.J. 841 (1998).
- Upadhye, *Rewriting the Lanham Trademark Act to Prohibit the Importation of All Gray Market Goods*, 20 SETON HALL LEGIS. J. 59 (1996).
- Valentine, *The Plenary Power of States to Infringe Intellectual Property Under the Cloak of Sovereign Immunity*, 6 J. HIGH TECH. L. 165 (2006).
- Van Dam, *Developments in Consumer Protection: the States' View*, 60 ANTITRUST L.J. 133 (1991).
- Van Slyke, *Dilution Legislation Gains Momentum but Raises some Concerns; A Federal Law Might Impair First Amendment Rights*, 15 NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL S4 (1993).
- Van Slyke, *State Laws Against Trademark Dilution: Why They Should not be Preempted by the Lanham Act*, 83 TMR 197 (1993).
- Varadarajan, Article, *The Trade Secret Contract Interface*, 103 IOWA L. REV. 1543 (2017-2018).
- Vaughan, *Trading in Names on the Internet*, 75 TRADEMARK WORLD 18-20 (1995).
- Vern, *Second Life - A New Dimension for Trademark Infringement*, 90 J. PAT. & TRADEMARK OFF. SOC'Y 51 (2008).

APPENDIX 4

- Verna III, *WWW.WHATSINA.NAME*, 14 SETON HALL J. OF SPORTS & ENT. L. 153 (2004).
- Ver Ploeg, Comment, *Shifting Targets on Shifting Fees: Attorney's Fees in the Wake of Singer Management Consultants, Inc. v. Milgram*, 53 B.C. L. REV. 807 (2012).
- Vigderson, *Hamlet II: The Sequel? The Rights of Authors vs. Computer-Generated "Read Alike" Works*, 28 LOY. L.A. L. REV. 401-45 (1994).
- Villwock, *The Federal Trademark Dilution Act of 1995*, 6 J. ART & ENT. L. 213 (1997).
- Vinciguerra, *The Dialectic Relationship Between Different Concepts of Property Rights and its Significance on Intellectual Property Rights*, 10 J. TECH. L. & POL'Y 155 (2005).
- Virtual Reality, Appropriation, and Property Rights in Art: A Roundtable Discussion*, 13 CARDOZZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 89-117 (1994).
- Viscounty and Kushner, *Order to Confusion: Trademark Infringement Liability for Search Engine Keying Ads*, 1 HASTINGS BUS. L.J. 151 (2005).
- Visintine, *The Registrability of Color Per Se as a Trademark After Qualitex Co. v. Jacobson Products Co.*, 40 ST. LOUIS U. L.J. 611 (1996).
- Vlisides, Article, *Video Games and NCAA Athletes: Resolving a Modern Threat to the First Amendment*, 45 U. BALT. L. REV. 1 (2015-2016).
- Volokh, *Freedom Of Speech And The Right Of Publicity*, 40 HOUS. L. REV. 903 (2003).
- Vukelj, *Post No Bills: Can the NBA Prohibit its Players from Wearing Tattoo Advertisements?*, 15 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 507 (2005).
- Wagner, *The Perfect Storm: Intellectual Property and Public Values*, 74 FORDHAM L. REV. 423 (2005).
- Wall, *Sports Marketing and the Law: Protecting Proprietary Interests in Sports Entertainment Events*, 7 MARQUETTE SPORTS L.J. 77 (1996).
- Walterscheid, *Inherent or Created Rights: Early Views on the Intellectual Property Clause*, 19 HAMLINE L. REV. 81 (1995).
- Walton, *A Pervasive But Often Not Explicitly Characterised Aspect of Passing Off*, 9 EUR. INTELL. PROP. REV. 159 (1987).
- Wands, *Priority: Lanham Act or Model State Trademark Bill?*, 58 TMR 801 (1968).
- Warner, *Border Disputes: Trespass to Chattels on the Internet*, 47 VILL. L. REV. 117 (2002).
- Warner, *Trademark Infringement Online: Appropriate Federal Relief from the Illicit Use of Trademarked Material in Web Site Meta Tags*, 22 THOMAS JEFFERSON L. REV. 133 (2000).
- Watson, *Defending Deceptive Trade Practices Claims*, 18 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 77 (1987).

- Watson, *Pebble Beach v. Tour 18: No Mulligans Allowed*, 7 J. ART & ENT. L. 264 (1997).
- Weaver, Article, *Everything Is Not Terminator: Using State Law against Deceptive AI's Use of Personal Data*, 1 RAIL 267 (2018).
- Webbink, *A New Paradigm for Intellectual Property Rights in Software*, 2005 DUKE L. & TECH. REV. 12 (2005).
- Webner, *The Right of Publicity: A Commercial Property Right—Not a Privacy Right*, 84 TRADEMARK REP. 586-604 (1994).
- Webner and Lindquist, *Transformation: The Bright Line Between Commercial Publicity Rights and the First Amendment*, 37 AKRON L. REV. 171 (2004).
- Weckstrom, *The Lawfulness of Criticizing Big Business: Comparing Approaches to the Balancing of Societal Interests Behind Trademark Protection*, 11 LEWIS & CLARK L. REV. 671 (2007).
- Weikers, Comment, *"Computer Malpractice" and Other Legal Problems Posed by Computer "Vaporware,"* 33 VILL. L. REV. 835-893 (1988).
- Weiler, *The Right of Publicity Gone Wrong: A Case for Privileged Appropriation of Identity*, 13 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 223-73 (1994).
- Weinberg, *Licensing Trademarks and Publicity Rights*, PLI ORDER NO. G43865 (1991).
- Weinberg, *Is the Monopoly Theory of Trademarks Robust or a Bust?*, 13 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 137 (2005).
- Weinberg and Woodward, *Easing Transfer and Security Interest Transactions in Intellectual Property: An Agenda for Reform*, 79 KY. L.J. 61 (1990).
- Weinburg, *Charting a Course on the Information Superhighway*, 54 COPYRIGHT WORLD 24 (1995).
- Weinberg, *Cyberjinks: trademark hijinks in cyberspace through hyperlinking and metatags*, 87 TRADEMARK REP. 576 (1997).
- Weinfeld, *Internet Domain Names and Trademark Infringement*, 23 OHIO N.U. L. REV. 229 (1996).
- Weisbord, Article, *A Copyright Right of Publicity*, 84 FORDHAM L. REV. 2803 (2015-2016).
- Weise, *Security Interests in Intellectual Property and Intellectual Property Licenses*, AMERICAN LAW INSTITUTE - AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION CONTINUING LEGAL EDUCATION, December 15-17, 2005.
- Weiss, *Trademarks and Unfair Competition: Unauthorized References to Another's Trademark* (Societe Comptoir De L'indus. v. Alexander's Dept. Store), 48 CORNELL L.Q. 370 (1962).
- Weissman, *The Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act: Developments through its First Six Years*, 95 TRADEMARK REP. 1058 (2005).

APPENDIX 4

- Weiswasser, *Domain Names, the Internet, and Trademarks: Infringements in Cyberspace*, 13 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH-TECH L.J. 137 (1997).
- Welch, Comment, *Contributory Trademark Infringement: Who Bears the Burden of Policing Online Counterfeit Activity?*, 13 SMU SCI. & TECH. L. REV. 361 (2010)
- Welch, *TTAB Sustains Dilution Claim For The First Time; Ruling Was Highlight Of Trademark Trial And Appeal Board In 2003*, NATIONAL L.J., April 26, 2004, at S4.
- Weld, *Telecommunications and the Competitive Advantage of Massachusetts*, 47 FED. COMM. L.J. 395-400 (1994).
- Welkowitz, *Preemption, Extraterritoriality, and the Problem of State Antidilution Laws*, 67 TUL. L. REV. 1 (1992).
- Welkowitz, *Reexamining Trademark Dilution*, 44 VAND. L. REV. 531 (1991).
- Welkowitz, *State of the State: Is There a Future for State Dilution Laws?*, 24 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 681 (2008).
- Welkowitz, *The Supreme Court and Trademark Law in the New Millennium*, 30 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 1659 (2004).
- Welkowitz, *Famous Marks Under the TDRA*, 99 TRADEMARK REP. 983 (2009).
- Welkowitz, *Trade Dress and Patent—The Dilemma of Confusion*, 30 RUTGERS L.J. 289 (1999).
- Welkowitz, *Who Should Decide? Judges and Juries in Trademark Dilution Actions*, 63 MERCER L. REV. 429 (2012).
- Wells, *Intellectual Property/Ownership Issues*, 66 LA. L. REV. 69 (2005).
- Wepner, *Determining Where the Claim Arose Under 28 USC §1391(b) in Multi-State Trademark Infringement Actions*, 72 TMR 358 (1982).
- Werner, *Application of Competition Laws by Arbitrators—The Step Too Far*, 12 J. INT’L ARB. 21-6 (1995).
- Whalley and Hamilton, *The Intellectual Underpinnings of North American Economic Integration*, 4 MINN. J. GLOBAL TRADE 43-77 (1995).
- White, *The Applicability of the Common Law*, 32 N.Y.L. SCH. L. REV. 819 (1987).
- White, Article, *How Far does the Apple (Pomegranate) Fall from the Tree - Preclusion of Lanham Act Claims by the Food, Drug, & Cosmetic Act and Pom Wonderful, LLC v. Coca-Cola Co.*, 15 WAKE FOREST J. BUS. & INTELL. PROP. L. 262 (2014-2015).
- Widdows, *Consumer Arbitration as a Dispute Resolution Mechanism in Customer-Seller Disputes over Automobile Purchases*, 42 ARB. J. 17 (1987).
- Wieczorek, *The Model Franchise Investment Act: A Field of Dreams*, 10 FRANCHISE L.J. 1 (1991).

- Wilcox, *Resist Cease and Desist*, 15 BUS. L. TODAY 27 (2006).
- Wilde, *Replacing the Idea/Expression Metaphore With a Market-Based Analysis in Copyright Infringement Actions*, 16 WHITTIER L. REV. 793 (1995).
- Wilf, *The Making of the Post-War Paradigm in American Intellectual Property Law*, 31 COLUM. J.L. & ARTS 139 (2008).
- Wilf, *Who Authors Trademarks?*, 17 CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J. 1 (1999).
- Williams, *Sanitizing the Obscene: Fighting for the Right to Edit Objectionable Film Content*, 12 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L. FORUM 161 (2005).
- Williams, *State Regulation of Franchise Termination Not Preempted by Lanham Act*, 65 TMR 205 (1975).
- Willy, *Article, Courts Gone Irrationally Biased in Favor of the Federal Arbitration Act - Enforcing Arbitration Provisions in Standardized Applications and Marginalizing Consumer-Protection, Anti-discrimination, and States' Contract Laws: A 1925-2014 Legal and Empirical Analysis*, 6 WM. & MARY BUS. L. REV. 405 (2015).
- Wilson, *Trail Tactics for Intellectual Property Litigation*, 31 TRIAL 20 (1995).
- Winkler, *Comment, Functionality Failure: How Rosetta Stone v. Google Misapplies the Trademark Functionality Doctrine to AdWords*, 44 ARIZ. ST. L.J. 995 (2012).
- Wojciechowski, *Letting Consumers Stand on Their Own: An Argument for Congressional Action Regarding Consumer Standing for False Advertising Under Lanham Act Section 43(a)*, 24 SW. U.L. REV. 213-50 (1994).
- Wolf, *Trademark Dilution: The Need For Reform*, 74 TMR 311 (1984).
- Woodford and Lynde, *Comparitive Damages in Intellectual Property Cases*, PLI ORDER No. 6036 (2005).
- Woods, *Initial Interest Confusion in Metatag Cases: The Move From Confusion to Diversion*, 22 BERKELEY TECH. L.J. 393 (2007).
- Wright, *Today's Scandal Can Be Tomorrow's Vogue: Why Section 2(a) of the Lanham Act Is Unconstitutionally Void for Vagueness*, 48 HOW. L.J. 659 (2005).
- Wrona, *False Advertising and Consumer Standing Under Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act: Broad Consumer Protection Legislation or a Narrow Pro-Competitive Measure?*, 47 RUTGERS L. REV. 1085-155 (1995).
- Wu, *Intellectual Property, Innovation, and Decentralized Decisions*, 92 VA. L. REV. 123 (2006).
- Yannone, *The Future of Unauthorized Pop-Up Advertisements Remains Uncertain as Courts Reach Conflicting Outcomes*, 7 TUL. J. TECH. & INTELL. PROP. 281 (2005).
- Yoches, *Protection of Computer Software by Patents, Trade Secrets, and Trademarks*, 22 TORT & INS. L.J. 354 (1987).



## APPENDIX 4

- Yonover, *Artistic Parody: The Precarious Balance: Moral Rights, Parody, and Fair Use*, 14 *CARDOZO ARTS & ENT. L.J.* 79 (1996).
- Young, *Open Season: Can You Protect Your Client's Trademark From Cyber Mud Slingers?*, 40 *TENN. B.J.* 16 (Apr. 2004).
- Yu, *Intellectual Property and the Information Ecosystem*, 2005 *MICH. ST. L. REV.* 1 (2005).
- Yu Lim, *Can Google Be Liable for Trademark Infringement? A Look at the "Trademark Use" Requirement as Applied to Google AdWords*, 14 *UCLA ENT. L. REV.* 265 (2007).
- Zaichkowsky, *Defending Your Brand Against Imitation: Consumer Behavior, Marketing Strategies, and Legal Issues*, *QUORUM BKS.* 1995 184p.
- Zando-Dennis, Note, *Not Playing Around: The Chilling Power of the Federal Trademark Dilution Act of 1995*, 11 *CARDOZO WOMEN'S L.J.* 599 (2005).
- Zaretsky, Note, *Trademark Law and Consumer Protection Law – Deception is a Cruel Act: "Uniform" State Deceptive Trade Practices Acts and their Deceptive Effects on the Trademark Claims of Corporate Competitors*, 32 *W. NEW ENG. L. REV.* 549 (2010).
- Zarian, *Retro Look*, 28 *L.A. LAW.* 22 (2005).
- Zeleny, *Property Rights in Living Things: Difficulties With Reproduction and Infringement*, 2 *SAN DIEGO JUST. J.* 209-37 (1994).
- Zembek, *Jurisdiction and the Internet: Fundamental Fairness in the Networked World of Cyberspace*, 6 *ALB. L.J. SCI. & TECH.* 339 (1996).
- Ziegler, *Online Auction House Liability for the Sale of Trademark Infringing Products*, 14 *MARQ. INTELL. PROP. L. REV.* 215 (2009).
- Zimdahl, *A Celebrity Balancing Act: An Analysis of Trademark Protection Under the Lanham Act and the First Amendment Artistic Expression Defense*, 99 *NW. U. L. REV.* 1817 (2005).
- Zimmer and Bessenger, *Trademark Rights and the Olympics*, 1 *GA. BAR. J.* 59 (1996).
- Zimmerman, *Trade Dress Protection for User Interfaces Revisited and Interred?*, 13 *COMPUTER LAWYER* 4 (1996).
- Zobel, Note, *The Famous Marks Doctrine: Can and Should Well-Known Foreign Marks Receive Trademark Protection Within the United States?*, 19 *DEPAUL J. ART, TECH. & INTELL. PROP. L.* 145 (2008).

## INDIVIDUAL STATES

### ALABAMA

- Benedict, McMillen and McMillen, *Control of University Sponsored Sporting Events—The Conflict Between Sport Artist's Freedom of Expression and University Owned Trademarks*, 17 *J. LEGAL ASPECTS OF SPORT* 141 (2007).

Bowles, *Alabama Deceptive Trade Practices Act*, 50 ALA. LAW. 78-83 (1989).

Hansford, *Trademarks in Alabama—Making One’s Mark in the World*, 33 ALA. L. REV. 353 (1982).

Hetrick, *Employee “Head Knowledge” and the Alabama Trade Secrets Act*, 47 ALAB. L. REV. 513 (1996).

## ALASKA

O’Quinn and Watterson, Note, *Fair is Fair - Reshaping Alaska’s Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Act*, 28 ALASKA L. REV. 295 (2011).

## ARIZONA

Stallcup, *The Arizona Constitutional “Right to Privacy” and the Invasion of Privacy Tort*, 24 ARIZ. ST. L.J. 687 (1992).

## ARKANSAS

Lyon and Look, *How Intellectual Property Impacts a Commercial Law Practice: Trademarks and Service Marks*, 51 ARK. L. REV. 459 (1998).

White, *Is the Arkansas Supreme Court Following Other Jurisdictions Down the Wrong Road in Analyzing Combination Trade Secrets?*, 25 U. ARK. LITTLE ROCK L. REV. 407 (2003).

## CALIFORNIA

*Amendment to California Fair Trade Act*, 28 TMR Bull. 133 (1933).  
*Attempt to Register Profane Mark in California Unsuccessful*, 13 TMR BULL. 49 (1917).

Arkin, *The Unfair Competition Law After Proposition 64: Changing the Consumer Protection Landscape*: 32 W. ST. U.L. REV. 155 (2005).

Butchko, *Monetary Awards for Unfair Competition in California*, 34 S. CAL.L. REV. 283 (1961).

*California Fair Trade Act Upheld*, 31 TMR BULL. 72 (1936).

*California Trade-Mark Law, The*, 7 TMR BULL. 1, 101 (1911).

*Californians for Disability Rights v. Mervyn’s, LLC*, 39 Cal. 4th 223 (2006), 34 W. ST. U. L. REV. 229 (2007).

Calman, *Bigger Phish to Fry: California’s Anti-Phishing Statute and its Potential Imposition of Secondary Liability on Internet Service Providers*, 13 RICH. J.L. & TECH. 2 (2006).

Carlin, *Giving San Francisco a Leg to Stand on in UCL Actions*, 39 McGEORGE L. REV. 392 (2008).

Ciocchetti, *E-Commerce and Information Privacy: Privacy Policies as Personal Information Protectors*, 44 AM. BUS. L.J. 55 (2007).

APPENDIX 4

- Corr, *Protection of Art Work Through Artists' Rights: An Analysis of State Law and Proposal for Change*, 38 AM. U. L. REV. 855 (1989). *A Descendible Right of Publicity: Has the Time Finally Come For a National Standard?*, 17 PEPP. L. REV. 933 (1990).
- Devience, *Back to Open Season on American Product Ingenuity: Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft, Inc.*, 24 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 209 (1990).
- Divelbiss, *Prevention of Unfair Business Practices in California: A Proposal for Effective Regulation*, 32 HASTINGS L.J. 229 (1980).
- Fousekis, Brelsford and Weinfeld, *Unfair Competition Under California Law*, The Cambridge Institute, Vienna VA (1988).
- Gigliotti, *Beyond Name and Likeness: Should California's Expansion of the Right of Publicity Protect Non-human Identity?*, 83 TMR 64 (1993).
- Goodhard, *The California Regulations on Negotiated Franchise Sales—Fraud or Foe of the Negotiated Transaction?*, 9 FRANCHISE L.J. 1 (1990).
- Graves, *Nonpublic Information and California Tort Law: A Proposal for Harmonizing California's Employee Mobility and Intellectual Property Regimes under the Uniform Trade Secrets Act*, 2006 UCLA J.L. & TECH. 1, 2006
- Hays, *Unfair Competition—Another Decade*, 51 CAL. L. REV. 51 (1963).
- Karlin, Note, *A Rose by Any Other Voice? Commercial Voice Misappropriation in Midler v. Ford Motor Co.*, 19 SW. U. L. REV. 1137 (1990).
- Kurtz & Clements, *Comparing Franchise Relationships and Beer Distribution Relationships*, ORANGE COUNTY LAW. (2014).
- Lange, Comment, *Shopping for the California Right of Publicity*, 16 HASTINGS COMMUNICATIONS AND ENTERTAINMENT LAW JOURNAL 151 (1993).
- Lee and Ullendorff, *13th Annual Entertainment Law Issue: Strength of Character: Many Weapons in the Intellectual Property Law Arsenal Can Be Used to Maintain Ownership Rights to Fictional Characters*, 20 LOS ANGELES LAWYER 43 (1997).
- Lugosi and Mankey, *Entertainment Law Issue: Life after Death*, 22 L.A. LAW. 40 (1999).
- Marie-Victoire, *Trade-Marks: Unfair Competition—Use of Identical Names on Non-Competitive Activities as Constituting Unfair Competition (Schwartz v. Slenderelle Systems of California)*, 6 HASTINGS L.J. 115 (1954).
- McCarthy, *Whatever Happened to the Small Businessman? The California Unfair Practices Act*, 11 U.S.F. L. REV. 165 (1968).
- Meredith, Flaig, Traverso, Scheidt and Morris, *Consumer Protection (Review of Selected 1989 California Legislation)*, 21 PAC. L.J. 397 (1990).

- Moral Rights for Muralists: Expanding Artists' Rights Under California Civil Code Section 987*, 24 U.C. DAVIS L. REV. 531 (1990).
- Moreland, *American Indians and the Right to Privacy: A Psycholegal Investigation of the Unauthorized Publication of Portraits of American Indians*, 15 AM. INDIAN L. REV. 237 (1991).
- Nayo, *Revisiting Worth [In re Marriage of Worth, 241 Cal. Rptr. 135 (1987)]: The Copyright as Community Property Problem*, 30 U.S.F.L. REV. 153 (1995).
- New Rules for the Registration and Cancellation of Trade-Marks in California*, 31 TMR 132 (1941).
- Norris, *Consumer Litigation and FDA-Regulated Products: The Unique State of California*, 61 FOOD DRUG L.J. 547 (2006).
- Papageorge, *The Unfair Competition Statute: California's Sleeping Giant Awakens*, 4 WHITTIER L. REV. 561 (1982).
- Petersen, *California Proposition 64 Requires That Pending Actions Based on the Unfair Competition or False Advertising Laws Be Dismissed*, 10 STAN. J.L. BUS. & FIN. 73 (2005).
- Pollard, *Three Bills to Watch*, (Sacramento Scene), 3 CAL. LAW. 46 (1983). *Proposed Trade-Mark Legislation in California*, 16 TMR BULL. 33 (1921).
- Savare & Wintermute, *Right of Publicity Issues in Emerging Media*, L.A. LAW. (2015).
- Schuyler, *Trading Secrets: Employers Are Pushing for a New Legal Doctrine That Makes It Easier to Keep Ex-Employees From Working for Competitors*, 16 CALIF. LAWYER 27 (1996).
- Success of the California Trade-Mark Bill*, 7 TMR BULL. 85 (1911).
- Suh, *Stealing from the Poor to Give to the Rich? California's Unfair Competition Law Requires Further Reform to Properly Restore Business Stability*, 35 SW. U.L. REV. 229 (2006).
- Supnik, *Check Marks*, 23 LOS ANGELES LAWYER 40 (2000).
- Supnik, *From the Chair*, 20 LOS ANGELES LAWYER 9 (1997).
- Supnik, *Mark of Distinction: A New Federal Law Protects Distinctive Trademarks Against Dilution Through Unauthorized Use*, 20 LOS ANGELES LAWYER 45 (1997).
- Sutton, *California "Model" Trademark Act: A Comparison With Federal Law*, 2 U.S.F. L. REV. 198 (1968).
- The Trade-Mark Law of California*, 6 TMR Bull. 140 (1910).
- Traynor and Choppelas, *Geoffrey H. Palmer v. Truck Insurance Exchange: An Analysis of Insurance Coverage for Trademark Infringement*, 16 SANTA CLARA COMPUTER & HIGH TECH. L.J. 489 (2000).
- Woollacott, *Name Dropping: Recent Anticybersquatting Legislation Offers Some Relief to Trademark Holders*, 23 LOS ANGELES LAWYER 28 (2000).

## APPENDIX 4

Yanniello, *A Famous Title Is Worth 1,000 Publicity Stunts: Does the Owner of the Motion Picture Copyright to the Amityville Horror Own the Title?*, 10 *LOY. ENT. L.J.* 715 (1990).

Zipser and Olin, *MCLE: Playing the Name Game*, 39 *ORANGE COUNTY LAWYER* 28 (1997).

### COLORADO

Lee, *The Colorado Consumer Protection Act: Panacea or Pandora's Box?*, 70 *DENV. U. L. REV.* 141 (1992).

Steiner, *Entity and Trade Name Registration Requirements and Customs in Colorado*, 28 *COLO. LAW.* 5 (1999).

Travers, *The Revision of the Colorado Trademark Registration Statute*, 36-*JAN COLO. LAW.* 39 (2007).

### CONNECTICUT

Bartlett and Romano, *Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act and Connecticut Unfair Insurance Practices Act: Expanding Legal Horizons*, 58 *CONN. B.J.* 302 (1984).

Belt, *Private Actions Under the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act*, 64 *CONN. B.J.* 3 (1990).

Belt, *The Standard for Determining "Unfair Acts or Practices" Under State Unfair Trade Practices Acts*, 80 *CONN. B.J.* 247 (2006).

Clendenen, *Connecticut Consumer Law 1990—The Transition from Caveat Emptor*, 64 *CONN. B.J.* 290 (1990).

Langer, *Critical Analysis of Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act and Connecticut Unfair Insurance Practices Act*, 58 *CONN. B.J.* 459 (1984).

Langer and Ormstedt, *The Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act*, 54 *CONN. B.J.* 388 (1980).

Lefevre, *Understanding the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act: Analysis of Federal Precedent and Connecticut Case Law*, 9 *U. BRIDGEPORT L. REV.* 325-55 (1988).

Morgan, *The Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act: Determining Standards of Conduct*, 62 *CONN. B.J.* 74-113 (1988).

Sicilian, *The Connecticut Franchise Act*, 64 *CONN. B.J.* 313 (1990).

### DELAWARE

Parsons and Pirnot, *The Intersection of Patent Law and Bankruptcy: What Every Practitioner Should Know*, 18 *DELAWARE LAWYER* 30 (2000/2001).

### FLORIDA

Allen, *Trademarks*, 48 *Fla. B.J.* 333 (1974).

- Burgunder, *Product Design Protection After Bonito Boats: Where It Belongs and How It Should Get There*, 28 AM. BUS. L.J. 1 (1990).
- Cavendish, *Business Law: Avoiding Illegal Trademark Transfers: Introducing the Assignment-In-Gross*, 74 FLA. BAR J. 68 (2000).
- Cavico, *Business Plans and Strategies as Legally Protected Trade Secrets: Florida and National Perspectives*, 9 U. MIAMI BUS. L. REV. 1 (2001).
- Crockett, *The Salvaged Dissents of Bonito Boats v. Thunder Craft*, 13 GEO.MASON U. L. REV. 27 (1990).
- Devience, *Back to Open Season on American Product Ingenuity: Bonito Boats, Inc. v. Thunder Craft, Inc.*, 24 J. MARSHALL L. REV. 209 (1990).
- Ellis, *Cyberlaw and computer technology: a primer on the law of intellectual property protection*, 72 FLA. B.J. 34 (1998).
- Federbush, *Damages Under FDUTPA*, 78 FLA. BAR J. 20 (May 2004).
- Federbush, *Entitlement To Attorney's Fees Under FDUTPA*, 78 FLA. BAR J. 26 (Jan. 2004).
- Federbush, *The Unexplored Territory of Unfairness in Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act*, 73 FLA. B.J. 26 (1999).
- Fisher, *The Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act: Two Cases Addressing Cybersquatting Before and After the Act*, 75 FLA. BAR J. 12 (2001).
- Fitzmaurice and Mody, *International Shoe meets the World Wide Web: whither personal jurisdiction in Florida in the age of the Internet?*, 71 FLA. B.J. 22 (1997).
- Florida Law Upon Use of Bottles Unconstitutional*, 14 TMR BULL. 365 (1919).
- Flynn and Farley, *The Measure of Damages Under Florida's Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act: A Bounced Check*, 65 FLA. B.J. 63 (1991).
- Gaffney and Ellison, *A Primer On Florida Trade Secret Law: Unlocking The "Secrets" To "Trade Secret" Litigation*, 11 U. MIAMI BUS. L. REV. 1 (2003).
- Harm, *Federal Preemption—Patents—Unfair Competition*, 78 ILL. B.J. 359 (1990).
- Ingalsbe, *Florida's New Car Lemon Law an Effective Tool for the Consumer*, 64 FLA. B.J. 61 (1990).
- Joffrion, *General Practice: What Every Business Person Should Know About Border Protection For Intellectual Property: Recording With the Customs Service*, 71 FLA. BAR J. 94 (1997).
- Landau, *2006–2007 Survey of Florida Law Affecting Business Owners*, 32 NOVA L. REV. 21 (2007).
- Mast, *Disclosural Privacy in Florida—Drawing the Line After Doe v. State* [587 So. 2d 526 (Fla. 1991)], 22 STETSON L. REV. 283 (1992).

## APPENDIX 4

- Owen, Hawkes, *Franchise Agreements: Expanding Protections Against Termination and Nonrenewal*, 62 FLA. B.J. 29-33 (1988).
- Smith, *Florida Franchise Law*, 65 FLA. B.J. 42 (1991).
- Swerdloff and Farach, *Business Law: Internet Domain Name-Grabbing, or Cyber-Squatting: An Analysis of Remedies Under Federal Law*, 71 FLA. BAR J. 30 (1997).
- Tennyson, *Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act: A New Approach to Trade Regulation in Florida*, 2 FLA. ST. U. L. REV. 223 (1974).

## GEORGIA

- Branch, *Franchising in Georgia*, 24 GA. ST. B.J. 92-8 (1987).
- Calhoun, *Making sense of Georgia's state law protections for trademarks and trade secrets*, J. OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW 307 (1997).
- Colton and Acharya, *Intellectual Property in Georgia*, 52 MERCER L. REV. 675 (2001).
- Davis, *Protection Against the Dilution of Famous Marks: A Comparison of Georgia and Federal Law*, 2 GA. BAR. J. 18 (1996).
- Davis, *Protection of Trademarks and Service Marks in Georgia: A Statutory and Common Law Survey*, 31 GA. ST. B.J. 110-18 (1995).
- Kelly, *Eleventh Circuit: Survey of Recent Decisions*, 37 CUMB. L. REV. 359 (2007).
- Kuester and Nieves, *Georgia trademarks at greater risk on Internet after Georgia law found unconstitutional*, 3 GA. B.J. 42 (1997).
- Rothchild, *A Guide to Georgia's Fair Business Practices Act of 1975*, 10 GA. L. REV. 917 (1976).
- Schaudies and Gresham, *Defamation and Invasion of Privacy*, 27 GA. ST. B.J. 18 (1990).
- Weirich and Glenister, *The New Amendments to the Georgia Trade Secrets Act*, 2 GA. BAR J. 24 (1996).
- Weirich and Glenister, *Revisiting Trade Secrets and Issues of Confidentiality in the Employment Context*, 1 GA. BAR J. 35 (1996).
- Yates and Mattox, *Intellectual Property (Annual Survey of Georgia Law: June 1, 1989—May 31, 1990)*, 42 MERCER L. REV. 295 (1990).

## HAWAII

- Carter, *Trademarks in Hawaii*, 44 J. PAT. OFF. SOC'Y 348 (1962).
- Fair Trade Act of Hawaii as Interpreted by Its Supreme Court, The*, 32 TMR BULL. 126 (1937).
- Stanitz, *Expanding the Individual's Right to Privacy Under the Hawaii Constitution*, 13 U. HAW. L. REV. 619 (1991).
- Trade-Mark Registration in Hawaii*, 4 TMR BULL. 128 (1907-08).

**IDAHO**

- Batt, *Litigation Under the Idaho Consumer Protection Act*, 20 IDAHO L. REV. 63 (1984).
- DeLange, *Idaho's Amended Consumer Protection Act: A More Powerful Tool in Combatting Consumer Fraud and Deception*, 33 ADVOC. 8 (1990).

**ILLINOIS**

- Baliga, *State Consumer Fraud Act Applied To Accounting Firm*, 173 J. ACCT. 26 (1992).
- Benedetto, *The Illinois Consumer Protection Act*, 69 ILL. B.J. 350 (1981).
- Carey-Bergren, *JCW Investments, Inc. v. Novelty, Inc.*, 18 DEPAUL J. ART TECH. & INTELL. PROP. L. 213 (2007).
- Eiger, *Fair Trade In Illinois*, 56 ILL. B.J. 836 (1968).
- Feehan, *The Illinois Consumer Fraud Act and the "Public Injury" Debate*, 80 ILL. B.J. 136 (1992).
- Hughes, *Scope and Effect of Recent Amendments to the Illinois Trademark Act*, 31 TMR 155 (1941).
- Illinois Lemon Car Buyer's Options in a Breach of Warranty Action*, 20 J. MAR. L. REV. 483 (1987).
- Illinois Trademark Law Amended*, 31 TMR 122 (1941).
- Kenny, *Restrictions on Competition in Illinois Apart From the State Anti-Trust Laws*, 43 CHI. B. REC. 132 (1961).
- Magnuson-Moss Act/Implied Warranty/Trademark. Consumers May Bring Breach of Implied Warranty Actions Against Remote Manufacturers under the Magnuson-Moss Act; Trade Names Do Not Constitute Descriptions for Express Warranty or Fraud Actions. Szajna v. General Motors Corporation*, 503 N.E.2d 760 (Ill.), 76 ILL. B.J. 928-30 (1987).
- Norman, *Lemon Law Cases: What Lawyers for Consumers Should Know*, 80 ILL. B.J. 392 (1992).
- Perry and Wombacher, *Trade Secrets in Illinois*, 46 Lab. L.J. 741 (1995).
- Rudnick, Scott, *The Illinois Franchise Disclosure Act of 1987*, 76 ILL. B.J. 436-40 (1988).
- Schechtman, Martinez and Martin, *Datacom [People ex rel. Daley v. Datacom Systems Corp., 585 N.E.2d 51 (Ill. 1991)] and its Impact on Illinois Consumer Protection Law*, 80 ILL. B.J. 400 (1992).
- Similarity of Corporate Names—Illinois Court Acts to Protect Public*, 32 TMR 11 (1942).

**INDIANA**

- 2006 Survey on Recent Developments in Indiana Law: Survey of Developments in Intellectual Property Law*, 40 IND. L. REV. 987 (2007).



## APPENDIX 4

Greenberg, *Oral Warranties and Written Disclaimers in Consumer Transactions: Indiana's End Run Around the U.C.C. Parol Evidence Rule (1989 Survey of Recent Developments in Indiana Law)*, 23 *IND. L. REV.* 199 (1990).

### IOWA

Note, *Adoption of the Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act in Iowa*, 52 *IOWA L. REV.* 269 (1966).

*Official Trademark for Iowa, An*, 8 *TMR BULL.* 17 (1912).

### KANSAS

*Trademark Registration in Kansas*, 36 *TMR* 21 (1946).

### KENTUCKY

*A Descendible Right of Publicity: Has the Time Finally Come for a National Standard?*, 17 *PEPP. L. REV.* 933 (1990).

Conley and Hunter, *The Curse of History: Good Bank Brands Make Bad Bank Trademarks*, 11 *N.C. BANKING INST.* 1 (2007).

*Kentucky Case of Unfair Competition, A*, 35 *TMR BULL.* 92 (1907).

### LOUISIANA

Abbott, *Show Us Your Torts*, 50 *LA BAR JNL.* 350 (2003).

Becnel, *Franchising in a Mixed-Law Jurisdiction: The Synthesis of Legal Traditions in Louisiana*, 10 *FRANCHISE L.J.* 9 (1990).

Breeden and Lovett, *Louisiana's New Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Law*, 20 *LA. B.J.* 307 (1973).

Bullock, *Trademarks and Trade Names: Choosing among Alternative Remedies for Infringement in Louisiana*, 46 *LA. B.J.* 392 (1999).

Note, *The Class Action as a Consumer Protection Device: State v. General Motors Corp.*, 40 *LA. L. REV.* 497 (1980).

The FTC Legend in Louisiana, 48 *LA. L. REV.* 1435-41 (1988).

Frazier, (C) (R) *TM Intellectual Property Law*, 52 *La. B.J.* 218 (2004).  
Lovett, *State Deceptive Trade Practice Legislation*, 46 *TUL. L. REV.* 724 (1971-72).

McIntyre, *Exploring the Dark Bayou: A Need for Clarity and Unity of Trademark Tacking*, 5 *LOY. LAW & TECH. ANN.* 81 (2005).

Miester, *Trade Secret Misappropriation Under the Louisiana Trade Secrets Act*, 44 *LA. BAR. J.* 326 (1996).

Monachino, *Product Disparagement and False Advertising in the Common and Civil Law*, 53 *TUL. L. REV.* 190 (1978).

Note, *What's in a Name? Louisiana's Protection of Tradenames (Elle, Ltd. v. Elle Est.)*, 41 *LA. L. REV.* 1389 (1981).

Primeaux, *What Every Lawyer Should Know About Intellectual Property*, 46 *LA. B.J.* 14 (1998).

*Recent Developments: (C) (R) TM Intellectual Property Law*, 47 LA. B.J. 244 (1999).

Rhymes, Comment, *Expanded Standing under the Louisiana Unfair Trade Practices Act and Possible Employee Actions under the Act*, 72 LA. L. REV. 519 (2012).

## MAINE

Gotkin, *Dilution of Trademarks: Its Treatment Prior to and Under the Lanham Act and the Massachusetts Anti-Dilution Statute*, 12 N.Y.U. INTRA. L. REV. 79 (1957).

Logan, *Reaching Into Cyberspace With Maine's Long-Arm Statute*, 14 MAINE BAR J. 306 (1999).

McKenna, *Consumer Protection and the Maine Unfair Trade Practices Act*, 9 MAINE BAR J. 78(9) (1994).

Moreland, *American Indians and the Right to Privacy: A Psycholegal Investigation of the Unauthorized Publication of Portraits of American Indians*, 15 AM. INDIAN L. REV. 237 (1991).

*"State of Maine" Mark, The*, 33 TMR BULL. 168 (1938).

## MARYLAND

Leibovici, *State Division Protects Maryland Consumer (Protecting Today's Consumer)* 27 MD. BAR J. 18(4) (1994).

## MASSACHUSETTS

*Consumer Law—Liability of Charitable Corporations in Massachusetts Under Chapter 93A for Unfair Competition: A Revival of Charitable Immunity?—Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc. v. Problem Pregnancy of Worcester, Inc.*, 498 N.E.2d 1044 (Mass.), 21 SUFFOLK U. L. REV. 1187-96 (1987).

Gold, *Massachusetts Lemon Law Arbitration Program: 1987 Report*, 43 ARB. J. 48-54 (1988).

*How a Trademark Is Acquired*, 2 TMR BULL. 201 (1905–06).

Huston, *Year of the Trademark: Significant Trademark Legislation Enacted in 2006*, 51 B.B.J. 14 (2007).

Michelson, *Massachusetts Law of Unfair Competition and Restatement 2d: Torts*, 49 MASS. L.Q. 131 (1966).

Pirrezolo, *Chapter 93A: The Massachusetts Little F.T.C. Act—A Potent Unexplored Remedy in Business Disputes*, 62 MASS. L.Q. 77 (1977).

Pirrezolo and Binder, *Chapter 93A, §11: The Massachusetts Little F.T.C. Act—A Potent and Partially Clarified Remedy in Certain Business Disputes*, 70 MASS. L. REV. 14 (1985).

Richtarcsik, *Misappropriation in Massachusetts and Around the Country: How Technology Will Utilize This Tort*, 35 NEW ENG. L. REV. 717 (2001).

## APPENDIX 4

Shell, *Consumer Protection Law—Requirements That Both Parties Have a Place of Business in Massachusetts—Chapter 278 of Acts of 1985*, 71 MASS. L. REV. 50 (1986).

### MICHIGAN

Alterman, *Trade Regulation in Michigan: Trade Names and Trademarks*, 24 WAYNE L. REV. 167 (1978).

Gifford, *Who's the Owner?: Determining Ownership of Intellectual Property*, 83 MICH. B.J. 21 (2004).

Lehto, *Consumer Law: Michigan's New Lemon Law*, 78 MICH. B.J. 284 (1999).

Liblang, *Consumer Law: A Practice Guide to New Car Warranty Cases*, 78 MICH. B.J. 288 (1999).

Osinskin, *Personal Jurisdiction and Internet Torts: Michigan District Courts Require "Something More" than Simply Registering Someone Else's Trademark as a Domain Name and Posting a Web Page on the Internet to Subject a Defendant to Personal Jurisdiction*, 80 U. DET. MERCY L. REV. 249 (2003).

Selby, *A Guide to U.S. Intellectual Property Searching Online*, 83 MICH. B.J. 52 (2004).

*State Trademark Legislation*, 9 TMR BULL. 108 (1933).

Steinberg & Shrikian, *The Accidental Franchise*, 93 MICH. B.J. 26 (2014).

Syrowik, *Computer Law: Insurance Coverage For Software-Related Patent and Other Intellectual Property Disputes*, 75 MICH. B.J. 502 (1996).

Victiro, *Curious Developments in Michigan's Consumer Law*, 69 MICH. B.J. 1062 (1990).

Victor, *The Michigan Consumer Protection Act: What's Left After Smith V Globe?*, 82 MI BAR J. 22 (Sept. 2003).

### MINNESOTA

Cox, *Goliath Has the Slingshot: Public Benefit and Private Enforcement of Minnesota Consumer Protection Laws*, 33 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 163 (2006).

Propson and Babcock, *Expecting Inevitable Disclosure*, 63-NOV BENCH & B. MINN. 20 (2006).

*Pure Food Legislation as Affecting Trade-Mark Rights*, 3 TMR BULL. 45 (1907).

Sheran and Amdahl, *Minnesota Judicial System: Twenty-Five Years Of Radical Change*, 26 HAMLINE L. REV. 219 (2003).

Sherman and Crowl, *The Judicial Response to Unfair Claims Practices Laws: Applying the National Experience to the Minnesota Act*, 12 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 45 (1986).

- Sorenson, *What Constitutes "Well Known or Famous" Under Minnesota's New Dilution Statute*, 21 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 1079 (1996).
- Wilson and Gillmer, *Minnesota's Tobacco Case: Recovering Damages without Individual Proof of Reliance under Minnesota's Consumer Protection Statutes*, 25 WM. MITCHELL L. REV. 567 (1999).

## MISSOURI

- An Act Regulating Advertisements in the State of Missouri*, 3 TMR BULL. 233 (1907).
- Combatting Consumer Fraud in Missouri: The Development of Missouri's Merchandising Practices Act*, 52 MO. L. REV. 365-415 (1987).
- Corrigan, Jr. and Kass, *Non-compete Agreements and Unfair Competition: An Updated Overview*, 62 J. MO. B. 81 (2006).
- Herbers, *Franchise Terminations: Forum Selection and Choice of Law*, 48 J. MO. B. 531 (1992).
- McGinley, *Can You Keep a Secret? You May Discover the Answer is Yes Under Missouri's Privilege for Trade Secrets*, 62 MO. L. REV. 187 (1997).
- Proposed Trade-Mark Legislation in Missouri*, 9 TMR BULL. 64 (1913).
- Sableman, *Business on the Internet, Part II: Liability Issues*, 53 J. MO. B. 223 (1997).
- State Trade-Mark Legislation*, 9 TMR BULL. 108 (1913).
- Vines, *Dealerships and Distributorships—Beware of the Franchise Minefield*, 56 J. MO. B. 163 (2000).

## MONTANA

- Goodrich and Tease, *Trademark Law: Federal Anti-Dilution Statute Signals Intent by Congress to Expand Traditional Protection*, 23 MONTANA LAWYER 5 (1998).
- Liability of Franchisors for the Torts of Their Montana Franchisees*, 49 MONT. L. REV. 123-39 (1988).
- State Trade-Mark Legislation*, 9 TMR BULL. 106 (1913).

## NEVADA

- Roethel, *Focus: Practice & Procedure: Navigating the Tangled Tributary of Transactional Intellectual Property Law*, 7 NEVADA LAWYER 21 (1999).

## NEW HAMPSHIRE

- Gagne and Tucker, *An Overview of Intellectual Property Law for the General Practitioner*, 36 N.H. B.J. 27-34 (1995).
- Mosseau, Nelson, *New Hampshire Consumer Protection Act: An Overview and Recent Interpretive Trends*, 35 N.H. B.J. 39 (1994). *Trade-Mark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 33 (1905-06).

## NEW JERSEY

Note, *Federal District Court Declares New Jersey Franchise Practices Act to Be an Unconstitutional Regulation of Trademark in Conflict With the Lanham Act* (Marinello v. Shell Oil Co.), 6 RUT.-CAM. L.J. 155 (1974).

*New Jersey's "Lemon Law," a Statute Ripe for Revision: Recent Developments and a Proposal for Reform*, 19 RUTGERS L.J. 97 (1987). *State Trade-Mark Legislation*, 9 TMR BULL. 105 (1913).

*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 33 (1905-06).

Williams, *State Regulation of Franchise Termination Not Preempted by Lanham Act*, 65 TMR 205 (1975).

## NEW MEXICO

Moreland, *American Indians and the Right to Privacy: A Psycholegal Investigation of the Unauthorized Publication of Portraits of American Indians*, 15 AM. INDIAN L. REV. 237 (1991).

*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 34 (1905-06).

## NEW YORK

Abrams, *New York Lemon Law Arbitration Program: Annual Report—1987*, 43 ARB. J. 36-47 (1988).

Barnes, *Consumers Swallow Another Lemon: Agency Consent Order Preemption of State 'Lemon Law' Standards for Informal Dispute Resolution*, 1990 J. DISP. RES. 163 (1990).

*Bill for Amendment of New York Trade-Mark Law*, 9 TMR BULL. 53 (1913).

Brown, *Good Faith and Fair Dealing Revisited*, 206 N.Y.L.J. 3 (1991).

Brown, *More on Good Faith and Fair Dealing*, 207 N.Y.L.J. 3 (1992).

*Case of Passing Off in New York, A*, 5 TMR BULL. 301 (1909).

*Color as a Trade-Mark in New York*, 11 TMR BULL. 58 (1915).

*Construction of the New York Business Law*, 9 TMR BULL. 330 (1913).

*Consumer Protection—The Unfair Trade Practices Act and the Insurance Code: Does Per Se Necessarily Preempt?—Pearce v. American Defender Life Ins. Co. [343 S.E.2d 174 (N.C.)]*, 10 CAMPBELL L. REV. 487-509 (1988).

Corr, *Protection of Art Work Through Artists' Rights: An Analysis of State Law and Proposal for Change*, 38 AM. U. L. REV. 855 (1989).

*Criminal Prosecutions for Trade-Mark Infringement in New York*, 8 TMR BULL. 94 (1912).

Derenberg, *A New Civil Remedy Against Unfair Competition*, 35 TMR BULL. 112 (1940).

*A Descendible Right of Publicity: Has the Time Finally Come for a National Standard?*, 17 PEPP. L. REV. 933 (1990).

- Note, *Dilution of New York's Anti-Dilution Statute* (Allied Maintenance Corp. v. Allied Mechanical Trades, Inc.), 44 BROOKLYN L. REV. 655 (1978).
- Formal Opinion No. 1998-2: *Law Firm Internet Websites, Law Firm-Sponsored Internet Discussion Area, Provision of Law-Related Services Over the Internet, Communication by Unencrypted E-Mail*, Committee on Professional and Judicial Ethics, 54 THE RECORD 93 (1999).
- Frackman, *Restricting a Former Employee's Use of Trade Secrets in New York*, 65 N.Y. ST. B.J. 26 (1993).
- Doctrine of Unfair Competition in New York*, 4 TMR BULL. 110 (1907-08).
- Dole, *Merchant and Consumer Protection: The New York Approach to the Regulation of Deceptive Trade Practices*, 59 TMR 237 (1969).
- False Advertising of Trade-Marked Goods*, 17 TMR BULL. 110 (1922).
- High Court Holds New York Fair Trade Act Unconstitutional*, 31 TMR BULL. 17 (1936).
- How Commercial Fraud Is Suppressed in New York*, 20 TMR BULL. 12 (1925).
- Infringement Under the New York Statute*, 9 TMR BULL. 201 (1913).
- Injunctions—Sale of Rebottled Trade-Marked Product Under Full Disclosure Labels Enjoinable* (Lanvin Parfums, Inc. v. Le Dans, Ltd.), 30 FORDHAM L. REV. 813 (1962).
- Kramer, *Protecting Dissemination of Business Secrets During Discovery*, 69 N.Y. STATE BAR J. 24 (1997).
- Liability for Misleading Advertising in New York*, 6 TMR BULL. 272 (1910).
- Memorandum in Behalf of Trade-Mark Bill*, 9 TMR BULL. 100 (1913).
- Memorandum in Opposition to Trade-Mark Bill*, 9 TMR BULL. 103 (1913).
- Misleading Advertisements in New York*, 7 TMR BULL. 209 (1911).
- Neufeld, *Mission Impossible: New York Cannot Face the Future Without a Trade Secret Act*, 7 FORDHAM INTEL. PROP., MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 883 (1997).
- New York Considers Unfair Trade Law*, 28 TMR Bull. 92 (1933).
- New York "Fair Trade" Act and Federal Price Control, The*, 32 TMR 140 (1942).
- New York Fair Trade Act Held Unconstitutional*, 30 TMR BULL. 361 (1935).
- New York Law Protecting a Proper Name, The*, 10 TMR BULL. 85 (1914).
- New York—Report of Committee for Revision of Trade-Mark Law*, 31 TMR BULL. 119 (1936).
- New York State Trademark Decisions by Attorney General*, 36 TMR 73 (1946).
- Comment, *New York State Unfair Competition Law: A Survey and Analysis*, 25 BUFFALO L. REV. 241 (1975).

APPENDIX 4

- New York Trade-Mark Bill*, 9 TMR BULL. 99 (1913).  
*New York Trade-Mark Law*, 9 TMR BULL. 284 (1913).  
*New York Trade-Mark Law Constitutional, The*, 5 TMR BULL. 124 (1909).  
*Novel Case Under the New York Fair Trade Act*, 34 TMR BULL. (1939).  
*Proper Names in New York Law*, 7 TMR BULL. 124 (1911).  
*Proposed Amendment of the New York Penal Law Relating to Trade-Marks*,  
25 TMR BULL. 61 (1930).  
*Protection of One's Portrait in New York*, 6 TMR BULL. 354 (1910).  
*Protection to a Personal Name in New York*, 7 TMR BULL. 72 (1911).  
*Removal of Mark of Origin Misdemeanor in New York*, 29 TMR BULL. 161  
(1934).  
*Revision of the New York Trade-Mark Law in Prospect*, 28 TMR BULL. 267  
(1933).  
*The Right to Privacy One Hundred Years Later: New York Stands Firm as  
the World and Law Around It Change*, 64 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 315  
(1990).  
Note, *Service-Mark Registration and Anti-Dilution Protection in New  
York*, 36 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 187 (1961).  
*Service Mark Registration and Anti-Dilution Protection in New York*, 52  
TMR 13 (1957).  
Smith, *Counterfeiting Charge Dismissed Due to Failure to Describe Actual  
Trademark*, New York L.J., June 10, 2004, at 20.  
*State Legislation Proposed—New York*, 30 TMR BULL. 363 (1935).  
*Status of Pending Trade-Mark Legislation*, 25 TMR BULL. 99 (1930). *Status  
of State Legislation*, 31 TMR BULL. 53 (1936).  
*Statutory Penalties for Infringement in New York*, 13 TMR BULL. 195  
(1917).  
Stone, *The Prosecution of Trademark Counterfeiting and Mislabeling in  
New York*, 48 TMR 261 (1953).  
Stoughton, *New York State Legislation: The Trademark Bill; The Container  
Bill; The Dilution Bill*, 44 TMR 364 (1954).  
Taviss, *In Search of a Consistent Trademark Dilution Test*, 58 U. CIN. L.  
REV. 1449 (1990).  
Tracy, *GBL Section 198-a(k): Lemon Law's Alternative Arbitration Mecha-  
nism Requiring an Automobile Manufacturer to Submit to Binding  
Arbitration at the Consumer's Request Is Constitutional (Survey of  
New York Practice)*, 64 ST. JOHN'S L. REV. 405 (1990).  
*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 34 (1905–06).  
Note, *Trademark—§ 368-d Dilution Relief in New York—Abandoning the  
Confusion/Competition Requirement (Allied Maintenance Corp. v.  
Allied Mechanical Trades, Inc.)*, 46 FORDHAM L. REV. 1315 (1978).  
*Trade-Union Label in New York, The*, 6 TMR BULL. 362 (1910).

*21st Century Trademark Basics, The Committee on Trademarks and Unfair Competition*, 55 THE RECORD 662 (2000).

*Unfair Competition in New York*, 6 TMR BULL. 205 (1910).

Wilson, *Commercial Racketeering in New York City and Its Remedies*, 32 TMR BULL. 149 (1937).

### **NORTH CAROLINA**

Browner, *Recovery of Treble Damages Under the North Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act: The Importance of Pleading* (Fourth Circuit Review), 41 WASH. & LEE L. REV. 542 (1984).

Burke, *The Learned Profession Exemption of the North Carolina Deceptive Trade Practices Act: The Wrong Bright Line?*, 15 CAMPBELL L. REV. 223 (1993).

Goshaw, *Survey of Developments in North Carolina Law; I. Consumer Law: Tomlinson v. Camel City Motors, Inc.: The North Carolina Supreme Court's Hybrid Solution to Surety Liability Under General Statutes Section 75-16*, 70 N.C.L. REV. 1959 (1992).

Graybeal, *Trade Practices, Antitrust And Consumer Welfare In North Carolina*, 80 N.C.L. REV. 1927 (2002).

Sawchack and Nelson, *Defining Unfairness in "Unfair Trade Practices"*, 90 N.C.L. REV. 2033 (2012).

Shields, *Cybersecurity: Recognizing the Risk and Protecting Against Attacks*, 19 N.C. BANKING INST. 345 (2015).

Smith, *Trademark Registration in North Carolina*, 8 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 389 (1972).

Summa, *A New Test for Trademark Dilution—Why North Carolina Should Adopt Section 12 of the Model State Trademark Bill*, 5 CAMPBELL L. REV. 163 (1982).

*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 61 (1905–06).

Tyser, *Law of Unfair Competition in North Carolina*, 46 N.C. L. REV. 856 (1968).

*Union and Association Marks and Labels—How Registrable in North Carolina*, 31 TMR BULL. 139 (1936).

### **NORTH DAKOTA**

*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 62 (1905–06).

### **OHIO**

Clark, *Alternative Dispute Resolution Under Ohio's Lemon Laws: A Critical Analysis*, 6 OHIO ST. J. DIS. RES. 333 (1991).

Fisher, *The New Ohio Trade-Mark Law*, 26 THE J., OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE CLEVELAND BAR ASSN. 1 (1954).

Kurit, *Unfair Competition and Penal Violations*, 15 W. RES. L. REV. 133 (1963).



## APPENDIX 4

- Meaney, *Ohio: The Unknown Franchise Law*, 11 *FRANCHISE L.J.* 38 (1991). Pollack, *State Trade-Marks and Marks of Ownership*, 14 *OHIO ST. L.J.* 387 (1953).
- Note, *Secondary Meaning and the Five Years' Use Requirement in the Ohio Trademark Law* (Yunker v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co.), 24 *OHIO ST. L.J.* 184 (1963).
- State Trade-Mark Legislation*, 9 *TMR BULL.* 109 (1913).
- Status of State Legislation*, 31 *TMR BULL.* 53 (1936).
- Symposium: Trade Regulation*, 15 *W. RES. L. REV.* 9 (1963).
- Trademark Law of the States*, 2 *TMR BULL.* 154 (1905–06).

## OKLAHOMA

- Beavers, *Oklahoma's Right of Publicity Laws*, 57 *OKLA. B. J.* 2612 (1986).
- Beavers and Laney, *Choosing and Protecting the Corporate Name*, 30 *OKLA. L. REV.* 507 (1977).
- Cressman, *The Prima Facie Tort Doctrine in Oklahoma*, 56 *OKLA. B.J.* 1759 (1985).
- Fast, *The Regulation of Business Opportunities in Oklahoma*, 39 *OKLA. L. REV.* 189 (1986).
- Note, *Trade Regulation: The Oklahoma Deceptive Trade Practices Act—The Requirement of Competition Between the Parties* (Bell v. Davidson), 37 *OKLA. L. REV.* 827 (1984).
- Trademark Law of the States*, 2 *TMR BULL.* 154 (1905–06).
- Unfair Competition Under the Oklahoma Statute*, 15 *TMR BULL.* 144 (1920).

## OREGON

- Bender, *Oregon Consumer Protection: Outfitting Private Attorneys General for the Lean Years Ahead*, 73 *OR. L. REV.* 639-89 (1994).
- Brandes, *Business Name Availability in Oregon*, 14 *WILLAMETTE L.J.* 239 (1978).
- Conflict of Trade Names in Oregon*, 11 *TMR Bull.* 288 (1915). DuBoff, *Quiet Caution: What You Don't Say Can Hurt You*, 65 *OR. ST. B. BULL.* 37 (2004).
- High Court Rules Trade Secret Law Unconstitutional: Judge's Order Using Law to Restrain Publication Voided*, 20 *NEWS MEDIA & THE LAW* 9 (1996).
- Trademark Law of the States*, 2 *TMR BULL.* 154 (1905–06).

## PENNSYLVANIA

- Ankabrandt, *Copyrights and the Commonwealth*, 27 *Pa. Law.* 36 (2005).
- Baliga, *State Consumer Fraud Act Applied to Accounting Firm*, 173 *J. Acc.* 26 (1992).

- Bill to Prevent Unfair Competition Vetoed in Pennsylvania*, 14 TMR BULL. 95 (1919).
- Criminal Prosecution Under the Pennsylvania Statute*, 6 TMR BULL. 112 (1910).
- Del Sole & O'Connor, *The Demise of Fair Trade in Pennsylvania: A Study of Judicial Disenchantment*, 55 TMR 1099 (1960).
- Galloro, *Pennsylvania's Use of the Sham Transaction Doctrine to Plug the Intangible Holding Company Loophole*, 109 PENN ST. L. REV. 1223 (2005).
- Gilmartin, *The Pennsylvania Trademark Act: Merely a Shadow of the Federal Lanham Act?*, 31 DUQ. L. REV. 541 (1993).
- Goren, *A Pothole on the Road to Recovery: Reliance and Private Class Actions Under Pennsylvania's Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law*, 107 DICK. L. REV. 1 (2002).
- Humphreys, *Legal Tech: What Constitutes Jurisdiction on the Internet?*, 19 PA. LAWYER 43 (1997).
- Important Amendments to the Pennsylvania Trademark Law*, 31 TMR BULL. 136 (1936).
- Karns, *Litigating Deceptive Marketing Practices Under the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law*, 63 PA. B.A.Q. 29 (1992).
- Kimmel, *Nabbing Intellectual-Property Thieves*, 19 PA. LAWYER 7 (1997).
- Note, *Non-Singer Provisions of Fair Trade Legislation Violate State Constitution* (Olin Mathieson Chemical Corp. v. White Cross Stores, Inc.), 25 MD. L. REV. 82 (1965).
- Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 215 (1905-06).

## **RHODE ISLAND**

- Freel and Oliverio, *When Commercial Freedoms Collide: Trade Secrets, Covenants Not to Compete and Free Enterprise*, 47 R.I. B.J. 9 (1999).
- Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 216 (1905-06).
- Trademark Legislation Prepared in Rhode Island*, 31 TMR BULL. 121 (1936).

## **SOUTH CAROLINA**

- Bondura and Farr, *Intellectual Property Rights Abroad and at Home After GATT*, 7 S. CAROLINA LAWYER 20 (1995).
- Day, *The South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act: Sleeping Giant or Illusive Panacea?*, 33 S.C. L. REV. 479 (1982).
- Hyman, *Fraud and Liability Under the South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act* (Annual Survey of South Carolina Law 1984), 37 S.C. L. REV. 40 (1985).
- Smith, *Recent Developments Under the South Carolina Unfair Trade Practices Act*, 44 S.C. L. REV. 543 (1993).

## APPENDIX 4

*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR Bull. 216 (1905–06).  
Wilson, *Beware Trademark Counterfeiters!*, 6 S. CAROLINA LAWYER 50 (1995).

### SOUTH DAKOTA

Broghammer, *Groseth International, Inc. v. Tenneco, Inc.: Protecting South Dakota Farm Implement Dealers from Unilateral Termination by a Manufacturer Under S.D. 37-5-3*, 33 S.D. L. REV. 146-164 (1988).  
Clark, *South Dakota Fair Trade Law—An Examination of the Non-Singer Provision*, 13 S.D. L. REV. 113 (1968).  
*Locality Trade-Marks*, 8 TMR BULL. 117 (1912).  
*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 216 (1905–06).

### TENNESSEE

Clark, *Contracts—Morris v. Mack's Used Cars*, 824 S.W.2d 538 (Tenn. 1992): *Another Weapon for the Consumer Protection Arsenal*, 23 MEM. ST. U. L. REV. 871 (1993).  
*A Descendible Right of Publicity: Has the Time Finally Come for a National Standard?*, 17 PEPP. L. REV. 933 (1990).  
Reed, *The Tennessee Consumer Protection Act: An Overview*, 58 TENN. L. REV. 455 (1991).  
*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR Bull. 247 (1905–06).  
Webner and Lindquist, *Transformation: The Bright Line Between Commercial Publicity Rights and the First Amendment*, 37 AKRON L. REV. 171 (2004).

### TEXAS

Alderman, *The Business of Medicine-Health Care Providers, Physicians, and the Deceptive Trade Practices Act*, 26 HOUS. L. REV. 109-145 (1989).  
Alderman, Rosenthal, *A Consumer Update: Recent Developments Under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act*, 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 495 (1989).  
Alexander, *Dilution—A Blessing or a Curse?: What Is It? How Do You Prove It? How Does It Fit In With Traditional Trademark Law? in: Current Developments in Trademark Law and Unfair Competition 1988*, 297 (P.L.I. 1988).  
Arnold, *Proposed New Texas Trademark Statute*, 4 S. Tex. L.J. 1 (1958).  
Atlas, Atlas and Nimmer, *DTPA in the Courts: Two Empirical Studies and a Proposal for Change (Second Annual Symposium: Consumer Protection and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act)*, 21 ST. MARY'S L.J. 609 (1990).  
Bauarschi, *Survival Under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act*, 28 HOUS. L. REV. 591 (1991).

- Berhrens, *Streamlining the Requirements of Statutory Notice for Contract and DTPA Claims in the Wake of Hines v. Hash (Texas Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act)*, 25 ST. MARY'S LAW JOURNAL 675 (1994).
- Berrin, *Standing to Sue and the Damage Provision: Two Controversial and Dynamic Concepts Under the DTPA*, 24 S. TEX. L.J. 207 (1983).
- Black, *Warranties, Disclaimers, Limitation of Remedies, and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act*, 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 599 (1989).
- Bullion, *An Understanding of Damages Recoverable Under the DTPA*, 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 667 (1989).
- Burke, *Intellectual Property*, 29 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 711 (1998).
- Cedillo, Lopez, *Document Destruction in Business Litigation from a Practitioner's Point-of-View: The Ethical Rules vs. Practical Realities*, 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 637 (1989).
- Christmas, *Insurance—Deceptive Trade Practices—Private Cause of Action for Unfair Insurance Claim Settlement Practices Exists Under Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act and Insurance Code. Vail v. Texas Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company*, 754 S.W.2d 129 (Tex. 1988), 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 707 (1989).
- Chumlea, *Statutory Claims for Unfair Insurance Settlement Practices—Vail v. Texas Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company*, 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 571 (1989).
- Coldwell, Mann, Steely, and Woodruff, *Annual Survey Of Texas Law: Article: Franchise Law*, 56 SMU L. REV. 1735 (2003).
- Consumer Protection—Deceptive Trade Practices—Breach of Implied Warranty Requiring Goods or Property To Be Modified or Repaired in Good and Workmanlike Manner Actionable Under Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act. Melody Home Manufacturing Co. v. Barnes*, 31 Tex. Sup. Ct. J. 47 (November 4, 1987), 19 ST. MARY'S L.J. 791-808 (1988).
- Consumer Standing Under the DTPA: The Inextricably Intertwined Standard*, 40 BAYLOR L. REV. 431-47 (1988).
- “Consumer” Status Under the Deceptive Trade Practices Act Requires a Borrower to Base Its Claim on the Underlying Goods or Services: Central Texas Hardware Inc. v. First City, Texas-Bryan*, 23 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 593 (1992).
- Curry and Krakauer, *Deceptive Trade Practices and Commercial Torts (Annual Survey of Texas Law)*, 44 Sw. L.J. 139 (1990).
- Curry and Krakauer, *Deceptive Trade Practices and Commercial Torts*, 45 Sw. L.J. 319 (1991).
- A Descendible Right of Publicity: Has the Time Finally Come for A National Standard?*, 17 PEPP. L. REV. 933 (1990).

APPENDIX 4

- Evans, *Trademark Protection Under The Texas Trademark Act*, 23 BAYLOR L. REV. 134 (1971).
- Gibbs, Elmquist, Jillson, and Rector, *Creditor and Consumer Rights* (Annual Survey of Texas Law—1983), 37 Sw. L.J. 189 (1983).
- Gold and Quesada, *Personal Injury Actions Under the DTPA (Second Annual Symposium: Consumer Protection and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act)*, 21 ST. MARY'S L.J. 711 (1990).
- Guider, *State May Prohibit Optometrists' Use of Trade Names As Inherently Misleading Form of Commercial Speech* (Friedman v. Rogers), 50 Miss. L.J. 487 (1979).
- Hammond and Cassil, *Intellectual Property (Fifth Circuit Survey: June 1988-May 1989)*, 21 TEX. TECH L. REV. 419 (1990).
- Harrell and Woodward, *One State's Answer to the UCC Article 9 Trade Name Issue and a Glimpse at the Non-Uniform Amendment Process*, 95 COM. L.J. 338 (1990).
- Hudson, *A Survey of the Texas Unfair-Competition Tort of Common-Law Misappropriation*, 50 BAYLOR L. REV. 921 (1998).
- Hughes and Gavin, *Commercial Torts and Deceptive Trade Practices* (Annual Survey of Texas Law), 39 Sw. L.J. 123 (1985).
- Hunkele, *Consumer Protection—Deceptive Trade Practices—Avoiding the Issue of Whether Implied Warranties Extend to Professional Services by Defining Horse Training as a Modification of an Existing Tangible Good. Archibald v. Act III Arabians*, 755 S.W.2d 84 (Tex. 1988), 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 731 (1989).
- An Implied Warranty of Good and Workmanlike Quality Extends to the Repair and Modification of Existing Tangible Goods: Melody Home Manufacturing Co. v. Barnes*, 741 S.W.2d 349 (Tex.), 19 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 1141-1162 (1988).
- Jones, *Consumer Protection—Breach of Warranty—Merger Doctrine No Defense to Deceptive Trade Practices Suit for Breach of Express Warranty. Alvarado v. Bolton*, 749 S.W.2d 47 (Tex. 1988), 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 689 (1989).
- Kendall, *Merchantability and Used Goods Do You Really Get What You Pay For? (Texas)*, 45 BAYLOR LAW REVIEW 665 (1993).
- Kincaid, *Recognizing an Implied Warranty That "Professional" Services Will Be Performed in a Good and Workmanlike Manner (Second Annual Symposium: Consumer Protection and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act)*, 21 ST. MARY'S L.J. 685 (1990).
- Kincaid, *Rules of Judicial Construction—Making and Arguing the Law in DTPA Cases*, 23 TEX. TECH L. REV. 687 (1992).
- Lewis, *Franchise Litigation in Texas: Analyzing Claims and Defenses*, 19 ST. MARY'S L.J. 663-702 (1988).

- Loeber, *A DTPA Cause of Act for the Terminated or Nonrenewed Franchisee: A Jack in the Box for the Unfair Franchisor*, 43 BAYLOR L. REV. 809 (1991).
- Mann, *The New Texas Trademark Bill*, 39 TEXAS L. REV. 568 (1961).
- McCormick, *Lender Liability and the Texas Trade Practices Act*, 22 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 719 (1991).
- McGowan and Vernon, *Texas Supreme Court Rules No. Fiduciary Duty in Franchise Relationship*, 11 FRANCHISE L.J. 7 (1991).
- McGraw, *Texas' Common Law Action for Unfair Competition*, 54 TEX. B.J. 1184 (1991).
- McHugh, *Product Disparagement: Expanding Liability in Texas*, 41 SW. L.J. 1203-42 (1988).
- Meier, *Looking Back and Forth: The Restatement (Third) of Unfair Competition and Potential Impact on Texas Trade Secret Law*, 4 TEX. INTELL. PROP. L.J. 415 (1996).
- Melody Home Manufacturing Co. v. Barnes* [741 S.W.2d 349 (Tex.)]: *An Implied Warranty Is Created*. 40 Baylor L. Rev. 321-37 (1988).
- Miller, *Franchising in Texas*, 14 ST. MARY'S L.J. 301 (1983).
- Montford, Barber and Duncan, *1989 Texas DTPA Reform: Closing the DTPA Loophole in the 1987 Tort Reform Laws and the Ongoing Quest for Fairer DTPA Laws (Second Annual Symposium: Consumer Protection and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act)*, 21 ST. MARY'S L.J. 525 (1990).
- Muller, *An Inquiring Look at the Texas Anti-Dilution Statute*, 53 TEX. B.J. 718 (1990).
- Pravel, *The New Trademark Act—Some Reasons and Background*, 6 S. TEX. L.J. 241 (1962).
- Reese, *"Consumer" Status Under the Deceptive Trade Practices Act Requires a Borrower to Base Its Claim on the Underlying Goods or Services: Central Texas Hardware, Inc. v. First City, Texas-Bryan*, 23 TEX. TECH L. REV. 593 (1992).
- Riley, *Squeezing the Lemon—Helping Texas Consumers with New Car Problems*, 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 617 (1989).
- Robbins, *A Fine Mess; TXDOT Wants Businesses to Stop Using Slogan*, TEXAS LAWYER, June 14, 2004, at 1.
- Robbins, *A "Serious" Dispute Over Lawyer's Service Mark Slogan*, TEXAS LAWYER, May 31, 2004, at 1.
- St. Pierre, *Narrowing the Scope of First Amendment Protection for Commercial Expression (Friedman v. Rogers)*, 13 SUFFOLK U. L. REV. 1503 (1979).
- Statutes of Limitations Under the Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act*, 39 BAYLOR L. REV. 293-311 (1987).

#### APPENDIX 4

- Stock Brokerage Firms and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act*, 26 HOUS. L. REV. 321 (1989).
- Taylor, *Texas' New Trademark Antidilution Statute—Useful or Useless New Protection for Texas Trademarks?*, 21 ST. MARY'S L.J. 1019 (1990).
- Teofan and O'Neill, *Creditor and Consumer Rights (Annual Survey of Texas Law)*, 44 SW. L.J. 163 (1990).
- Totty, *Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practice and Consumer Protection Act: A Selective Comparative Study*, TEX. SOUTH L. REV. 69 (1980).
- Note, *Trademark Protection Under the Texas Trademark Act: A Comparison With Federal Law*, 23 BAYLOR L. REV. 134 (1971).
- Trends in the Profession*, 62 TEX. B. J. 992 (1999).
- Tschoepe II, Crawford, Jr., Williams, *Aspects of Defending a Texas Deceptive Trade Practices-Consumer Protection Act Claim*, 20 ST. MARY'S L.J. 527 (1989).
- von Kreisler, *A Survey of Insurance Litigation under the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act*, 18 TEX. TECH. L. REV. 177 (1987).
- Yahiel, *Facing the Sears-Compco Doctrine, When A Service Mark is Used in Texas and Other Issues Addressed by the State Trademark Office*, 4 TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J. 171 (1996).
- Yahiel, *Process and Review of State Trademark Applications With the Office of the Secretary of State of Texas*, 3 TEXAS INTELL. PROP. L.J. 67-83 (1995).
- Young, *Professional Trade Names: Unprotected Commercial Speech (Friedman v. Rogers)*, 59 NEB. L. REV. 432 (1980).

#### UTAH

- Barrett, Note, *State Regulation of Keyword Advertising: A Lesson From the Utah Legislature*, 15 J. INTELL. PROP. L. 281 (2008).
- Laurence and Thayne, *Obtaining and Maintaining State Trademarks in Utah*, 16 UTAH B.J. 28 (2003).
- Moxley and Weisenfeld, *An Overview of Utah's Civil RICE*, 14 UTAH B.J. 31 (1986).
- Necessity or Overkill? Regulating Residential Landlord-Tenant Relations Through the Utah Consumer Sales Practices Act*, 1990 B.Y.U. L. REV. 1063 (1990).
- Smith, *State of Patents in Utah*, 9 UTAH BAR J. 43 (1996).
- Taylor, *Consumer Protection (Recent Developments in Utah Law)*, 1990 UTAH L. REV. 215 (1990).
- Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 248 (1905-06).
- Utah Bottling Statute Declared Unconstitutional*, 32 TMR 30 (1942).
- Utah's Business Name Statutes: "An Invitation to Litigation,"* 1983 B.Y.U. L. REV. 795.

**VERMONT**

- Mapes, *Cybertrage and Reverse Hijacking: The Uncertain State of Trademark Law as Applied to Internet Domain Names*, 23 VER. B.J. & L. DIG. 46 (1997).
- Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 311 (1905–06).

**VIRGINIA**

- A Descendible Right of Publicity: Has the Time Finally Come for a National Standard?*, 17 PEPP. L. REV. 933 (1990).
- Frieden and Roche, *E-Commerce: Legal Issues of the Online Retailer in Virginia*, 13 RICH. J.L. & TECH. 5 (2006).
- Sbaratta, *Yes, FTC, There Is a Virginia: The Impact of Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council, Inc. on the Federal Trade Commission's Regulation of Misleading Advertising*, 57 B. U. L. REV. 833 (1977).
- Speidel, *The Virginia "Anti-Privacy" Statute: Strict Products Liability Under the Uniform Commercial Code*, 51 VA. L. REV. 804 (1965).
- Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 311 (1905–06).
- Note, *Unfair Trade Practices in Virginia*, 45 VA. L. REV. 153 (1959).
- Zeltser, *The Specter of the Debt Collector and the Unchartered Domain: The Case for Treating Domain Names as Garnishable Property*, 12 DIGEST 59 (2004).

**WASHINGTON**

- Clancy, *Branded Bud or Generic Ganja? Trademarks for Marijuana in Washington*, 18 LEWIS & CLARK L. REV. 1063 (2014).
- Donaldson, *When is Bad Faith Claims Handling an Unfair Trade Practice? Actions Against Insurers under Washington's Consumer Protection Act*, 28 GONZ. L. REV. 11 (1992/1993).
- Duvall, *New Washington Franchise Laws and Regulations Change Washington Practice*, 45 WASH. STATE BAR NEWS 11 (1991).
- Flynn, *Per Se Violations of the Washington Consumer Protection Act: Caveat Empty*. 23 GONZ. L. REV. 309-29 (1987/1988).
- Huebner and Koubourlis, *Trade Secrets and Non Competition Agreements: A Washington Primer*, 49 WASH. ST. BAR NEWS 33 (1995).
- Mooney, *Unfair Competition and the Unfair Practices Act Suggested Approaches*, 3 GONZ. L. REV. 135 (1968).
- Taylor, *Comment, Fostering Economic Growth in the High-Technology Field: Washington Should Abandon its Recognition of the Inevitable Disclosure Doctrine*, 30 SEATTLE U. L.R. 473 (2007).
- Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 311 (1905–06).
- Washington "Fair Trade Act Upheld,"* 31 TMR BULL. 15 (1936).



## APPENDIX 4

Note, *Washington Lawyers Under the Purview of the State Consumer Protection Act—The Entrepreneurial Aspects Solution* (Short v. Demopolis), 60 WASH. L. REV. 925 (1985).

### WEST VIRGINIA

Jarr, *West Virginia's Trade Secrets in the 21st Century: West Virginia's Uniform Trade Secrets Act*, 97 W. VA. L. REV. 525-52 (1995).  
*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 312 (1905–06).

### WISCONSIN

Brennan, *Top 10 Recent Wisconsin Federal Court Decisions*, 87 WIS. LAW. 32 (2014).  
Gonzalez, *Trademark Goodwill, Brand Devaluation, and the Neo-Political Correctness of College Athletics: Did Marquette's Recent Identity Crisis Cost Them Thousands or Even Millions of Dollars in Brand Value?*, 14 SPORTS LAW. J. 195 (2007).  
Goretzke, *The Resurgence Of Caveat Emptor: Puffery Undermines The Pro-Consumer Trend In Wisconsin's Misrepresentation Doctrine*, 2003 WIS. L. REV. 171 (2003).  
Nicks, *A New Twist on Lemon Law*, 64 THE WIS. LAW. 22 (1991).  
*State Trade-Mark Legislation*, 9 TMR BULL. 109 (1913).  
*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR BULL. 312 (1905–06).  
Waxman, *Wisconsin's Unfair Sales Act—Unfair to Whom?*, 66 MARQ. L. REV. 293 (1983).

### WYOMING

*Trademark Law of the States*, 2 TMR Bull. 312 (1905–06).